

What I love

Opportunities for riding my bike
Wilderness – Nature/beauty/rural
Community
Weather
Reminds me of where I grew up
Freedoms/Family
Unique values
Caring/responsible community
Good for family, private, clean and beautiful
Natural beauty
Small town feel
Access to open space
Passion of community
Natural beauty
Community
A wonderful home
Natural beauty
Creative on our properties
Safe for our children
Beauty
Spiritual place
Affordable 35 years ago
Live by water
Rural values
Eclectic mix
Possible to solve problems
Natural environment

Look outside!
Participation
Beauty ridges Unique
Family in the valley
It's home!
Domestic animals at my home
Slice of heaven / sense of place
Good place for children
Privacy
Beauty of area
Climate
Affordability
Diversity in population
School system
Close to nature

Community spirit/volunteer spirit
History (Growing up)
Creek
The Creek!
The people of the valley
Best place in the world to live
Beauty of the land
Working in the valley with people and fish
Sense of home
Serenity and unchanging
Great community
Concern for value of life
Great place to raise a family
Unique place in the world
Community environment
Freedom, community
Backyard, community
Rural
Valley beauty
Beauty/quiet
Community
Community/open space
Beauty community
Wildlife
Community
Environment and sense of community
It's home, family close and rural gardens
Family, natural environment
Boyfriend horse wrangler – environment
Funky buildings
Love valley, community -- live right on the creek
Everything, especially 10 degrees cooler by creek
Air
No stop lights
Home
All of the above
Open space
Rural
Beauty
Nobody bothers you
Stable countryside
Freedom
Valley living
Individuality
Quietness
Coniferous watershed

Attractive people

Concerns

Debris in creek

Property rights

 Evolving my property with my family

 Over-governance of responsible people

 Over regulation

Property Values

Selling homes / survival for elderly

Health of the stream

Balancing regulations with monetary support for the community

Septic – fear of talking to county and cost

What is okay to do on streams? We want to be involved

Need clarity on Federal, State and local regulations

People living on creek can maintain it

Wants moderation in regulation/common sense

Continue the conversation

Privacy – protect our rights

Government

Maintain quality of life, fostering community solutions

Science is only hypothetical, not evidence based – needs monitoring

People near streams will carry the brunt of regulations/implementation of SEP

County won't be as sweet as they say they will

Equitable treatment throughout County

Potential erosion of property rights by SEP

Need clarity regarding SEP as it relates to septic

Potential salmon extinction

 How to live best within SCA

Cost for whatever direction this process takes

Privacy

Maintaining rural agricultural activities (animal keeping)

Who will pay for the outcome of this process SEP implementation?

Moratorium regulation effect on refinancing and property valuation

New development improvements

Future property

Financial consideration/assistance

 e.g., tax credits for improvements related to stream on private property

Salmon

Property rights

Stream bank failure and erosion

Too complex – permits, etc.

Now resources to fix

Need program to assist

Whole community should bear/share the work
Government too big – need to simplify and provide assistance/funding
Government/others need to be flexible to accommodate the unique aspects of individual properties
Urge workshops on helpful issues like gray water
County be more creative/flexible
Homeowner education about trees and safety
Use new technology for better septic systems
Collaboration
Keep salmon in valley
Def. of “seasonal creek” and reg intrusion
Property values need for future improvements
Effective regulations, but expense to P.O.
Mandates
Community engage
Expense of Reg
Temper with reason
Impacts of creek walking
Loss of property rights
Property rights
Public trespassing
Property rights
Privacy invas.
Fish Friendly
Cost of implementation SEP
Loss of existing improvements du to 35 foot buffer

People don't have a say – there are solutions
Willing to volunteer
Salmon will get lost in the process – one fin in the air
SEP too long (Want summary, easy to read)
Didn't get opportunity to understand science behind SEP, not being able to participate
Invasion of owners' rights
Getting balance of power --- (owner, fight, environment vs. fish)
Property rights; outsider influence
Where's salmon
What's hurting salmon?
What can we do to help?
What impacts ---- have on property
Legal non-conforming
Ability to legalize
How properties and lives will be affected
Non functional (dusty) plan that doesn't benefit environment
Concern about imbalance of property rights and environmental protection
One-size-fits-all regulation to protect creek
Disparate interest
Difficulty in ---- bringing people together

Too costly (given current economy)
Creek ecosystem may be impacted
Solution not be too narrowly focused
Perception of not flexibility in solutions
Solution not just focused on salmon (e.g., septic, consumer waste)
Little information on what's already there
Protect riparian zone
Flexible management of trees
Maintaining fish pools/summer habitat
Want to keep animals (ducks, etc.) at home
Need for master planning for property owners interests
Protection of property rights
Over regulation
Homeowner rights
Fees and permits
Status of non-conforming structures
Maintaining existing home
Due process
County responsiveness and communication
Existing home improvement & expansion
Septic and erosion
Volunteer groups being seen as uncooperative
County regulations -----
Property owner actions not the problem
Unfunded mandates resulting from regulations
Compensation for loss of property values
Property values
Cost of plan
Fish friendly
Regulations don't allow affordable creek bank repair
Plan may make even more expensive impact to people/residents from future listing of species
How will regulation of the SCA affect my home?
Take over of valley by bureaucracy
Ditto to last three comments
Outcome of this process is positive – valley will be a better place
Extinction is forever
Galvanize over conflict rather than solutions to problems
Property values
Outsiders dictating action on property
Potential for devastating fire
The cause of salmon decline
How will future development be regulated?
Implementation of SEP could be boondoggle
Unsure of county intentions
Rules around development

--- from SEP
Balance protection/individual costs
Restrictions to property owners
Follow-through on process
Our ability to help salmon population – population impact
Fulfills obligations – start to finish
Property rights
Support for P.O. to do upgrades
Property rights
Blanket regulations vs. protection/mitigation
Ability to look at issues/property individually
Blanket regulations
Regulations that impact owners with out support to fish
Science-based
Stewardship
Stewardship
Lose open space
Flooding
Told what to do
Will plan work
Property value hit
Stakeholder process
When sell house future owner will not be restricted by --- in SEP
Impact on existing homes within 35 foot setback
Be able to maintain house
Implement SEP build on existing efforts
Everything on property in 35 foot zone – basic property rights. Don't want to go to a committee to do things
SEP 35 foot not based on enabling legislation vast over-reach
Want a say in what I do on my property not have to call county when want to do something
Transparency in the process and communication – have a voice in process
Diminution of value of land
Eminent domain fines
Will I need to move structures?