

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

Public Access 1
Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities 27
Federal Parklands 50
Natural Resources..... 54
Agriculture..... 63
Mariculture 81
Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating 90
Public Trust Lands..... 93
Shoreline Structures 95
Diking, Filling, and Dredging 104
Public Services 109
New Development and Land Use..... 118

The following chart compares policies from the existing Unit II Local Coastal Program to those in the Local Coastal Program Draft LUP Policy Amendments document, dated January 25, 2011. The column on the right shows the existing Unit II policy and its respective status. The column on the left displays the proposed policy language as well as a ~~strike out~~ and underline version to indicate how the policy was derived. The policies are grouped by topic in numerical order as they appear in Unit II.

Unit II Public Access	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 13</u> General policy and elements of Public Access Component. The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of public access opportunities to the coast, in conformance with Sections 30210 through 30214 of the Coastal Act. There are three methods by which the policies of these sections will be implemented in the County's Public Access Component:</p> <p>a. <u>Existing accessways.</u> The LCP recognizes existing public accessways in Unit II, both public and private, as an integral part of the County's overall access program. These accessways, identified in Table 1 on page 6, should be maintained open to the public.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward to C-PA-1 Public Coastal Access and C-PA-16 Protection of Existing Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	<p>C-PA-1 Public Coastal Access. Support and encourage the enhancement of public access opportunities to the coast, in conformance with Sections 30210 through 30214 of the Coastal Act. (PC app. 11/23/09) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 1, p. 13]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 13</u> General policy and elements of Public Access Component. <u>C-PA-1 Public Coastal Access.</u> The County of Marin sSupports and encourages the enhancement of public access opportunities to the coast, in conformance with Sections 30210 through 30214 of the Coastal Act.There are three methods by which the policies of these sections will be implemented in the County's Public Access Component:</p> <p>a. Existing accessways. The LCP recognizes existing public accessways in Unit II, both public and private, as an integral part of the County's</p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

overall access program. These accessways, identified in Table 1 on page 6, should be maintained open to the public.

C-PA-16 Protection of Existing Public Coastal Accessways.

Recognize existing public coastal accessways, both public and private, as an integral part of the County's overall access program. Maintain existing public accessways open to the public. Consider closure of existing County-managed accessways only if authorized by a coastal permit and only after the County has offered the accessway to another public or private entity.

(PC app. 11/23/09)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 1.a, p. 13]

Unit II Policy 1 p. 13

~~General policy and elements of Public Access Component. The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of public access opportunities to the coast, in conformance with Sections 30210 through 30214 of the Coastal Act. There are three methods by which the policies of these sections will be implemented in the County's Public Access Component:~~

~~a.—**C-PA-16 Protection of Existing Public Coastal Accessways.** Existing accessways. The LCP recognizes existing public coastal accessways, in Unit II, both public and private, as an integral part of the County's overall access program. These Maintain existing public accessways, identified in Table 1 on page 6, should be maintained open to the public. Consider closure of existing County-managed accessways only if authorized by a coastal permit and only after the County has offered the accessway to another public or private entity.~~

Policy 1(b) p. 13

b. Offered easements. A total of nine offers of public access easements in Unit II have been required as a condition of past permit approvals by the County-or the North Central Coast Regional Commission. The LCP recommends that certain of these easements, as specified in Policy #3 below, be accepted by the County or other agency and incorporated into the County's access program.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to **C-PA-2** Public Coastal Access in New Development.

C-PA-2 Public Coastal Access in New Development.

Examine proposed new development between the shoreline and the first public road, whether or not it is mapped as the first public road for purposes of coastal permit appeals, for impacts on public access to the coast. Where a nexus exists between the impacts of the proposed development and the provision of public access, require the dedication of a lateral and/or vertical accessway as a condition of development, unless Policy C-PA-3 provides an exemption. Impacts on public access include, but are not limited to, the intensification of land use resulting in overuse of existing public accessways, the creation of physical obstructions or perceived deterrence to public access, and the creation of conflicts between private land uses and public access.

(PC app. 2/8/10)

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 1.c., p. 13]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unit II Policy 1(b) p. 13</p> <p>b. Offered easements. A total of nine offers of public access easements in Unit II have been required as a condition of past permit approvals by the County or the North Central Coast Regional Commission. The LCP recommends that certain of these easements, as specified in Policy #3 below, be accepted by the County or other agency and incorporated into the County's access program.</p>
<p>Policy 1(c) p. 13 c. <u>New accessways.</u> The County views public access easements, gained through offers of dedication as a condition of coastal permit approval, as the primary means available to increase public access opportunities in Unit II. Potential areas where such easements could be required have been evaluated based on their desirability and physical suitability, evidence of prescriptive rights, and proximity to other access points and existing uses. Based on these criteria, specific recommendations for new accessways have been developed (Policy #3). In addition to the easements recommended, the County may require additional access in the future as the need arises.</p> <p>If funds become available for acquisition of public accessways, they should be allocated according to the priority recommendations in Policy A.</p> <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to C-PA-2 Public Coastal Access in New Development.</p>	<p>C-PA-2 Public Coastal Access in New Development. Examine proposed new development between the shoreline and the first public road, whether or not it is mapped as the first public road for purposes of coastal permit appeals, for impacts on public access to the coast. Where a nexus exists between the impacts of the proposed development and the provision of public access, require the dedication of a lateral and/or vertical accessway as a condition of development, unless Policy C-PA-3 provides an exemption. Impacts on public access include, but are not limited to, the intensification of land use resulting in overuse of existing public accessways, the creation of physical obstructions or perceived deterrence to public access, and the creation of conflicts between private land uses and public access. (PC app. 2/8/10)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 1.c., p. 13]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unit II Policy 1(c) p. 13</p> <p>e. New accessways. The County views public access easements, gained through offers of dedication as a condition of coastal permit approval, as the primary means available to increase public access opportunities in Unit II. Potential areas where such easements could be required have been evaluated based on their desirability and physical suitability, evidence of prescriptive rights, and proximity to other access points and existing uses. Based on these criteria, specific recommendations for new accessways have been developed (Policy #3). In addition to the easements recommended, the County may require additional access in the future as the need arises.</p> <p>If funds become available for acquisition of public accessways, they should be allocated according to the priority recommendations in Policy A.</p> <p>e. <u>New accessways.</u> The County views public access easements, gained through offers of C-PA-2 Public coastal access in new development. Examine proposed new development between the shoreline and the</p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p><u>first public road for impacts on public access to the coast. Where the County determines that a nexus exists between the impacts of the proposed development and the provision of public access, require the dedication of a lateral and/or vertical accessway as a condition of development, unless Policy C-PA-1.3 provides an exemption. Impacts on coastal permit approval, as the primary means available to increase public access include, but are not limited to, the intensification of land use resulting in overuse of existing public accessways, the creation of physical or psychological obstructions to public access, and the creation of conflicts between private land uses and public access. opportunities in Unit II. Potential areas where such easements could be required have been evaluated based on their desirability and physical suitability, evidence of prescriptive rights, and proximity to other access points and existing uses. Based on these criteria, specific recommendations for new accessways have been developed (Policy #3). In addition to the easements recommended, the County may require additional access in the future as the need arises.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">If funds become available for acquisition of public accessways, they should be allocated according to the priority recommendations in Policy A.</p>
<p><u>Policy 2(a) p. 13</u> <u>General standards.</u> The following general policies and procedures shall apply to all new accessways in Unit II, including those specifically recommended in the LCP at this time, those not currently recommended but considered in the future, and those which may be acquired by public purchase.</p> <p>a. Prescriptive Rights. Where evidence of prescriptive rights (historic public use) is found in reviewing a coastal permit application, equivalent access easements to protect the types, intensity, and areas subject to prescriptive rights shall be required as a condition of permit approval. Development may be sited in an area of historic public use only if equivalent type, intensity and area of replacement public access is provided on or reasonably adjacent to the project site (parcel).</p> <p>If requirement of access easements to protect areas of historic use would preclude all reasonable private use of the project site, the County, in consultation with the Coastal Commission and the California Attorney General's Office, shall review the existence of prescriptive rights. If the County concludes that convincing evidence of implied dedication or</p>	<p>C-PA-7 Protection of Prescriptive Rights. Ensure that development does not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use. Where evidence (including historic public use) of prescriptive rights is found in reviewing a coastal permit application, take one or more of the following actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider approval of the coastal permit application, while siting development in such a way as to avoid the area potentially subject to prescriptive rights and requiring a public easements to protect the types, intensity and areas of historic use as a condition of project approval. 2. If requirement of an access easement to protect areas of historic use would preclude all reasonable private use of the project site, the County or the Coastal Commission and the Attorney General at the request of the County shall, subject to the availability of staff and funds, seek a court determination and confirmation of such public rights. 3. In the absence of a final court determination, the County may proceed to consider approval of development on areas potentially subject to prescriptive rights (except those used for lateral access), provided that all impacts on public access are mitigated in the same vicinity substantially in accordance with the Local Coastal Program's Access policies. Such mitigation may include

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

prescriptive rights in favor of the public exists, the County or the Coastal Commission and the Attorney General at the request of the County shall, consistent with the availability of staff and funds, seek a court determination and confirmation of such public rights. If after 60 days the County concludes that such evidence is inconclusive, the County may approve development on such areas (except those used for lateral access), provided that all impacts on public access are mitigated in the same vicinity substantially in accordance with the Local Coastal Program's Access policies. Such mitigation may include securing an accessway on another property in the same vicinity, or providing an in-lieu fee to a public agency or private association approved by the County and Commission for acquisition, improvement, or maintenance of access in the same vicinity. Same vicinity is considered to be within 1,000 feet or less of the project site (parcel).

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to **C-PA-7** Protection of Prescriptive Rights.

securing an accessway on another property in the same vicinity, or providing an in-lieu fee to a public agency or private association approved by the County and Coastal Commission for acquisition, improvement, or maintenance of access in the same vicinity. Same vicinity is considered to be within 1,000 feet of the project site (parcel).

(PC app. 2/8/10)

[Adapted from Coastal Act Section 30211, Unit I Public Access Policy #3, p. 7, and Unit II Public Access Policy 2.a., p. 13]

Unit II Policy 2(a) p. 13

~~General standards. The following general policies and procedures shall apply to all new accessways in Unit II, including those specifically recommended in the LCP at this time, those not currently recommended but considered in the future, and those which may be acquired by public purchase.~~

a. ~~————~~ **C-PA-7 Protection of Prescriptive Rights.** Ensure that development does not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use. Where evidence (including historic public use) of Prescriptive Rights. Where evidence of prescriptive rights (historic public use) is found in reviewing a coastal permit application, equivalent access easements to protect the types, intensity, and areas subject to prescriptive rights shall be required as a condition of permit approval. Development may be sited in an area of historic public use only if equivalent type, intensity and area of replacement public access is provided on or reasonably adjacent to the project site (parcel). take one or more of the following actions:

1. Consider approval of the coastal permit application, while siting development in such a way as to avoid the area potentially subject to prescriptive rights and requiring a public easements to protect the types, intensity and areas of historic use as a condition of project approval.
2. If requirement of access easements to protect areas of historic use would preclude all reasonable private use of the project site, the County, ~~in consultation with~~ or the Coastal Commission and the Attorney General at the request of the County shall, subject to the availability of staff and funds, seek a court determination and confirmation of such public rights. ~~If the County concludes that convincing evidence of implied dedication or prescriptive rights in~~

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p>favor of the public exists, the County or the Coastal Commission and the Attorney General at the request of the County shall, consistent with the availability of staff and funds, seek a court determination and confirmation of such public rights. If after 60 days the County concludes that such evidence is inconclusive, the</p> <p>3. <u>In the absence of a final court determination, the County may approve development on such areas proceed to consider approval of development on areas potentially subject to prescriptive rights</u> (except those used for lateral access), provided that all impacts on public access are mitigated in the same vicinity substantially in accordance with the Local Coastal Program's Access policies. Such mitigation may include securing an accessway on another property in the same vicinity, or providing an in-lieu fee to a public agency or private association approved by the Coastal County and Commission for acquisition, improvement, or maintenance of access in the same vicinity. Same vicinity is considered to be within 1,000 feet or less of the project site (parcel).</p>
<p>Policy 2(b) p. 14</p> <p>b. Types of access. The provision for coastal access through a coastal permit or by purchase may include one or more of the following easements:</p> <p><u>Vertical</u> - from the first public road to the sea. Vertical easements generally should be ten feet in width unless site conditions warrant otherwise. However, in no case should the easement be closer than ten feet to the proposed-structure.</p> <p><u>Lateral</u> - along the shoreline. Lateral easements shall be a minimum of ten feet in width or shall include all of a sandy beach to the first line of terrestrial vegetation, whichever is greater, and shall parallel the mean high tideline. At a minimum, the easement shall allow lateral access during high tide.</p> <p><u>Bluff top</u> - along bluffs for public viewing or hiking. Such easements should run along the edge of the bluff and be of sufficient width to provide safe access along the bluff edge, generally twenty-five feet inland from the current edge.</p> <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on Their Surroundings.</p>	<p>C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on their Surroundings. Site and design coastal accessways and parking and other support facilities to avoid, if feasible, and only then to minimize significant adverse impacts to sensitive environmental resources, agriculture, and the surrounding community. A vertical accessway should generally be ten feet in width unless site conditions warrant otherwise and should be located at least 10 feet from residential structures. Control public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, to minimize disturbance to wildlife. (PC app. 2/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 2.b., p. 14, and Unit II Natural Resources Policy 5.b., p. 75]</i></p> <p>Unit II Policy 2(b) p. 14</p> <p>b. Types of access. The provision for coastal access through a coastal permit or by purchase may include one or more of the following easements:</p> <p>Vertical - from the first public road to the sea. C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on their Surroundings. Site and design coastal accessways and parking and other support facilities to avoid, if feasible, and only then to minimize significant adverse impacts to</p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p><u>sensitive environmental resources, agriculture, and the surrounding community. A vertical easement generally should be ten feet in width unless site conditions warrant otherwise and should be located at least 10 feet from residential structures. Control public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, to minimize disturbance to wildlife. However, in no case should the easement be closer than ten feet to the proposed structure.</u></p> <p>— Lateral — <u>along the shoreline. Lateral easements shall be a minimum of ten feet in width or shall include all of a sandy beach to the first line of terrestrial vegetation, whichever is greater, and shall parallel the mean high tideline. At a minimum, the easement shall allow lateral access during high tide.</u></p> <p>— Bluff top — <u>along bluffs for public viewing or hiking. Such easements should run along the edge of the bluff and be of sufficient width to provide safe access along the bluff edge, generally twenty five feet inland from the current edge.</u></p>
<p>Policy 2(c) p. 14</p> <p>c. Acceptance of public access easements or dedications. The County will accept, and as resources permit, open access easements in the following situation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The offer to dedicate an easement is made pursuant to evidence of prescriptive rights, or (2) The offered easement is in a developed area (density of one unit per acre or greater) and substantial use could be expected by local residents. <p>Whenever the County has agreed or agrees to accept an easement, it will be responsible for maintaining that easement and signing where necessary. Signs posted along the shoreline of Tomales Bay shall indicate that no fires or overnight camping is permitted, and that the privacy of homeowners shall be respected. Where appropriate and feasible, parking areas should be provided in conjunction with access easements. The need for parking shall be determined based on existing parking and public transit opportunities in the area. As transit service becomes available, parking capacities should be reduced or eliminated.</p> <p>If the County does not accept an easement, it shall attempt to find appropriate public or private agencies to do so. If no such agency is immediately available, a twenty-year irrevocable offer to dedicate the required easement(s) shall be recorded by the applicant prior to the issuance of a final County permit to commence construction. The County</p>	<p>C-PA-5 Acceptance of Offers to Dedicate Public Coastal Accessways. Accept offers to dedicate easements or fee title interests in coastal accessways and, as resources permit, place first priority on opening such accessways when the offer to dedicate is made pursuant to evidence of prescriptive rights or where the offer to dedicate is in a developed area. The County shall accept an offer to dedicate within 9 months of recordation. If the County does not accept an easement within this time period, it shall attempt to find an appropriate public or private agency to do so. Notwithstanding the above, the County may at any time accept a valid offer to dedicate easement that has not been accepted by another entity.</p> <p>(PC app. 2/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit I Public Access Policy 6, p. 8, and Unit II, Public Access Policy 2.c., p. 14]</i></p> <p>Unit II Policy 2(c) p. 14</p> <p>e. — Acceptance of public access easements or dedications. — C-PA-5 Acceptance of Offers to Dedicate Public Coastal Accessways. <u>The County will accept, and as resources permit, open access offers to dedicate easements in the following situation fee title interests in coastal accessways and, as resources permit, place first priority on opening such accessways when :</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The offer to dedicate an easement is made pursuant to evidence of prescriptive rights, or where

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

shall immediately notify the California Coastal Conservancy of such offers to dedicate. The County may process the irrevocable offers according to the Commission's centralized coastal access program.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to **C-PA-5** Acceptance of Offers to Dedicate Public Coastal Accessways, **C-PA-18** Parking and Support Facilities at Public Coastal Accessways, and **C-PA-19** Explanatory Signs at Public Coastal Accessways.

~~(2) The offered easement to dedicate is in a developed area, (density of one unit per acre or greater) and substantial use could be expected by local residents.~~

~~— Whenever the County has agreed or agrees to accept an easement, it will be responsible for maintaining that easement and signing where necessary. Signs posted along the shoreline of Tomales Bay shall indicate that no fires or overnight camping is permitted, and that the privacy of homeowners shall be respected. Where appropriate and feasible, parking areas should be provided in conjunction with access easements. The need for parking shall be determined based on existing parking and public transit opportunities in the area. As transit service becomes available, parking capacities should be reduced or eliminated.~~

~~— The County shall accept an offer to dedicate within 9 months of recordation. If the County does not accept an easement, within this time period, it shall attempt to find appropriate public or private agencies to do so. Notwithstanding the above, the County may at any time accept a valid offer to dedicate easement that has not been accepted by another entity. If no such agency is immediately available, a twenty year irrevocable offer to dedicate the required easement(s) shall be recorded by the applicant prior to the issuance of a final County permit to commence construction. The County shall immediately notify the California Coastal Conservancy of such offers to dedicate. The County may process the irrevocable offers according to the Commission's centralized coastal access program.~~

C-PA-18 Parking and Support Facilities at Public Coastal

Accessways. Where appropriate and feasible, provide parking areas for automobiles and bicycles and appropriate support facilities in conjunction with public coastal accessways. The location and design of new parking and support facilities shall be designed to minimize adverse impacts on adjacent residential areas. The need for parking shall be determined based on existing parking and public transit opportunities in the area, balanced with resource protection policies. Consider opportunities for reducing or eliminating parking capacities if transit service becomes available or increases.

(PC app. 2/8/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 2.c., p. 14]

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

Unit II Policy 2(c) p. 14

e. ~~Acceptance of public access easements or dedications. The County will accept, and as resources permit, open access easements in the following situation:~~

- ~~(1) The offer to dedicate an easement is made pursuant to evidence of prescriptive rights, or~~
- ~~(2) The offered easement is in a developed area (density of one unit per acre or greater) and substantial use could be expected by local residents.~~

~~Whenever the County has agreed or agrees to accept an easement, it will be responsible for maintaining that easement and signing where necessary. Signs posted along the shoreline of Tomales Bay shall indicate that no fires or overnight camping is permitted, and that the privacy of homeowners shall be respected. Where appropriate and feasible, parking areas should be provided for automobiles and bicycles and appropriate support facilities in conjunction with access easements public coastal accessways. The location and design of new parking and support facilities shall be designed to minimize adverse impacts on adjacent residential areas. The need for parking shall be determined based on existing parking and public transit opportunities in the area, balanced with resource protection policies. As transit service becomes available, parking capacities should be Consider opportunities for reduced or eliminated parking capacities if transit service becomes available or increases.~~

~~If the County does not accept an easement, it shall attempt to find appropriate public or private agencies to do so. If no such agency is immediately available, a twenty year irrevocable offer to dedicate the required easement(s) shall be recorded by the applicant prior to the issuance of a final County permit to commence construction. The County shall immediately notify the California Coastal Conservancy of such offers to dedicate. The County may process the irrevocable offers according to the Commission's centralized coastal access program.~~

C-PA-19 Explanatory Signs at Public Coastal Accessways. Sign existing and new public coastal accessways, trails, and parking facilities where

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

necessary, and use signs to minimize conflicts between public and private land uses. Signs posted along the shoreline shall indicate appropriate restrictions, such as Where public access trails are located adjacent to agricultural lands, signs shall indicate appropriate restrictions against trespassing, fires, camping, and hunting. Where only limited public access or use of an area can be permitted in order to protect resource areas from overuse, such signing should identify the appropriate type and levels of use which are consistent with resource protection. The County and CALTRANS shall, as resources permit, post informational signs at appropriate intersections and turning points along visitor routes, in order to direct coastal visitors to public recreation and nature study areas in the Coastal Zone.

(PC app. 11/23/09)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 2.c, p. 14]

Unit II Policy 2(c) p. 14

e. ~~Acceptance of public access easements or dedications. The County will accept, and as resources permit, open access easements in the following situation:~~

- ~~(1) The offer to dedicate an easement is made pursuant to evidence of prescriptive rights, or~~
- ~~(2) The offered easement is in a developed area (density of one unit per acre or greater) and substantial use could be expected by local residents.~~

~~Whenever the County has agreed or agrees to accept an easement, it will be responsible for maintaining that easement and signing where necessary. Sign existing and new public coastal accessways, trails, and parking facilities where necessary, and use signs to minimize conflicts between public and private land uses. Signs posted along the shoreline of Tomales Bay shall indicate that no fires or overnight camping is permitted, and that the privacy of homeowners shall be respected. Where appropriate and feasible, parking areas should be provided in conjunction with access easements. The need for parking shall be determined based on existing parking and public transit opportunities in the area. As transit service becomes available, parking capacities should be reduced or eliminated. appropriate restrictions, such as Where public access trails are located adjacent to agricultural lands, signs shall indicate appropriate restrictions against trespassing, fires, camping, and hunting. Where only limited public access or use of an area can be permitted in order to~~

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p><u>protect resource areas from overuse, such signing should identify the appropriate type and levels of use which are consistent with resource protection. The County and CALTRANS shall, as resources permit, post informational signs at appropriate intersections and turning points along visitor routes, in order to direct coastal visitors to public recreation and nature study areas in the Coastal Zone.</u></p> <p>— If the County does not accept an easement, it shall attempt to find appropriate public or private agencies to do so. If no such agency is immediately available, a twenty year irrevocable offer to dedicate the required easement(s) shall be recorded by the applicant prior to the issuance of a final County permit to commence construction. The County shall immediately notify the California Coastal Conservancy of such offers to dedicate. The County may process the irrevocable offers according to the Commission's centralized coastal access program.</p>
<p><u>Policy 2(d) p. 15</u></p> <p>d. <u>Access on developed lots.</u> Public access easements need not be required in a coastal permit for the replacement of, demolition or reconstruction of, or improvements to certain existing structures, as specified in Section 30212(b) of the Coastal Act.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u></p> <p>The concept of this policy has been carried forward to C-PA-3 Exemptions to Public Coastal Access Requirements.</p>	<p>C-PA-3 Exemptions to Public Coastal Access Requirements. Exempt from the public coastal access requirement of Policy C-PA-2 a coastal permit for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The replacement, demolition or reconstruction of certain existing structures, as specified in Section 30212 (b) of the Coastal Act, and 2. Any new development upon specific findings under Section 30212 (a) that (1) public access would be inconsistent with public safety, military security needs, or the protection of fragile coastal resources, (2) adequate access exists nearby, or (3) agriculture would be adversely affected. 3. Furthermore, upon specific findings that public use of an accessway would seriously interfere with the privacy of adjacent residents, public access may not be required. The findings on any point above shall include a consideration of whether or not (1) design measures such as setbacks from sensitive habitats, trails, or stairways, or (2) management measures such as regulated hours, seasons, or types of use could adequately mitigate potential adverse impacts from access. <p>(PC app. 2/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 2.d., p. 15 and Unit II Public Access Policy 5, p. 23]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 2(d) p. 15</u></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p>d. <u>Exempt from the public coastal access requirement of Policy C-PA-2 a coastal permit for:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Access on developed lots. Public access easements need not be required in a coastal permit for the improvement, replacement, demolition or reconstruction of certain existing structures, as specified in Section 30212 (b) of the Coastal Act, and</u> 2. <u>Any new development upon specific findings under Section 30212 (a) that (1) public access would be inconsistent with public safety, military security needs, or the protection of fragile coastal resources, (2) adequate access exists nearby, or (3) agriculture would be adversely affected.</u> 3. <u>Furthermore, upon specific findings that public use of an accessway would seriously interfere with the privacy of adjacent residents, public access may not be required. The findings on any point above shall include a consideration of whether or not (1) design measures such as setbacks from sensitive habitats, trails, or stairways, or (2) management measures such as regulated hours, seasons, or types of use could adequately mitigate potential adverse impacts from access.</u>
<p><u>Policy 2(e) p. 15</u> e. Proximity to mariculture operations. In siting access easements, the County shall consider the location of mariculture operations offshore and the potential impacts of public access on those operations in terms of vandalism and other disturbances.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy was mistakenly excluded. Staff is developing proposed language.</p>	<p>See proposed language – to be developed.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(a)(1) p. 15</u> Specific recommendations for new accessways in Unit II. The recommendations for new accessways have been divided into three geographic areas: west shore of Tomales Bay, east shore of Tomales Bay, and the area north of Walker Creek. If and when undeveloped parcels on the shoreline of Tomales Bay are purchased by the federal government, access easements by the County on those parcels will no longer be necessary.</p> <p>a. <u>West shore of Tomales Bay.</u> Recommendations for the west shore are listed from north to south, in five segments.</p>	<p><u>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</u> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>(1) <u>Location</u>: Tomales Bay State Park to Chicken Ranch Beach.</p> <p>Description: Most of the lots between these two public parks have been developed with single-family dwellings as part of the Teacher's Beach Subdivision. The terrain in this area is generally steep and heavily vegetated. Access is by a narrow winding side road off of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, used by the public to reach the southern end of Tomales Bay State Park. There appears to be little if any public use of the shoreline in this area, except for Chicken Ranch Beach and the area adjacent to it. An offer of dedication of an easement was required as a condition of permit approval by the Regional Coastal Commission for AP #112-042-03, which abuts Chicken Ranch Beach.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations</u>: Agricultural use of the public trust portion of AP# 112-042-03, included in the accepted easement, should be permitted to continue until such time as the public easement is opened for public use as determined by the County Director of Parks and Recreation.</p> <p>[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 84-72 [2/14/84]].</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(a)(2) p. 15</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Location</u>: Chicken Ranch Beach to the Inverness Yacht Club.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: Approximately 50% of the shoreline has been developed between these two points with single-family dwellings and the Golden Hinde Boatel. The Beach and the Boatel are the two formal accessways in this area; however, there is evidence of prescriptive rights on many of the undeveloped parcels, particularly those with sandy beach frontage. The three small parcels south of Chicken Ranch Beach are used by the public as an extension of the Beach, while those immediately south of the Boatel are used by visitors there. Trails and informal parking areas are evident on several undeveloped parcels. An offer of dedication of an easement was required by the Regional Coastal Commission for AP #112-101-16.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status.</p> <p><i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Lateral access shall be required on the three parcels south of Chicken Ranch Beach, AP #112-091-09, 04, and 06. Lateral access shall be required on the two parcels south of the Golden Hinde Boatel, AP #112-101-05 and 06.</p> <p>Vertical access shall be provided where the existing trail is sited on AP #112-101-09, 10, or 11, or #112-123-01. Lateral access shall be required on all of these parcels to accommodate existing public use. Shoulder parking in this area shall be maintained.</p> <p>Lateral access shall be required in AP #112-123-04, 05, 06, and 07 to ensure public access to the sandy beach along the shoreline in this area. AP #112-151-01 to the south, owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch, should be maintained open to the public. If the use changes, easements shall be required to accommodate existing public use.</p> <p>The offered easement on AP #112-101-16 should be accepted and opened to the public, unless the adjacent undeveloped parcel is purchased by the federal government for public parkland.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(a)(3) p. 16</u> (3) <u>Location:</u> Inverness Yacht Club to the Inverness Store.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Development in this section of the shoreline is concentrated primarily around Inverness Yacht Club to the north and Drake's Highway Garage and the Inverness Store to the south. Brock's Boathouse and a number of single-family dwellings are scattered in between. The shoreline south of the Yacht Club is relatively wide and marshy, while that in the vicinity of Brock's Boathouse is narrow and sandy. Formal public access exists at Children's Beach, adjacent to the Boathouse, and the Inverness Store. The area adjacent to the Inverness Library shows heavy use for both vertical and lateral access.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Access shall be maintained at Children's Beach, AP #112-193-03, 112-256-03, and 112-310-04. If the use changes, easements shall be required to accommodate existing public use. Lateral access shall be provided on AP# 112-310-06.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>Both vertical and lateral access shall be provided on AP #112-310-25, adjacent to the Inverness Library, to accommodate existing public use.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(a)(4) p. 16</u> (4) <u>Location</u>: Inverness Store to the William Page Shields Salt Marsh.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: This section encompasses a very narrow portion of the shoreline between the Store and Willow Point and a wider area from the Point south. The narrow portion consists of a sandy beach, largely undeveloped, while that to the south includes numerous houses. Several informal parking areas are evident, including the parcel south of Inverness Store. Formal public access and parking are available at the William Page Shields Salt Marsh, owned and maintained by Audubon Canyon Ranch. An offer of dedication of an easement was required by the Regional Coastal Commission for AP #114-062-11,12, a freshwater marsh now owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations</u>: Vertical and lateral access shall be provided on the parcel adjacent to the Inverness Store, AP #112-310-20.</p> <p>The offered easement on AP #114-062-11, 12 is not suitable for access because it is located in an environmentally sensitive area, a marsh. In addition, the easement is not necessary due to the availability of public access on the adjacent parcel, Shields Salt Marsh.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>
<p><u>Policy 3(a)(5) p. 17</u> (5) <u>Location</u>: William Page Shields Salt Marsh to Inverness Park.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: This area is somewhat different from the other areas on the west shore in that it abuts the Tomales Bay Ecological Reserve, a marsh, rather than Tomales Bay itself. Most of the parcels in this</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>section, particularly towards the northern end, are quite marshy; consequently, most of the existing structures have been built on earth fill or pilings. This development is not readily visible from Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, due to heavy roadside vegetation. An old levee, running along the marsh or back side of these parcels, forms a viewing trail which shows evidence of public use. The levee is sited well away from existing houses and separated from them by additional marsh area. There is no formal access south of the William Page Shields Salt Marsh; however, an offer of dedication of an easement was required by the Regional Coastal Commission for AP #114-072-23.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> The offered easement on AP #114-072-23 should be accepted and opened to the public.</p> <p>The levee trail running south from AP #114-072-23 to AP #119-040-13 should be opened to the public on a limited basis. The trail should be closed during the spring nesting season (March 1st - June 30th) to conform with the closure of the Tomales Bay Ecological Reserve. Undeveloped parcels shall be required to offer lateral easements, and such easements should be sought on developed parcels in this area.</p> <p>A vertical access easement shall be provided on AP #114 -082-02 and/or on undeveloped parcels adjacent to it, to connect with the levee trail.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(1) p. 18</u> <u>East shore of Tomales Bay.</u> Recommendations for the east shore are listed from north to south in seven segments.</p> <p>(1) <u>Location:</u> Walker Creek delta to Miller Park.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> The Walker Creek delta, formed by the deposition of sediment where Walker Creek meets Tomales Bay, is a wide, flat, marshy area. Adjacent parcels between the delta and Highway 1 to the south are long, narrow, and fairly heavily vegetated. Audubon Canyon Ranch owns and preserves the delta as a wildlife sanctuary while the</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>Department of Fish and Game owns several creekside parcels upstream. Access is limited to fishing and picnicking on the upstream parcels. Immediately south of the delta is Jensen's Oyster Beds, a more open 40-acre parcel directly on Tomales Bay. This partially developed property due north of the County-owned Miller Park shows evidence of public use along the shoreline.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Vertical and lateral access should be provided by the Department of Fish and Game and Audubon Canyon Ranch on upstream parcels AP #104-030-02, 05, 08, and #104-040-08 and 12, where consistent with the protection of this sensitive resource area.</p> <p>Vertical and lateral access shall be required on AP #104-110-08, Jensen's Oyster Beds, if it is developed further, to formalize existing public use of the shoreline. Parking shall be maintained in the existing parking area.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(2) p. 18</u> (2) <u>Location:</u> Miller Park to North Shore Boats.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> This relatively narrow section of shoreline has a variety of visitor-serving, residential, nature preserve, and marine-related uses. Development is concentrated at the northern end near Nick's Cove and at the southern end near North Shore Boats, with a few single-family dwellings scattered in between. Nick's Cove and Miller Park form a popular recreational area used by the public for clamming, boating, and fishing. In addition to public access at this point, limited access is available at North Shore Boats, a boat storage, launching, and repair facility. The undeveloped parcels along the entire shoreline in this area, including that owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch,- show evidence of public use for access and parking.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Vertical and lateral access to tidelands shall be maintained in the vicinity of Nick's Cove. The developed parcels, AP #104-150-01 and 02 which constitute the Cove, shall incorporate</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>formal provisions for public access if they are further developed. Access on the undeveloped parcels immediately to the south, AP #104-050-07 and 08 shall be required.</p> <p>Vertical and lateral access and parking shall be required on the Audubon parcel, AP #104-160-01, if its use changes, to guarantee continued public use.</p> <p>Vertical and/or lateral access shall be provided on AP #104-160-15 and 16.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(3) p. 19</u> (3) <u>Location</u>: North Shore Boats to state parkland at Cypress Grove.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: North Shore Boats is located on a wide peninsula of land which then narrows considerably to the south. Other than the boatworks, the only type of development is single-family residential, most of which is concentrated in the center of this shoreline section. There is no formal public access point on any parcel, but informal public use is evident on virtually all of the lots to the south, adjacent to state parkland. Numerous roadside turnouts exist along Highway 1 in this southern area. Audubon Canyon Ranch owns several undeveloped lots, one of which is due south of the North Shore Boats peninsula. The southern side of the peninsula, developed with two houses, has a long, sandy, scenic beach. An offer of dedication of an easement was made as a condition of coastal permit approval by the Regional Coastal Commission on AP #104-180-15 and 16.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations</u>: Vertical and lateral access shall be provided on AP #104-190-31 and 32, the latter of which is owned by Audubon. Lateral access shall also be provided on the undeveloped parcels on the southern side of North Shore Boats peninsula, AP #104-180-13, 14, 15, and 16.</p> <p>Shoulder parking for public viewing purposes shall be maintained on AP #104-190-43, 44, 45, and/or 46, and on AP #104-220-01.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>At least three vertical accessways shall be provided in the section of undeveloped lots from AP #104-220-05 south through AP #104-210-09, at approximately 1/4 mile intervals. Lateral access shall be required on all of these lots. Shoulder parking shall be maintained in at least three locations. The offer of dedication of an easement on AP #104-180-15 and 16 should be accepted and opened to the public.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(4) p. 19</u> (4) <u>Location</u>: State parkland at Cypress Grove to Marshall Tavern.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: At this point on the shoreline, Highway 1 turns inland, creating a relatively broad coastal terrace, approximately 1/2 mile in width. Public, nature preserve, and private uses are located in this area: the northern third forms a recently acquired state park, the central third includes a marsh and is owned and managed by Audubon Canyon Ranch, and the-southern third is a private agricultural operation. The terrain is fairly level, open and covered with grass. Public access will be available at the park in the future when it is opened. Access is presently available to Audubon lands by appointment. Audubon carefully controls access to and around its marshlands due to their environment sensitivity and value as wildlife habitat.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations</u>: Limited access should continue to ACR properties. Coordination between ACR programs at Cypress Grove and those on adjacent public parklands to the north should be explored.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(5) p. 20</u> (5) <u>Location</u>: Marshall Tavern to Marshall Boat Works.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability,</p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p><u>Description:</u> This portion of the shoreline constitutes most of the “town” of Marshall. It is extremely narrow and largely built out with single-family residential dwellings on pilings. The few undeveloped lots, used by the public for parking, viewing, and clamming, serve a very important visual access function by providing a break in the long row of developed lots. The state owns two parcels in this section, AP #106-020-31 and 32.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Lateral access shall be maintained on AP #106-020-33, 12, and 17, the first of which is owned by Audubon. Vertical access shall be provided on at least one of these parcels.</p> <p>Vertical and lateral access shall be required on AP #106-030-16, 106-040-01, 02, 03, and 06.</p> <p>Shoulder parking on all of the undeveloped parcels in this section shall be maintained.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	<p>environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>
<p>Policy 3(b)(6) p. 20 (6) <u>Location:</u> Marshall Boat Works to Marconi Cove Marina.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Except for the boatworks area, the shoreline between the boatworks and the Marina is extremely narrow. Single-family development is grouped in three locations, with long, narrow, undeveloped parcels in between. These undeveloped parcels are regularly used by the public for parking, viewing, clamming, and walking, and provide important visual access to the bay. The three formal access points in this section are located at Marshall Boat Works, Tony’s Seafood, and Marconi Cove Marina. An offer of dedication of an easement was required as a condition of coastal permit approval by the Regional Coastal Commission on AP #106-210-41, adjacent to the Marconi Cove Marina, to protect prescriptive rights. This offer has not yet been made.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> Lateral access shall be provided on AP#106-050- 10, to accommodate existing public use. No parking is</p>	<p>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways. Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p>recommended due to the very limited shoulder area.</p> <p>Vertical and lateral access and parking shall be required on AP #106-210-46 and 33 to accommodate existing public use.</p> <p>The required easement on AP #106-210-41 need not be accepted, if offered, due to the availability of access on the adjacent property, Marconi Cove Marina.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 3(b)(7) p. 21</u> (7) <u>Location</u>: Marconi Cove Marina to state parklands on Tomasini Point.</p> <p><u>Description</u>: There are sixteen parcels between the Marina and the park, only three of which are developed. The immediate shoreline on all of these lots is quite narrow but sandy in places and suitable for walking. The upland area is fairly steep south of the Marina but towards the park, widens out and shows potential for further development. Ideally, a shoreline trail could connect Marconi Cove Marina with the park on Tomasini Point; however, the presence of two houses on pilings seems to preclude this option. Evidence of prescriptive rights exists on most of the undeveloped parcels. Shoulder parking is available at several points towards the southern end of this section.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations</u>: Lateral access shall be extended south from Marconi Cove Marina onto AP #106-270-09, 10, 07, 08, and 04</p> <p>Lateral access shall be required on AP #106-280-14, 10, 02, and 03. Although these four lots are located between existing house lateral access easements will maintain the option for a shoreline trail connecting the Marina and the park.</p> <p>Lateral access shall be required on AP #106-280-05, 06, and 07 and on AP #106-290-01. Vertical access shall also be provided on this latter parcel.</p>	<p><i>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</i> Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status.</p> <p><i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

<p style="text-align: center;">Shoulder parking at existing locations shall be maintained.</p> <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	
<p>Policy 3(c)(1) p. 21 <u>North of Walker Creek.</u> Recommendations for the area north of Walker Creek are listed from south to north in two segments.</p> <p>(1) <u>Location:</u> Walker Creek to Dillon Beach.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> This area includes extensive agricultural holdings and the popular recreational areas at Lawson's Landing and Dillon Beach. Public access is available to and along the shoreline north of Tom's Point for recreational clamming, boating, fishing, and walking. Public use south of Tom's Point is less but the shoreline is suitable for walking. There are several small marshes in the vicinity of the Point and three large oyster allotments offshore. An offer of dedication of a lateral easement was required as a condition of coastal permit approval by the Regional Coastal Commission on AP #104-040-25.</p> <p><u>LCP recommendations:</u> The offered easement on AP #104-040-25 should be accepted and opened to the public.</p> <p>Lateral access shall be required on all undeveloped parcels on the shoreline between Dillon Beach, AP #100-100-46, and the Walker Creek delta, AP #104-040-03.</p> <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.</p>	<p>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways. Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>
<p>Policy 3(c)(2) p. 22 (2) <u>Location:</u> Dillon Beach to Estero Americano.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> The Oceana Marina subdivision is located immediately north of the village area in Dillon Beach. There is public use of the shoreline in this area; however, low bluffs make access somewhat difficult. North of the subdivision, the terrain becomes quite steep and vertical access to the water is not possible except in a few places. High coastal bluffs offer impressive views of the ocean and the</p>	<p>Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways. Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status. <i>[New program, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

Esteros. Public pedestrian use has been made of an existing dirt road to reach the Estero de San Antonio. North of this Estero, the land is quite inaccessible.

LCP recommendations: Lateral and/or bluff top access easements shall be required on all parcels including and north of AP #100-100-46 at Dillon Beach.

Vertical access shall be provided on AP #100-100-30, adjacent to the Oceana Marin subdivision.

Public pedestrian access to the Estero de San Antonio shall be maintained on the existing dirt road through AP #100-100-57 and 100-040-33.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 88-333 (Attachment 1, p.1) [12/20/88], approved by CCC with suggested modifications 4/12/89, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 89-216 [8/8/89], CCC ED Checkoff 4/13/90]

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program **C-PA-6.a** Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

Policy 4 p. 22

Priorities for acquisition. If funds become available with which to purchase public access easements, such easement shall be purchased first on the parcels listed below. These parcels were designated because they are heavily used by the public and/or are very important for visual access.

- a. East shore of Tomales Bay, undeveloped parcels. Public access easements are recommended on the following parcels:

<u>Area</u>	<u>AP Number</u>
North of Cypress Grove	104-210-09
North of Cypress Grove	104-230-03, 04
Marshall	106-040-01, 02, 03
Marshall	106-030-16
Marshall	106-020-12, 17
Marconi Cove Marina	106-210-33, 46

- b. West shore of Tomales Bay, undeveloped parcels. Public access easements are recommended on the following parcels:

<u>Area</u>	<u>AP Number</u>
Chicken Ranch Beach	112-091-04, 06, 09

- c. Developed parcels. The one developed parcel most desirable for public access is Jensen's Oyster Beds, AP #104-110-08. The southerly portion of the property, adjacent to Miller Park, is particularly suitable for access.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program **C-PA-6.a** Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.

Policy 5 p. 23

Exceptions for parcels not recommended for access at this time. When the County reviews coastal permits for development on parcels not specifically listed in Policy #3, the general standards in Policy #2 shall apply as well as the following exception:

Public access may not be required upon specific findings by the County that,

- (1) It is inconsistent with public safety or the protection of fragile coastal resources, or.

Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.

Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status.

[New program, not in Unit I or II]

C-PA-6 Acquisition of New Public Coastal Accessways through Suitable Means.

Acquire additional public coastal accessways in order to enhance opportunities to reach public tidelands, to link publicly accessible beaches via lateral trails, and to avoid the impacts of overuse of any single area. Acquisition shall be pursued through available means including, but not limited to, public purchase, tax default acquisitions, agreements with nonprofit management entities, voluntary donation, or, when permissible, dedication as a condition of a coastal project permit. When available funds or other acquisition opportunities are limited, accessways listed in Appendix 1 shall receive first priority. Acquisition of accessways shall take into account the needs to protect public safety, military

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

- (2) Agriculture would be adversely affected, or
- (3) Public use of an accessway would seriously interfere with the privacy of existing homes.

The County's findings on any point above shall include a consideration of whether or not measures such as setbacks from sensitive habitats, trail or stairway development, or regulated hours, seasons, or types of use, could adequately mitigate potential adverse impacts from access.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Program **C-PA-6.a** Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways.

security, fragile coastal resources, and agriculture.

(PC app. 11/23/09)

[Adapted from Unit I Public Access Policies 9, 11, 12, 13, pp. 8-9; Unit II Public Access Policies 3, 4, 5, pp. 15-22]

Program C-PA-6.a Review and Revise List of Recommended Public Coastal Accessways. Review and revise as appropriate the priority coastal access sites in the List of Recommended Accessways to reflect current suitability, environmental characteristics, and ownership status.

(PC app. 2/8/10)

[New program, not in Unit I or II]

Unit II Policy 5 p. 23

~~Exceptions for parcels not recommended for access at this time. When the County reviews coastal permits for development on parcels not specifically listed in Policy #3, the general standards in Policy #2 shall apply as well as the following exception:~~

~~Public access may not be required upon specific findings by the County that,~~

- ~~(1) It is inconsistent with **C-PA-6 Acquisition of New Public Coastal Accessways through Suitable Means.** Acquire additional public coastal accessways in order to enhance opportunities to reach public tidelands, to link publicly accessible beaches via lateral trails, and to avoid the impacts of overuse of any single area. Acquisition shall be pursued through available means including, but not limited to, public purchase, tax default acquisitions, agreements with nonprofit management entities, voluntary donation, or, when permissible, dedication as a condition of a coastal project permit. When available funds or other acquisition opportunities are limited, accessways listed in Appendix 1 shall receive first priority. Acquisition of accessways shall take into account the needs to protect public safety, military security, ~~or the protection of fragile coastal resources, or and~~~~

~~(2) Agriculture would be adversely affected, or~~

~~(3) Public use of an accessway would seriously interfere with the privacy of existing homes.~~

~~The County's findings on any point above shall include a consideration of whether or not measures such as setbacks from sensitive habitats, trail or~~

**Appendix 4
Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Access**

	<p style="text-align: center;">stairway development, or regulated hours, seasons, or types of use, could adequately mitigate potential adverse impacts from access.</p>
<p>Policy 6 p. 23 <u>Bike and pedestrian trails.</u> Requirements for access easements to provide for hiking/biking trails in Unit II are described in Policy #4 under Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities.</p> <p>Policy 4 p. 52 <u>Recreation and Transportation.</u> <u>Bike Paths.</u> The County supports the concept of a bike/pedestrian trail network in Unit II, connecting the villages and providing access to public parks. Several proposed routes have been discussed by West Marin residents and planning groups but no final recommendation has been developed. In the absence of such a recommendation, the LCP assumes that the most likely location for a bike trail is along Highway 1 and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. Therefore, to maintain the option for a roadside trail, coastal development permits for projects on either side of these roads shall require offers of dedication of easements 10 feet in width. When a final route for the bike/trail is agreed upon by the County, community, and concerned agencies and organizations, requirements for offers of roadside easements shall be modified to account for the new route.</p> <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy C-PK-14 Appropriate Alignment of the California Coastal Trail.</p>	<p>C-PK-14 Appropriate Alignment of the California Coastal Trail. Support completion of the California Coastal Trail through Marin County, working with willing sellers or donors.</p> <p>From Tomales north to the County line, the route should tentatively follow Dillon Beach Rd. and Valley Ford-Franklin School Rd., as and if appropriate.</p> <p>Acquisition, siting, and design of the California Coastal Trail should reflect the following standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seek needed trail segments from willing sellers at fair market value or by donation; 2. Locate the trail along or as close to the shoreline as feasible; 3. Incorporate a “braided trail” concept, if necessary, in which there are separate routes for different non-motorized users; 4. Make the trail continuous and link it to other public trail systems; 5. Where not feasible to locate the trail along the shoreline due to natural landforms, sensitive natural resources, or agricultural operations, locate inland bypass segments as close to the shoreline as possible; 6. Consider use of interim trail segments that assure a continuous coastal trail in the short-term, while providing for potential realignment to better locations as conditions change in the future, and seek opportunities over time to move such segments closer to the coastline where willing landowners agree; 7. Wherever possible, avoid locating the trail along roads with motorized vehicle traffic; if it is necessary to site the trail along roads, provide for separation of the trail from traffic. <p>(PC app. 2/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 4, p. 52 and Malibu LCP Policy 2.57, pp. 27-8]</i></p> <p><i>[A strike out and <u>underline</u> version of this proposed policy is not provided since the proposed policy is an adaptation of the concepts in the existing language and has been significantly rewritten]</i></p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

Unit II Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 42</u> <u>General policy.</u> The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of public recreational opportunities and the development of visitor-serving facilities in its coastal zone. Such development must, however, be undertaken in a manner which preserves the unique qualities of Marin's coast and which is consistent with the protection of natural resources and agriculture. Generally, recreational uses shall be low-intensity, such as hiking, camping, and fishing, in keeping with the character of existing uses in the coastal zone. New visitor-serving commercial development shall be compatible in style, scale, and character with that of the community in which it is located and shall be sited and designed to minimize impacts on the environment add on other uses in the area. The County encourages that a diversity of recreational opportunities and facilities be provided, especially those of moderate cost. Facilities for water-oriented recreational uses, such as clamming and boating, are preferred to those which do not require a coastal location.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy C-PK-2 Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities and C-PK-8 Appropriate Public Recreational Opportunities.</p>	<p>C-PK-2 Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities. Ensure that new visitor serving and commercial development is compatible in architectural character, scale, and function with the character of the community in which it is located in order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in the Coastal Zone. Site and design visitor serving and commercial development to minimize impacts on the environment and on other uses in the area, and evaluate it for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others. (PC app. 10/26/09) <i>[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 1, p. 42 and 3.a., p. 43]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 42</u> <u>General policy.</u> The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of public recreational opportunities and the development of visitor serving facilities in its coastal zone. Such development must, however, be undertaken in a manner which preserves the unique qualities of Marin's coast and which is consistent with the protection of natural resources and agriculture. Generally, recreational uses shall be low-intensity, such as hiking, camping, and fishing, in keeping with the character of existing uses in the coastal zone. <u>C-PK-2 Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities.</u> <u>Ensure that</u> A new visitor-serving commercial development shall be is compatible in architectural character, scale, and function with the character of the community in-style, scale, and character with that of the community in which it is located and shall be sited and designed to minimize impacts on the environment <u>add which it is located in order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in the Coastal Zone. Site and design visitor serving and commercial development to minimize impacts on the environment and on other uses in the area, and evaluate it for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others.</u> The County encourages that a diversity of recreational opportunities and facilities be provided, especially those of moderate cost. Facilities for water-oriented recreational uses, such as clamming and boating, are preferred to those which do not require a coastal location.</p> <p>C-PK-8 Appropriate Public Recreation Opportunities. Ensure that public recreational development is undertaken in a manner which preserves the</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

	<p>unique qualities of Marin's coast and which is consistent with the protection of natural resources and agriculture. Generally, recreational uses shall be low-intensity, such as hiking, camping, and fishing, in keeping with the character of existing uses in the Coastal Zone. (PC app. 11/23/09) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 1, p. 42]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 42</u></p> <p>General policy. C-PK-8 Appropriate Public Recreation Opportunities. The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of Ensure that public recreational opportunities and the development of visitor serving facilities in its coastal zone. Such development must, however, be development is undertaken in a manner which preserves the unique qualities of Marin's coast and which is consistent with the protection of natural resources and agriculture. Generally, recreational uses shall be low-intensity, such as hiking, camping, and fishing, in keeping with the character of existing uses in the Coastal Zone. New visitor serving commercial development shall be compatible in style, scale, and character with that of the community in which it is located and shall be sited and designed to minimize impacts on the environment add on other uses in the area. The County encourages that a diversity of recreational opportunities and facilities be provided, especially those of moderate cost. Facilities for water oriented recreational uses, such as clamming and boating, are preferred to those which do not require a coastal location.</p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 42-43</u> <u>Public parklands.</u></p> <p>a. Role of public parklands. Federal, state, and county parks provide most of the existing opportunities for public recreation in Unit II, for both local residents and coastal visitors. The LCP assumes that most future recreational needs of the public will be met by these parks as well. The potential for additional recreational development on parklands is substantial and would, in concept, be consistent with the goals of the LCP. The policies listed below provide a framework within which such future development is to be evaluated. (Policies on federal lands are given in a separate section of the LCP on page 61.)</p> <p>b. State parks. The State Department of Parks and Recreation has numerous holdings in Unit II, several of which have not yet been developed. The State will prepare detailed master plans for the development of these parks which shall be subject to review according to the following standards:</p>	<p>C-PK-11 State Parks. The State Department of Parks and Recreation has numerous holdings in the Coastal Zone, several of which have not yet been developed. The Department has prepared a General Plan for Tomales Bay State Park, which includes most of the state park land in Marin County's Coastal Zone. The Tomales Bay State Park General Plan states that it "aims to preserve what works well now in the park and only recommends changes to park management, activities, and recreational and administrative facilities that can harmonize with the area's sensitive values and support valuable visitor experiences of Tomales Bay and its surrounding landscape." Support development at Tomales Bay State Park consistent with the adopted General Plan:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Focus and anchor east shore recreation at Marconi Cove and west shore recreation at Heart's Desire Area. 2. Manage the greater part of park areas for their habitat, watershed, and aesthetic values and for low-impact and low-density recreation opportunities such as trail use, nature observation, and picnicking.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

<p>(1) Inverness Ridge. Development of the 1200-acre Inverness Ridge project should be limited to low-intensity uses such as hiking and nature study. Primitive hike-in campsites are also appropriate in select locations, where the constraints of slope, fire hazard, and water quality impacts can be adequately-addressed. Extreme caution should be taken in siting campsites to minimize fire danger to nearby residential areas. Development of the Inverness Ridge project should be integrated with that of Tomales Bay State Park and the Point Reyes National Seashore. The County encourages the transfer of state parklands on the Inverness Ridge, located between Paradise Ranch Estates and the Inverness Valley Inn, to the federal government for management as part of the Point Reyes National Seashore, as authorized in Public Law 96-199.</p> <p>(2) Tomasini/Millerton Points. This area should be developed both for day and overnight use. Recommended facilities for this park include picnic sites, fishing areas, trails, nature study areas, and campsites. The campsites should be located on the upland side of Highway 1 where they can be screened from view and sited so as not to interfere with adjacent agricultural uses or create, a fire hazard. The existing mariculture operation on the property should remain and, if possible, be incorporated into the interpretive facilities of the park. The five existing single-family dwellings on Millerton Point should be removed so that the natural beach landscape in this area can be restored. A bike trail connecting with Highway 1 should be included in the development plan and the construction of a boat launch should be considered. All development on the Points should be carefully sited and designed to protect views to and along Tomales Bay.</p> <p>(3) <u>Cypress Grove project</u>. This property should be a day use area only, due to its small size, high visibility, and exposure. Picnicking, hiking, fishing, and nature study would be appropriate activities for this park. The possibility of incorporating interpretive facilities on this site with those on Cypress Grove properties to the south, owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch, should be explored.</p> <p>c. County parks. The three county parks in Unit II, Miller Park, Whitehouse Pool, and Chicken Ranch Beach, offer boating, fishing, and swimming opportunities in key locations and should remain in operation. If possible, water should be supplied to Miller Park for the benefit of those who use the facility. Existing roadside parking for Chicken Ranch Beach on Sir Francis Drake Boulevard should be maintained in its present configuration. If parcels to the south are purchased as an addition to the Beach, modest expansion of the parking area</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Enhance trail connections with Point Reyes National Seashore in the Heart’s Desire and Inverness Areas. 4. Improve recreational opportunities along the Highway 1 corridor where recent acquisitions present new opportunities. 5. Formalize small-scale camping opportunities in previously developed areas. 6. Provide watercraft and sailboard launching opportunities at Marconi Cove and provide hiking and mountain biking recreational opportunities at the proposed trail in the Millerton Uplands. 7. Use sustainable design in the siting, construction, and maintenance of park facilities. <p>Furthermore, the following guidelines shall be applied as standards for coastal project permit review for proposed development in the park:</p> <p><u>Heart’s Desire Area</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Preserve and enhance the forest structure and age classes of the Jepson Grove/Bishop pine forest and forest growth by improving Pinus muricata growth. 2. Continue to manage Heart’s Desire Beach as the only “drive-up” beach access in the park. 3. Preserve and enhance the Indian Beach estuary and protect its cultural attributes including the midden site. 4. Restore the natural outlet of the estuary that was lost when the parking lot was built at Heart’s Desire Beach in the 1960s. 5. Redesign and relocate picnic facilities to better blend with the natural environment and to provide a sense of seclusion where appropriate. 6. Adapt former hike-bike campground to a group campground. 7. Develop small walk-in campground (maximum of 15 sites) above the entrance station provided, however, that accommodation may be made for vehicles to provide any necessary disability access. 8. Encourage the Point Reyes National Seashore to extend its trail system to help complete the California Coastal Trail in two locations: connect the Indian Beach Trail to Marshall Beach Trail, and connect the Johnstone Trail to the Mount Vision Road and Inverness Ridge Trail. <p><u>Inverness Area</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manage these parcels as natural watershed, viewshed and wildlife habitat. 2. On the North Dream Farm property, consider developing a day-use trailhead, a self-guided nature trail loop, and an extension of the nature trail which would connect with the ridgetop trails of Point Reyes National
---	--

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities

should be considered.

- d. Acquisitions. The undeveloped shoreline on both sides of Tomales Bay has great value for public recreation, public physical and visual access to the water, and natural resource protection. The County strongly encourages public acquisition of these lands so that they may be preserved for public use and protected from the impacts of development. To this end, the County supports recent federal legislation, HR 3757, authorizing purchase of undeveloped lots on Tomales Bay and recommends that purchase be completed as soon as possible. The County also supports the acquisition of all or a portion of the property known as Jensen's Oyster Beds, AP #104-110-08, as an extension of Miller Park if it is not developed for some other visitor-serving use and the acquisition of Tom's Point, AP #104-040-20,21, to protect the significant archaeological and geological resources on the site.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy **C-PK- 11** State Parks and **C-PK-12** Existing County Parks in the Coastal Zone. A strike through and underline version has not been provided for **C-PK-11** since the policy has been significantly rewritten.

Seashore.

3. Consider acquisitions from willing sellers, land exchanges, or land-use agreements to consolidate the park's three discontinuous Inverness Area parcels and make them more usable for public hiking both on the Tomales Bay side and to connect with trails in the Point Reyes National Seashore.
4. Encourage the State Department of Parks and Recreation to consider transferring to the Inverness Public Utilities District the management or ownership of the three Assessors Parcels located around the District's watershed lands.

Millerton Area-

1. Preserve and protect the Tomasini Point estuary area as habitat for native plants and animals.
2. Create a Millerton Uplands trail as part of a new segment of the California Coastal Trail.
3. Consider establishment of two trailheads to support the proposed Millerton Uplands trail—a southern trailhead near Millerton Point and a northern trailhead at Tomasini Point, including, if necessary for safety, a modest-sized and sensitively located and screened parking lot and restroom facilities on the east side of the highway near the entrance to Sheep Ranch Road.
4. Encourage the State Department of Parks and Recreation to maintain existing agricultural operations on acquired lands on the east shore of Tomales Bay until such time as the lands are developed for recreational purposes.

Marconi Cove Area

1. Provide day-use picnicking and boating facilities at this former marina/campground site.
2. Provide approximately eight walk-in campsites which could accommodate, but would not be limited to, the camping needs of bicyclists, boaters, and future hikers of the California Coastal Trail.
3. Consider adaptation of the bathhouse (potentially historic) along Highway 1 to use as staff or campground host housing or for another park use. The old gas station is less than 50 years old, does not have the potential for historic significance, and can be demolished.
4. Retain natural values where the property is narrowest, on the south end.
5. Ensure that development and operation of recreational facilities at Marconi Cove consider potential impacts to freshwater and baywater quality, wildlife, and to existing state water bottom leases utilized for commercial shellfish aquaculture.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

Policy 3(a) p. 43

(a) General standards and zoning. In order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in Unit II, visitor-serving and commercial development shall be compatible in architectural style, scale, and function with the character of the community in which it is located. Such development shall also be evaluated for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others. Existing commercial zoning shall be modified in accordance with policies 3(b) through 3(g) below. Additional LCP rezonings, not related to commercial development, are given in the LCP section on new development, page 209.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy **C-PK- 2** Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities.

C-PK-2 Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities. Ensure that new visitor serving and commercial development is compatible in architectural character, scale, and function with the character of the community in which it is located in order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in the Coastal Zone. Site and design visitor serving and commercial development to minimize impacts on the environment and on other uses in the area, and evaluate it for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others.
[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 1, p. 42 and 3.a., p. 43]

Unit II Policy 1 p. 42

General policy. The County of Marin supports and encourages the enhancement of public recreational opportunities and the development of visitor serving facilities in its coastal zone. Such development must, however, be undertaken in a manner which preserves the unique qualities of Marin's coast and which is consistent with the protection of natural resources and agriculture. Generally, recreational uses shall be low-intensity, such as hiking, camping, and fishing, in keeping with the character of existing uses in the coastal zone. **C-PK-2 Compatible Commercial Recreation Facilities.** Ensure that new visitor-serving and commercial development shall be is compatible in style architectural character, scale, and and function with the character with that of the community in which it is located in order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in the Coastal Zone and shall be sSited and designed visitor serving and commercial development to minimize impacts on the environment and on other uses in the area, and evaluate it for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others. The County encourages that a diversity of recreational opportunities and facilities be provided, especially those of moderate cost. Facilities for water oriented recreational uses, such as clamming and boating, are preferred to those which do not require a coastal location.

Unit II Policy 3(a) p. 43

(a) General standards and zoning. Ensure that new visitor serving and commercial development is compatible in architectural character, scale, and function with the character of the community in which it is located iIn order to preserve the integrity and special qualities of coastal villages in Unit II, the Coastal Zone. Site and design visitor-serving and commercial development shall be compatible in architectural style, scale, and function with the character of the

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

~~community in which it is located. Such development shall also be to minimize impacts on the environment and on other uses in the area, and evaluated-it for its conformance with LCP policies on natural resources and agriculture, visual quality, public access, and public services, among others. Existing commercial zoning shall be modified in accordance with policies 3(b) through 3(e) below. Additional LCP rezonings, not related to commercial development, are given in the LCP section on new development, page 209.~~

Policy 3(b) p. 44

(b) Olema. The town of Olema consists of a small enclave of privately owned lands surrounded by federal parkland, located at the junction of two major coastal access roads. Due to its location and function, Olema is an appropriate site for the expansion of visitor-serving facilities. Specifically, an increase in campsites or trailer sites at the Olema Ranch Campground would be appropriate, provided that sewage disposal and other constraints can be met and that suitable landscaping is provided to screen the trailer storage area. New motel construction and/or the conversion of existing structures to hotels or hostels, as well as the development of other commercial services, is also encouraged.

In order to concentrate development, provide for the expansion of visitor-serving facilities, and preserve agriculture, the following rezonings' shall be adopted:

- (1) The two large agricultural parcels on the east side of Highway 1 currently zoned for strip RCR development, AP #166-030-15 and AP #166-010-27, shall be rezoned to APZ-60. *(Staff note: Parcel 166-030-15 has been rezoned to C-OA and is now owned by the GGNRA; Parcel 166-010-27 is also owned by the GGNRA and was rezoned to C-APZ-60.)*
- (2) The parcels bounded by Bear Valley Road to the south, Highway 1 to the east, Olema Creek to the west, and adjacent to the Olema Ranch Campground but which are -not a part of the campground, shall be rezoned from A-2:B-2 and RCR to VCR.

These parcels include:

AP number	Zoning: Existing	Zoning: LCP

C-OL-1 Community Character of Olema. Maintain Olema's existing mix of residential, commercial, and open space land uses and the small-scale, historic community character. Minimize the impacts of future development in the hillside area of Olema with the following design standards:

1. Cluster structures on the more level areas away from the steep road cuts on Highway One and off the upper grassy slopes, which shall be maintained open to protect their visual character.
2. Incorporate and reflect the historic character of Olema and existing recreational uses in project design. The height of structures shall be in keeping with the character and scale of the surrounding community to minimize visual impacts on adjacent federal parklands, Highway One, and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard.
3. Provide pedestrian paths as appropriate to nearby federal park activity areas.

[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3b(5) p. 44 and Public Services Policy 3b, p. 190]

Unit II Policy 3(b) p. 44

~~(5) The large 13+ acre parcel upland and north of the Old Olema Hotel, AP #166 193 01, 02, and #166 230 05, shall be rezoned from H 1 and A 2:B 2 to RCR. This parcel has potential for development as a motel/resort complex, the only parcel with this potential in Olema. The site is large enough for a 20 to 40 unit motel or cottages, a major addition to the town. In order to minimize the impacts of development on this site, C-OL-1 Community Character of Olema. Maintain Olema's existing mix of residential, commercial, and open space land uses and the small-scale, historic community character. Minimize the impacts of future development in the hillside area of Olema with the following design standards shall be met:~~

- ~~1. Structures shall be clustered~~ Cluster structures on the more level areas of the property away from the steep road cuts on Highway 1

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

166-181-01,03	RCR	VCR
166-181-04	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-192-01	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-192-02	RCR	VCR
166-220-15,16	RCR	VCR

Staff note: the parcels in the above table were rezoned to C-VCR via Ordinance 2704. In addition, parcel 166-192-02 is now 166-192-06. Parcel 166-220-15 is now 166-220-18 and 19.

- (3) The parcels in the center of town bounded by Bear Valley Road to the north, Highway 1 to the east, Olema Creek to the west, and a private road to the south, currently zoned H-1 or A-2:B-2, shall be rezoned to VCR. Two small inholdings south of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard on the east side of Highway 1 zoned H-1 shall also be rezoned to VCR. These parcels include:

AP number	Zoning: Existing	Zoning: LCP
166-191-03,04	H-1	VCR
166-201-06,09,10,13	H-1	VCR
166-201-02,07,08	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-203-02,03	H-1	VCR
166-212-03,04	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-213-01,02	A-2:B-2	VCR

Staff note: the parcels in the above table were rezoned to C-VCR via Ordinance 2704. In addition, parcel 166-166-201-09 and 10 are now combined into 166-201-14. Also, AP #166-191-04; 166-201-06 and 14; 166-203-02 an, 03; and 166-213-01 and 02 are all inside the Historic Preservation Boundary.

- (4) The row of four parcels on the northeast corner of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard and Highway 1, uphill from the Old Olema Hotel, shall be rezoned from H-1 and A-2:B-2 to VCR. These parcels include:

AP number	Zoning: Existing	Zoning: LCP
166-202-01	H-1	VCR
166-202-02,03,04	A-2:B-2	VCR

- (5) The large 13+ acre parcel upland and north of the Old Olema Hotel, AP #166-193-01, 02, and #166-230-05, shall be rezoned from H-1 and A-2:B-

and off the upper grassy slopes, which shall be maintained open to protect their visual character.

2. Incorporate and reflect the historic character of Olema and existing recreational uses in project design. ~~Development shall be designed-~~The height of ~~permitted-~~structures shall be in keeping with the character and scale of the surrounding development community to minimize visual impacts on adjacent federal parklands, Highway ~~1~~One, and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard.
3. Provide Ppedestrian paths ~~shall be established from the site as appropriate~~ to nearby federal park activity areas. ~~Minor improvements may be required to Highway 1 in order to safely accommodate such paths.~~
 - ~~The character of the project shall incorporate and reflect the historic character of Olema and existing recreational uses in the area. Comments from the National Park Service shall be solicited in the process of development plan review by the County.~~
 - ~~Development shall include adequate on-site sewage disposal~~

- (1) ~~The two large agricultural parcels on the east side of Highway 1 currently zoned for strip RCR development, AP #166-030-15 and AP #166-010-27, shall be rezoned to APZ-60.~~
- (2) ~~The parcels bounded by Bear Valley Road to the south, Highway 1 to the east, Olema Creek to the west, and adjacent to the Olema Ranch Campground but which are not a part of the campground, shall be rezoned from A 2:B 2 and RCR to VCR.~~

~~These parcels include:~~

AP number	Zoning: Existing	Zoning: LCP
166-181-01,03	RCR	VCR
166-181-04	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-192-01	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-192-02	RCR	VCR
166-220-15,16	RCR	VCR

- (3) ~~The parcels in the center of town bounded by Bear Valley Road to the north, Highway 1 to the east, Olema Creek to the west, and a private road to the south, currently zoned H-1 or A-2:B-2, shall be rezoned to VCR. Two small inholdings south of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard on the east side of Highway 1 zoned H-1 shall also be rezoned to VCR. These parcels include:~~

AP number	Zoning: Existing	Zoning: LCP
-----------	------------------	-------------

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

<p>2 to RCR. This parcel has potential for development as a motel/resort complex, the only parcel with this potential in Olema. The site is large enough for a 20 to 40 unit motel or cottages, a major addition to the town. In order to minimize the impacts of development on this site, the following design standards shall be met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures shall be clustered on the more level areas of the property, away from the steep road cuts on Highway 1 and off of the upper grassy slopes. These upper slopes shall be maintained open to protect their visual character. Development shall be designed to minimize visual impacts on adjacent federal parklands, Highway 1, and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. The height of permitted structures shall be in keeping with the character and scale of surrounding development. Pedestrian paths shall be established from the site to nearby federal park activity areas. Minor improvements may be required to Highway 1 in order to safely accommodate such paths. The character of the project shall incorporate and reflect the historic character of Olema and existing recreational uses in the area. Comments from the National Park Service shall be solicited in the process of development plan review by the County. Development shall include adequate on-site sewage disposal <p>Policy Status The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy C-OL-1 Community Character of Olema.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr><td>166-191-03,04</td><td>H-1</td><td>VCR</td></tr> <tr><td>166-201-06,09,10,13</td><td>H-1</td><td>VCR</td></tr> <tr><td>166-201-02,07,08</td><td>A-2:B-2</td><td>VCR</td></tr> <tr><td>166-203-02,03</td><td>H-1</td><td>VCR</td></tr> <tr><td>166-212-03,04</td><td>A-2:B-2</td><td>VCR</td></tr> <tr><td>166-213-01,02</td><td>A-2:B-2</td><td>VCR</td></tr> </table>	166-191-03,04	H-1	VCR	166-201-06,09,10,13	H-1	VCR	166-201-02,07,08	A-2:B-2	VCR	166-203-02,03	H-1	VCR	166-212-03,04	A-2:B-2	VCR	166-213-01,02	A-2:B-2	VCR
166-191-03,04	H-1	VCR																	
166-201-06,09,10,13	H-1	VCR																	
166-201-02,07,08	A-2:B-2	VCR																	
166-203-02,03	H-1	VCR																	
166-212-03,04	A-2:B-2	VCR																	
166-213-01,02	A-2:B-2	VCR																	
<p>Policy 3(c) p. 46 Point Reyes Station. Point Reyes Station is recognized as the commercial center of the Unit II coastal zone because of its available land area, existing commercial services, and location. The development of additional visitor-serving and commercial facilities in the community is encouraged, especially the development of overnight accommodations, of which the town has none. The LCP supports the recommendations of the community plan that overnight accommodations be established in the Grandi Building, AP #119-234-01, and on AP 1f 119-240-05. Because relatively few parcels in town zoned for commercial uses remain undeveloped, the following zoning changes shall be adopted to ensure that adequate land area is available for future commercial development:</p> <p>(1) Village Commercial use shall be expanded to include the southeasterly half of the block bounded by A and B Streets and 5th and 6th Streets. This</p>	<p>C-PRS-2 Commercial Infill. Promote commercial infill within and adjacent to existing commercial uses. Consider rezoning the four blocks bounded by B, C, 3rd, and 7th Streets, which are presently zoned C-RA:B2 to Village Commercial Residential (C-VCR), if it is determined that additional areas are necessary for visitor and local serving commercial uses. This area of the town constitutes the most suitable area for visitor and local serving commercial expansion because it is level, has adequate space, is located adjacent to the existing commercial area, and is several blocks removed from Highway One, thus reducing the potential for substantial traffic impacts as development proceeds. <i>[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3c(1) p. 46]</i></p> <p>Unit II Policy 3(c) p. 46 (1) C-PRS-2 Commercial Infill. Village Commercial use shall be expanded to include the southeasterly half of the block bounded by A</p>																		

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

defined commercial area will help to promote commercial infilling within and adjacent to existing commercial uses as recommended by the Community Plan. When the LCP is reviewed in 5 years, further expansion to include the four blocks bounded by B, C, 3rd, and 7th Streets shall be considered if it is determined that additional areas are necessary for visitor servicing and commercial uses. This area of the town constitutes the most suitable area for commercial expansion because it is level, has adequate space, is located adjacent to the existing commercial area, and is several blocks removed from Highway 1, thus reducing the potential for substantial traffic impacts as development proceeds.

- (2) The six acres south of town currently zoned RMPC shall be rezoned to VCR. Because of the lack of a community sewer, additional multiple unit development in this area is not appropriate. Existing multiple units can remain and, if destroyed by natural disaster, may be rebuilt. *Staff note: These parcels appear to be 166-170-12 and 24, which were rezoned to C-VCR:B2 via Ordinance 2704.*
- (3) The 12.7 acre parcel located at the junction of Highway 1 and the Point Reyes-Petaluma Road, AP# 119-240-55, shall be rezoned to permit visitor-serving and commercial uses as a principle permitted use. Under the parcel's current RMP-4 zoning, motels and similar commercial uses are permitted by use permit. The site does appear to have potential for a small 20-unit motel, cottages, hostel, or similar facility. To protect the site's visual and environmental qualities, new development shall be sited and designed to minimize view and traffic impacts on nearby public roads, protect Lagunitas Creek and adjacent riparian vegetation from the impacts of erosion and water quality degradation, and minimize slope disturbance. Development shall be clustered, limited in height to that which is compatible with the surrounding area and scale of development, and shall provide adequate waste disposal on-site. *Staff note: This parcel was rezoned to C-RMPC via Ordinance 2704.*
- (4) The 248-acre parcel known as Martinelli Farms provides a unique opportunity for the development of visitor-serving uses. The parcel has adequate land area, a desirable location, and magnificent views on Tomales Bay. A motel or cottages are recommended along with campsites and day use picnic facilities. The site also has potential for other visitor-serving uses such as a restaurant, on-site fishing area, nature study area, or stables. Due to the large amount of land available in other parts of Point Reyes Station for residential development, Martinelli Farms is not considered a prime residential site. Limited residential development may

~~and B Streets and 5th and 6th Streets. This defined commercial area will help to p~~ Promote commercial infill within and adjacent to existing commercial uses as recommended by the Community Plan. Consider rezoning ~~When the LCP is reviewed in 5 years, further expansion to include~~ the four blocks bounded by B, C, 3rd, and 7th Streets, ~~shall be considered~~ which are presently zoned C-RA:B2 to Village Commercial Residential (C-VCR), if it is determined that additional areas are necessary for visitor and local serving commercial uses. This area of the town constitutes the most suitable area for visitor and local serving commercial expansion because it is level, has adequate space, is located adjacent to the existing commercial area, and is several blocks removed from Highway 1, thus reducing the potential for substantial traffic impacts as development proceeds.

- ~~(2) The six acres south of town currently zoned RMPC shall be rezoned to VCR. Because of the lack of a community sewer, additional multiple unit development in this area is not appropriate. Existing multiple units can remain and, if destroyed by natural disaster, may be rebuilt.~~

C-PRS-3 Visitor Serving and Commercial Facilities. Encourage the development of additional visitor serving and commercial facilities, especially overnight accommodations. Continue to support the recommendations of the Point Reyes Station Community Plan to establish overnight accommodations in the Grandi Building (Assessor Parcel Number 119-234-01 and Assessor Parcel Number 119-240-55, located at the junction of Highway One and Point Reyes – Petaluma Road (See also PRS-4 Junction of Highway One and Point Reyes-Petaluma Road below).

(PC app. 07/29/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3c p. 46]

Unit II Policy 3(c) p. 46

~~Point Reyes Station. Point Reyes Station is recognized as the commercial center of the Unit II coastal zone because of its available land area, existing commercial services, and location.~~ **C-PRS-3 Visitor Serving and Commercial facilities.** ~~The development of additional visitor serving and commercial facilities in the community is e~~Encouraged, especially the development of overnight accommodations, ~~of which the town has none.~~ the development of additional visitor serving and commercial facilities, especially ~~the development of overnight accommodations, of which the town has none.~~ The LCP Continue to supports the recommendations of the Point Reyes Station eCommunity pPlan that ~~overnight accommodations be~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

be permitted, however, in conjunction with visitor facilities, as a secondary use. Currently, the site is zoned RSP-0.33 for planned residential development. This zoning allows an overall density of 1 unit per 3 acres, or a total of 82 units. The LCP recommends that this density be retained, although the actual density of permitted development may be substantially less, depending on site constraints. To encourage visitor use, the zoning shall be changed to permit mixed commercial and residential use. In addition, a minimum of 50% of the total units constructed shall be visitor-serving. The provisions for visitor-serving units may serve as a trade-off for any inclusionary residential units required by County ordinance.

Prior to the commencement of any project designed for this site, an environmental assessment shall be conducted to identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site. In addition to any development standards proposed as a result of that assessment, the following development standards shall apply:

- Development shall be located out of the most environmentally sensitive areas of the site and shall minimize visual impacts on Highway 1 and other public viewing points. Structures shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the character of the surrounding area. The site is particularly sensitive visually and must be developed with careful attention to visual factors.
- The option for construction of community sewer facilities on the property shall be retained until an alternative site is selected or until the first review of the LCP in five years. North Marin County Water District shall be consulted on this aspect of the project during the review of development plans by the County.
- Setbacks shall be maintained from the Tomales Bay Ecological Reserve which are adequate to protect wildlife and marsh habitat, as recommended by the State Department of Fish and Game.
- Setbacks from the bluff above the old railroad right of way shall also be required, consistent with LCP policies on bluff-top development.
- The development of an internal vehicular access route to downtown Point Reyes Station to reduce traffic impacts on Highway 1 should be investigated.

Staff note: The Martinelli Farms property has been purchased for inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Policy Status

The concept of this policy has been carried forward to Policy **C-PRS 3** Visitor

~~established to establish overnight accommodations in the Grandi Building (Assessor Parcel Number AP #119-234-01) and on Assessor Parcel Number on AP AP 1f 119-240-05-119-240-55, located at the junction of Highway One and Point Reyes – Petaluma Road (See also C-CD-3.10 Junction of Highway One and Point Reyes-Petaluma Road below). Because relatively few parcels in town zoned for commercial uses remain undeveloped, the following zoning changes shall be adopted to ensure that adequate land area is available for future commercial development:~~

C-PRS-4 Junction of Highway One and Point Reyes – Petaluma Road. Permit visitor-serving and commercial uses on APN 119-240-55, located at the junction of Highway One and the Point Reyes – Petaluma Road, which has development potential for a small 20-unit motel, cottages, hostel, or similar facility. This site is also a suitable location for up to 15 units of affordable housing. To protect the site’s visual and environmental qualities, new development shall be sited and designed to minimize view and traffic impacts on nearby public roads, protect Lagunitas Creek and adjacent riparian vegetation from the impacts of erosion and water quality degradation, and minimize slope disturbance. Development shall be clustered, limited in height to that which is compatible with the surrounding area and scale of development, and shall provide adequate waste disposal on-site. (PC app. 07/29/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3c(3) p. 46]

Unit II Policy 3(c) p. 46

- (3) **C-PRS-4 Junction of Highway One and Point Reyes – Petaluma Road.** ~~The 12.7 acre parcel located at the junction of Highway 1 and the Point Reyes – Petaluma Road, AP# 119-240-55, shall be rezoned to~~ permit visitor-serving and commercial uses ~~as a principle permitted use on APN 119-240-55, located at the junction of Highway One and the Point Reyes – Petaluma Road. The site does appear to have which~~ has development potential for a small 20-unit motel, cottages, hostel, or similar facility. This site is also a suitable location for up to 15 units of affordable housing. To protect the site’s visual and environmental qualities, new development shall be sited and designed to minimize view and traffic impacts on nearby public roads, protect Lagunitas Creek and adjacent riparian vegetation from the impacts of erosion and water quality degradation, and minimize slope disturbance. Development shall be clustered, limited in height to that which is compatible with the surrounding area and scale of development, and shall provide adequate waste disposal on-site.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities

Serving and Commercial Facilities and **C-PRS-4** Junction of Highway One and Point Reyes-Petaluma Road. Section 3(C)2 has been implemented so this portion is not carried forward. Section 3(C)2 is not carried forward because this parcel, known as Martinelli Farms, has since been purchased for inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreational Area and the language is no longer relevant.

~~(4) The 248 acre parcel known as Martinelli Farms provides a unique opportunity for the development of visitor serving uses. The parcel has adequate land area, a desirable location, and magnificent views on Tomales Bay. A motel or cottages are recommended along with campsites and day use picnic facilities. The site also has potential for other visitor serving uses such as a restaurant, on site fishing area, nature study area, or stables. Due to the large amount of land available in other parts of Point Reyes Station for residential development, Martinelli Farms is not considered a prime residential site. Limited residential development may be permitted, however, in conjunction with visitor facilities, as a secondary use. Currently, the site is zoned RSP 0.33 for planned residential development. This zoning allows an overall density of 1 unit per 3 acres, or a total of 82 units. The LCP recommends that this density be retained, although the actual density of permitted development may be substantially less, depending on site constraints. To encourage visitor use, the zoning shall be changed to permit mixed commercial and residential use. In addition, a minimum of 50% of the total units constructed shall be visitor serving. The provisions for visitor serving units may serve as a trade off for any inclusionary residential units required by County ordinance.~~

- Prior to the commencement of any project designed for this site, an environmental assessment shall be conducted to identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site. In addition to any development standards proposed as a result of that assessment, the following development standards shall apply:
 - — Development shall be located out of the most environmentally sensitive areas of the site and shall minimize visual impacts on Highway 1 and other public viewing points. Structures shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the character of the surrounding area. The site is particularly sensitive visually and must be developed with careful attention to visual factors.
 - — The option for construction of community sewer facilities on the property shall be retained until an alternative site is selected or until the first review of the LCP in five years. North Marin County Water District shall be consulted on this aspect of the project during the review of development plans by the County.
 - — Setbacks shall be maintained from the Tomales Bay Ecological Reserve which are adequate to protect wildlife and marsh habitat, as recommended by the State Department of Fish and Game.
 - — Setbacks from the bluff above the old railroad right of way shall also be required, consistent with LCP policies on bluff top

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

	<p style="text-align: center;">development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development of an internal vehicular access route to downtown Point Reyes Station to reduce traffic impacts on Highway 1 should be investigated.
<p><u>Policy 3(d) p. 47</u> <u>Inverness Ridge/west shore of Tomales Bay.</u> This area currently provides 70 of the 82 motel rooms or 90% of all such overnight accommodations in the Unit II coastal zone, as well as numerous other commercial services. Very little commercially zoned land is available for further visitor-serving development; however, because of existing visitor-serving uses on the Inverness Ridge and the space provided in Point Reyes Station and Olema for such development, no significant expansion of commercial zoning on the Ridge is recommended. Expansion shall be limited to adjusting the boundaries of commercial zones in Inverness and Inverness Park to coincide with parcel boundaries. These zones shall be changed to planned commercial in order to allow master plan review in addition, the Golden Hinde Boatel and Inverness Motel shall be rezoned to RCR so that any possible future expansion of these facilities will be subject to master plan review.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has not been carried forward since the policy has been implemented and is no longer relevant. The specified areas have been rezoned as recommended above.</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(e) p. 48</u> <u>Marshall/east shore of Tomales Bay.</u> There are very few undeveloped parcels on the east shore of Tomales Bay with the potential for visitor-serving or commercial development, and lack of adequate water supply is a major constraint. However, existing uses on several developed or previously developed parcels could be expanded or modified to allow additional opportunities for coastal visitors, provided that such expanded uses are compatible with the small scale and character of existing development along the Bay. Areas with expansion potential include the property known as Jensen's Oyster Beds, Nick's Cove, Synanon, and Marconi Cove Marina. The town of Marshall, C-CP and the Marshall Boatworks are recommended for local serving and limited visitor serving facilities allowed by C-VCR zoning. Recommendations for these parcels are given below, along with recommendations for commercially zoned parcels in the town of Marshall.</p> <p>(1) <u>Jensen's Oyster Beds.</u> The 40-acre parcel north of Miller Park, AP #104-110-08, is currently developed with small cottages and a parking area. This property would be a logical addition to Miller Park or the GGNRA, and/or a suitable location for overnight camping. Zoning on the property,</p>	<p>C-ES-1 Community Character of the East Shore of Tomales Bay. Maintain the existing character of low-density, residential, agriculture, mariculture, and fishing or boating-related uses. Allow the expansion or modification of development for visitor-serving or commercial development on previously developed lots along the east shore of Tomales Bay; provided that such expanded uses are compatible with the small scale and character of existing development along the Bay.</p> <p>1. <u>Nick's Cove.</u> Continue to support visitor-serving uses on this site, which includes a restaurant and overnight guest accommodations. Overnight accommodations, such as bed and breakfast facilities, are encouraged consistent with the availability of water supply, sewage disposal, and parking facilities. Any expansion or reconstruction of Nick's Cove restaurant shall be designed to minimize visual impacts and provide maximum public physical and visual access to the shoreline. Structures on the upland property shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the scale and character of surrounding development, while that on the bayside of Highway One shall not exceed the height of the existing</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

currently A-2, shall be changed to permit low-intensity recreational uses. Any new development shall allow for continued mariculture operations off-shore. *Staff note: This parcel has been acquired by the GGNRA and the small cottages have been demolished. This language is not carried forward as it is no longer relevant.*

(2) Nick's Cove. Visitor-serving uses on this site should be continued, upgraded, and possibly expanded. Overnight accommodations, such as bed and breakfast facilities, on the contiguously owned parcel on the upland side of Highway 1 are encouraged, consistent with the availability of water supply, sewage disposal, and parking facilities. Existing A-2 zoning on this parcel, AP #104-140-02, shall be changed to allow visitor-serving uses. Any expansion or reconstruction of Nick's Cove restaurant shall be designed to minimize visual impacts and provide maximum public physical and visual access to the shoreline. Structures on the upland property shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the scale and character of surrounding development, while that on the bayside of Highway 1 shall not exceed the height of the existing restaurant.

(3) Marshall. Existing commercial zoning in Marshall, C-CP, shall be changed to C-VCR to maintain and encourage the present residential/commercial mixed use and to encourage locally serving commercial uses. The boundaries of the zones shall be adjusted to coincide with parcel boundaries. Reconstruction of the old Marshall Hotel is encouraged, provided that adequate water supply, sewage disposal, and parking facilities can be provided. Commercial zoning on the Tony's Seafood parcel, AP #106-050-05, shall be changed to a planned commercial zone. Commercial zoning on AP #106-040-03, a parcel sited amidst residential uses, shall be changed to a planned residential district. *Staff note: The recommended rezonings have been implemented.*

(a) Marshall Boatworks. The Marshall Boatworks/Post Office are shall be rezoned from C-VCR with the Boatworks as a permitted use. This will encourage continuation of this area as a residential/commercial mixed use while supporting its potential as a community activity center and gathering place.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 87-278 [8/4/87], CCC approved as submitted 9/8/87, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 87-360 [10/13/87] passed to implement changes shown, no CCC ED Checkoff required]

(4) Synanon. The 62-acre Tomales Bay Ranch property, owned by the Synanon Foundation, is operated as a drug rehabilitation institution under the auspices of a use permit. The present use or similar institutional uses

restaurant.

2. Marshall. Maintain and encourage the present residential/commercial mixed use and encourage locally serving commercial uses.
3. Marshall Boatworks. Continue to support the Marshall Boatworks area as a residential/commercial mixed use area and as a potential community activity center and gathering place.
4. Marconi Conference Center State Historic Park. Continue to support the Marconi Conference Center and State Historic Park to provide meeting and retreat services for the Bay Area, consistent with historic and natural resource protection guidelines in the Marconi Conference Center State Historic Park General Plan.
5. Marconi Cove Marina. Support visitor and local serving, as well as marine-related, facilities at the Marconi Cove property. Expanded marina facilities, including additional boat slips, fishing pier, and storage space may also be desirable.

[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3.e(1) – (5) p. 48-51]

Unit II Policy 3(e) p. 48

~~Marshall/east shore of Tomales Bay. There are very few undeveloped parcels on the east shore of Tomales Bay with the potential for visitor-serving or commercial development, and lack of adequate water supply is a major constraint. However, existing uses on several developed or previously developed parcels could be expanded or modified to allow additional opportunities for coastal visitors, provided that such expanded uses are compatible with the small scale and character of existing development along the Bay. Areas with expansion potential include the property known as Jensen's Oyster Beds, Nick's Cove, Synanon, and Marconi Cove Marina. The town of Marshall, C-CP and the Marshall Boatworks are recommended for local serving and limited visitor serving facilities allowed by C-VCR zoning. Recommendations for these parcels are given below, along with recommendations for commercially zoned parcels in the town of Marshall.~~

- ~~(1) Jensen's Oyster Beds. The 40 acre parcel north of Miller Park, AP #104-110-08, is currently developed with small cottages and a parking area. This property would be a logical addition to Miller Park or the GGNRA, and/or a suitable location for overnight camping. Zoning on the property, currently A-2, shall be changed to permit low-intensity recreational uses. Any new development shall allow for continued mariculture operations off-shore.~~

C-ES-1 Community Character of the East Shore of Tomales Bay. Maintain

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

may continue on the property and are encouraged. If such uses are discontinued, then visitor-serving uses shall be supported. The Tomales Bay Ranch offers the best opportunity for major new visitor-serving uses on the entire east side of Tomales Bay. The site has generally had adequate water supply, and has sewage disposal facilities, direct access on Highway 1, and numerous existing structures. Recommended uses include overnight accommodations, a restaurant, and other uses which benefit the traveling public. Additionally, the site offers opportunities for local "cottage" industries such as boatbuilding, arts and crafts, and agriculturally related uses, as well as opportunities for community services and limited residential uses, especially those for low and moderate income households. To encourage visitor-serving and other uses on the property, the existing ARP-2 zoning shall be changed to a planned district permitting mixed commercial and residential uses. No further intensification of uses on the property shall be permitted.

Any conversion or modification of existing facilities shall meet the following development standards:

- The historic Marconi Hotel building shall be preserved, renovated, and restored to accommodate uses for which it was originally built, i.e. a hotel. Designation of the hotel as an historic structure by the state or federal government shall be investigated. If and when an Historic Coastal Preservation Commission is established by the County, as recommended in the Unit I LCP, the Marconi Hotel shall be recommended for designation to the Commission.
- Existing accessory buildings on the site may be retained or eliminated upon private redevelopment as deemed appropriate in the planning review process.
- Development shall minimize potential impacts on adjacent agricultural operations.
- Facilities shall be sited and designed to minimize impacts on public views from Highway 1 and public parklands across Tomales Bay.
- Adequate water supply and sewage disposal shall be demonstrated.
- If shoreline parcels bayward of the Ranch are acquired in combination with the Ranch, water oriented public recreational uses which complement the Ranch should be explored.

Staff note: this property has since been purchased by the State of California and is now the Marconi Conference Center, which offers a full service meeting and retreat facility and lodging. It has three meeting rooms and the lodging facilities have 40 rooms. The facility is available for business meetings and retreats and for overnight tourists. This parcel was rezoned to C-RMPC via Ordinance 2704. Restoration of

the existing character of low-density, residential, agriculture, mariculture, and fishing or boating-related uses. Allow the expansion or modification of development for visitor-serving or commercial development on previously developed lots along the east shore of Tomales Bay; provided that such expanded uses are compatible with the small scale and character of existing development along the Bay.

~~(2)~~ 1. Nick's Cove. Visitor-serving uses on this site should be continued, upgraded, and possibly expanded. Continue to support visitor-serving uses on this site, which includes a restaurant and overnight guest accommodations. Overnight accommodations, such as bed and breakfast facilities, on the contiguously owned parcel on the upland side of Highway 1 are encouraged consistent with the availability of water supply, sewage disposal, and parking facilities. Existing A-2 zoning on this parcel, AP #104-140-02, shall be changed to allow visitor-serving uses. Any expansion or reconstruction of Nick's Cove restaurant shall be designed to minimize visual impacts and provide maximum public physical and visual access to the shoreline. Structures on the upland property shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the scale and character of surrounding development, while that on the bayside of Highway One shall not exceed the height of the existing restaurant.

~~(3)~~ 2. Marshall. Maintain and encourage the present residential/commercial mixed use and encourage locally serving commercial uses. Existing commercial zoning in Marshall, C-CP, shall be changed to C-VCR to maintain and encourage the present residential/commercial mixed use and to encourage locally serving commercial uses. The boundaries of the zones shall be adjusted to coincide with parcel boundaries. Reconstruction of the old Marshall Hotel is encouraged, provided that adequate water supply, sewage disposal, and parking facilities can be provided. Commercial zoning on the Tony's Seafood parcel, AP #106-050-05, shall be changed to a planned commercial zone. Commercial zoning on AP #106-040-03, a parcel sited amidst residential uses, shall be changed to a planned residential district.

~~(a)~~ 3. Marshall Boatworks. The Marshall Boatworks/Post Office are shall be rezoned from C-VCR with the Boatworks as a permitted use. This will encourage continuation of this to support the Marshall Boatworks area as a residential/commercial mixed use area while supporting its and as a potential community activity

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

the historic Marconi Hotel is still in progress. When complete it will provide administration facilities, gift shop, conference services rooms, lounges, and a museum. The entire site has been designated historic. Due to budget constraints it is unclear when the planned restoration will occur. This language is not carried forward and has been updated with language regarding the Marconi State Historic Park.

- (5) Marconi Cove Marina. The Marconi Cove Marina property is split by Highway 1 into two parts: a 6.5 acre bay front area and a 350+ acre upland area. The bay front portion of the marina has potential for considerable expansion of visitor-serving and marine-related facilities. The site would be suitable for a 20 to 40 unit motel, restaurant, and a small store. Expanded marina facilities, including additional boat slips, fishing pier, and storage space would also be desirable. To allow for these various uses, the bay front parcels, AP #106-260-02 and 03 shall be rezoned from A-2 to RCR. The upland portion of the marina property is presently used for agriculture. The development of campsites in the wooded canyon on the parcel would provide low-cost overnight accommodations to complement uses on the bay front lands and shall be encouraged. Limited residential development, compatible with continued agricultural use of the property, would be acceptable. Existing A-60 zoning shall be changed to APZ-60 to allow continued agricultural use and low-intensity recreational development in the canyon area.

Prior to the commencement of any project designed for this site, an environmental assessment shall be conducted to identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site. In addition to any development standards proposed as a result of that assessment, the following development standards shall apply.

- This site is particularly sensitive visually and must be developed with careful attention to visual factors. Structures shall be limited in height to that which is compatible with the scale and character of the area, and shall be sited to minimize impacts on visual access from Highway 1 to the water. Views from Tomales Bay shall also be considered.
- Landscaping materials shall be selected and planted so as not to significantly interfere with views to and along the water, even when such vegetation is fully grown.
- Adequate waste disposal for the project must be demonstrated. Waste disposal facilities shall also be provided for boats in the marina.
- Expanded berthing facilities shall be sited to minimize potential impacts in this area, including impacts on stream habitats, riparian vegetation, water quality, and mariculture.

center and gathering place-

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 87-278 [8/4/87], CCC approved as submitted 9/8/87, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 87-360 [10/13/87] passed to implement changes shown, no CCC ED Checkoff required]

- ~~(4) Synanon. The 62 acre Tomales Bay Ranch property, owned by the Synanon Foundation, is operated as a drug rehabilitation institution under the auspices of a use permit. The present use or similar institutional uses may continue on the property and are encouraged. If such uses are discontinued, then visitor serving uses shall be supported. The Tomales Bay Ranch offers the best opportunity for major new visitor serving uses on the entire east side of Tomales Bay. The site has generally had adequate water supply, and has sewage disposal facilities, direct access on Highway 1, and numerous existing structures. Recommended uses include overnight accommodations, a restaurant, and other uses which benefit the traveling public. Additionally, the site offers opportunities for local "cottage" industries such as boatbuilding, arts and crafts, and agriculturally related uses, as well as opportunities for community services and limited residential uses, especially those for low and moderate income households. To encourage visitor serving and other uses on the property, the existing ARP 2 zoning shall be changed to a planned district permitting mixed commercial and residential uses. No further intensification of uses on the property shall be permitted.~~

~~Any conversion or modification of existing facilities shall meet the following development standards:~~

- ~~• The historic Marconi Hotel building shall be preserved, renovated, and restored to accommodate uses for which it was originally built, i.e. a hotel. Designation of the hotel as an historic structure by the state or federal government shall be investigated. If and when an Historic Coastal Preservation Commission is established by the County, as recommended in the Unit I LCP, the Marconi Hotel shall be recommended for designation to the Commission.~~
- ~~• Existing accessory buildings on the site may be retained or eliminated upon private re-development as deemed appropriate in the planning review process.~~
- ~~• Development shall minimize potential impacts on adjacent agricultural operations.~~
- ~~• Facilities shall be sited and designed to minimize impacts on~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

<p>Policy Status This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-ES-1 Community Character of the East Shore of Tomales Bay.</p>	<p>public views from Highway 1 and public parklands across Tomales Bay.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate water supply and sewage disposal shall be demonstrated. • If shoreline parcels bayward of the Ranch are acquired in combination with the Ranch, water oriented public recreational uses which complement the Ranch should be explored. <p>4. <u>Marconi Conference Center State Historic Park.</u> Continue to support the Marconi Conference Center and State Historic Park to provide meeting and retreat services for the Bay Area, consistent with historic and natural resource protection guidelines in the Marconi Conference Center State Historic Park General Plan.</p> <p>(5) 5. <u>Marconi Cove Marina.</u> Support visitor and local serving, as well as marine-related, facilities at the Marconi Cove property. Expanded marina facilities, including additional boat slips, fishing pier, and storage space may also be desirable. The Marconi Cove Marina property is split by Highway 1 into two parts: a 6.5 acre bay front area and a 350+ acre upland area. The bay front portion of the marina has potential for considerable expansion of visitor serving and marine-related facilities. The site would be suitable for a 20 to 40 unit motel, restaurant, and a small store. Expanded marina facilities, including additional boat slips, fishing pier, and storage space would also be desirable. To allow for these various uses, the bay front parcels, AP #106 260 02 and 03 shall be rezoned from A 2 to RCR. The upland portion of the marina property is presently used for agriculture. The development of campsites in the wooded canyon on the parcel would provide low cost overnight accommodations to complement uses on the bay front lands and shall be encouraged. Limited residential development, compatible with continued agricultural use of the property, would be acceptable. Existing A 60 zoning shall be changed to APZ 60 to allow continued agricultural use and low intensity recreational development in the canyon area.</p> <p>Prior to the commencement of any project designed for this site, an environmental assessment shall be conducted to identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site. In addition to any development standards proposed as a result of that assessment, the following development standards shall apply.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This site is particularly sensitive visually and must be developed with careful attention to visual factors. Structures shall be limited
--	--

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

	<p>in height to that which is compatible with the scale and character of the area, and shall be sited to minimize impacts on visual access from Highway 1 to the water. Views from Tomales Bay shall also be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscaping materials shall be selected and planted so as not to significantly interfere with views to and along the water, even when such vegetation is fully grown. • Adequate waste disposal for the project must be demonstrated. Waste disposal facilities shall also be provided for boats in the marina. • Expanded berthing facilities shall be sited to minimize potential impacts in this area, including impacts on stream habitats, riparian vegetation, water quality, and mariculture.
<p><u>Policy 3 (f) p. 51</u> <u>Tomales.</u> The town of Tomales has adequate undeveloped land zoned for visitor-serving and commercial development to provide for anticipated future needs. No expansion of commercial zoning is recommended. The development of overnight accommodations such as a motel, cottages, and a hostel, is encouraged, given the limited facilities which currently exist in the community. New development shall reflect the historic character of the town's architecture and shall be set back from the creek which flows through the commercially zoned area. The 1 acre of C-1-H shall be rezoned to a planned commercial district to allow flexibility in siting and design.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-TOM-1 Community Character of Tomales.</p>	<p>C-TOM-1 Community Character of Tomales. Maintain the existing character of residential and small-scale commercial development in the community of Tomales. No expansion of commercial zoning is recommended since there is adequate undeveloped land zoned for visitor-serving and commercial development to provide for anticipated future needs. Encourage the development of overnight accommodations such as a motel, cottages, and a hostel. New development shall reflect the historic character of the town's architecture and shall be set back from the creek which flows through the commercially zoned areas. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3f, p. 51]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3 (f) p. 51</u> Tomales. C-TOM-1 Character of Tomales. The town of Tomales has adequate undeveloped land zoned for visitor serving and commercial development to provide for anticipated future needs. Maintain the existing character of residential and small-scale commercial development in the community of Tomales. No expansion of commercial zoning is recommended since there is adequate undeveloped land zoned for visitor-serving and commercial development to provide for anticipated future needs. Encourage (The development of overnight accommodations such as a motel, cottages, and a hostel, is encouraged, given the limited facilities which currently exist in the community. New development shall reflect the historic character of the town's architecture and shall be set back from the creek which flows through the commercially zoned area. The 1 acre of C-1-H shall be rezoned to a planned commercial district to allow flexibility in siting and design.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

Policy 3 (g) p. 51

Dillon Beach. Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, located immediately south of old Dillon Beach, and Lawson's Landing, located on Sand Point, shall be retained as public recreational areas. Both facilities have the potential for expanded visitor-serving development, although providing for adequate water supply and sewage disposal may be problematical.

- (1) Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort. Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, including all properties zoned C-RCR and C-RMPC between Dillon Beach Road and Dillon Creek, would be an appropriate site for new development of a modest scale, including a small motel; cafe, delicatessen, or restaurant; and day-use facilities. Due to the proximity of the site of the former Pacific Marine Station to the shoreline, it is an especially suitable area for facilities where many people can enjoy its prime location. The site offers opportunities, for example, for community services, a conference center, and youth hostel. Limited residential development would be appropriate in Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, provided it is developed as a secondary use in conjunction with visitor-serving uses. All development shall demonstrate adequate water supply and sewage disposal, and shall be sited out of sand dunes and other environmentally-sensitive areas. Building heights shall be limited to that which is compatible with the scale and character of the area. Existing C-RCR and C-RMPC zoning shall be maintained.
- (2) Lawson's Landing. Lawson's Landing is an appropriate site for limited expansion of boating facilities and overnight accommodations. Any such expansion shall be based on thorough planning studies which identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site, including wildlife, vegetation, and archeological resources, geologic and wave hazards, and public service constraints. Measures to protect the site's resources, particularly sand dunes and dune tansy vegetation, shall be included in any development plan. Any such plan shall also include improvements in sewage disposal facilities, in accordance with the recommendations of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Existing C-RCR and C-APZ-60 zoning shall be maintained.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 88-333 (Attachment 1, pp. 5-6) [12/20/88], approved by CCC with suggested modifications 4/12/89, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 89-216 [8/8/89], CCC ED Checkoff 4/13/90]

Policy Status

This policy has been modified and carried forward to **C-DB-1** Community

C-DB-1 Community Character of Dillon Beach. Maintain the existing character of residential and small-scale commercial development in Dillon Beach and Oceana Marin. Dillon Beach Resort, including all properties zoned C-RCR and C-RMPC between Dillon Beach Road and Dillon Creek, would be an appropriate site for new development of a modest scale, including a small motel; cafe, delicatessen, or restaurant; and day-use facilities. Due to the proximity of the site of the former Pacific Marine Station to the shoreline, it is an especially suitable area for facilities where many people can enjoy its prime location. The site offers opportunities, for example, for community services, a conference center, and youth hostel. Limited residential development would be appropriate at the Dillon Beach Resort, provided it is developed as a secondary use in conjunction with visitor-serving uses. All development shall demonstrate adequate water supply and sewage disposal, and shall be sited out of sand dunes and other environmentally-sensitive areas. Building heights shall be limited to that which is compatible with the scale and character of the area. Existing C-RCR and C-RMPC zoning shall be maintained.

Maintain existing C-RCR and C-APZ-60 zoning at Lawson's Landing.
(PC app. 07/29/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3.g(1) & (2), pp. 51 – 52 (as amended)]

Unit II Policy 3 (g) p. 51

~~(1)~~ **C-DB-1 Community Character of Dillon Beach.** Maintain the existing character of residential and small-scale commercial development in Dillon Beach and Oceana Marin. ~~Lawson's~~ Dillon Beach Resort. Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, including all properties zoned C-RCR and C-RMPC between Dillon Beach Road and Dillon Creek, would be an appropriate site for new development of a modest scale, including a small motel; cafe, delicatessen, or restaurant; and day-use facilities. Due to the proximity of the site of the former Pacific Marine Station to the shoreline, it is an especially suitable area for facilities where many people can enjoy its prime location. The site offers opportunities, for example, for community services, a conference center, and youth hostel. Limited residential development would be appropriate in Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, provided it is developed as a secondary use in conjunction with visitor-serving uses. All development shall demonstrate adequate water supply and sewage disposal, and shall be sited out of sand dunes and other environmentally-sensitive areas. Building heights shall be limited to that which is compatible with the scale and character of the area.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

<p>Character of Dillon Beach. Language for Lawson’s Landing is not carried forward; instead a placeholder for new policy language is included pending certification of the existing permit by the California Coastal Commission.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Existing C-RCR and C-RMPC zoning shall be maintained.</p> <p><i>[Placeholder for new policy to address Lawson’s Landing; permit expected to be certified by the CCC in near future.]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(2) Lawson's Landing. Lawson's Landing is an appropriate site for limited expansion of boating facilities and overnight accommodations. Any such expansion shall be based on thorough planning studies which identify the environmental resources and constraints of the site, including wildlife, vegetation, and archeological resources, geologic and wave hazards, and public service constraints. Measures to protect the site's resources, particularly sand dunes and dune tansy vegetation, shall be included in any development plan. Any such plan shall also include improvements in sewage disposal facilities, in accordance with the recommendations of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Existing C RCR and C APZ 60 zoning shall be maintained.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3 (h) p. 51</u> <u>Bed and Breakfast Program.</u> The County encourages the continuation and expansion of bed and breakfast facilities in the Unit II coastal zone. A listing of such facilities should be provided at the headquarters of the Point Reyes National Seashore, as information to visitors. In addition, the establishment of a centralized information program is recommended, to coordinate listings of all types of overnight accommodations and provide information on recreational opportunities to coastal visitors.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-PK-6 Bed and Breakfast Inns.</p>	<p>C-PK-6 Bed and Breakfast Inns. Support bed and breakfast facilities in the Coastal Zone as a means of providing visitor accommodations, while minimizing their impacts on surrounding communities. Restrict the conversion of second units and affordable housing to bed and breakfast inns. In addition, support the location of bed and breakfast inns in areas that are easily and directly accessible from usual tourist travel routes and where there is adequate off-street parking for guests and where the problem of nearby residents being inconvenienced by noise and increased transient traffic is minimized. Bed and breakfast inns shall be permitted to host or provide facilities for gatherings, such as weddings, receptions, private parties, or retreats if located in the C-APZ, C-ARP or C-R-A. Each bed and breakfast inn must be operated by a householder who is the sole proprietor of the enterprise and whose primary residence is on the premises where the inn accommodations are located. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 3.h., p. 52]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 3 (f) p. 51</u> Bed and Breakfast Program. C-PK-6 Bed and Breakfast Inns. The County encourages the continuation and expansion of <u>Support</u> bed and breakfast facilities in the Unit II Coastal Zone <u>as a means of providing visitor accommodations, while minimizing their impacts on surrounding communities. Restrict the conversion of second units and affordable housing to bed and breakfast inns. In addition, support the location of bed and breakfast inns in areas that are easily and directly accessible from</u></p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities**

	<p><u>usual tourist travel routes and where there is adequate off-street parking for guests and where the problem of nearby residents being inconvenienced by noise and increased transient traffic is minimized. Bed and breakfast inns shall be permitted to host or provide facilities for gatherings, such as weddings, receptions, private parties, or retreats if located in the C-APZ, C-ARP or C-R-A. Each bed and breakfast inn must be operated by a householder who is the sole proprietor of the enterprise and whose primary residence is on the premises where the inn accommodations are located. A listing of such facilities should be provided at the headquarters of the Point Reyes National Seashore, as information to visitors. In addition, the establishment of a centralized information program is recommended, to coordinate listings of all types of overnight accommodations and provide information on recreational opportunities to coastal visitors.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 52</u> <u>Recreation and Transportation.</u></p> <p>a. <u>Bike Paths.</u> The County supports the concept of a bike/pedestrian trail network in Unit II, connecting the villages and providing access to public parks. Several proposed routes have been discussed by West Marin residents and planning groups but no final recommendation has been developed. In the absence of such a recommendation, the LCP assumes that the most likely location for a bike trail is along Highway 1 and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. Therefore, to maintain the option for a roadside trail, coastal development permits for projects on either side of these roads shall require offers of dedication of easements 10 feet in width. When a final route for the bike/trail is agreed upon by the County, community, and concerned agencies and organizations, requirements for offers of roadside easements shall be modified to account for the new route.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-PK-14 Appropriate Alignment of the California Coastal Trail.</p>	<p>C-PK-14 Appropriate Alignment of the California Coastal Trail. Support completion of the California Coastal Trail through Marin County, working with willing sellers or donors.</p> <p>From Tomales north to the County line, the route should tentatively follow Dillon Beach Rd. and Valley Ford-Franklin School Rd., as and if appropriate.</p> <p>Acquisition, siting, and design of the California Coastal Trail should reflect the following standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seek needed trail segments from willing sellers at fair market value or by donation; 2. Locate the trail along or as close to the shoreline as feasible; 3. Incorporate a “braided trail” concept, if necessary, in which there are separate routes for different non-motorized users; 4. Make the trail continuous and link it to other public trail systems; 5. Where not feasible to locate the trail along the shoreline due to natural landforms, sensitive natural resources, or agricultural operations, locate inland bypass segments as close to the shoreline as possible; 6. Consider use of interim trail segments that assure a continuous coastal trail in the short-term, while providing for potential realignment to better locations as conditions change in the future, and seek opportunities over time to move such segments closer to the coastline where willing landowners agree; 7. Wherever possible, avoid locating the trail along roads with motorized vehicle traffic; if it is necessary to site the trail along roads, provide for separation of the trail from traffic.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities

(PC app. 2/8/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities Policy 4, p. 52 and Malibu LCP Policy 2.57, pp. 27-8]

Unit II Policy 4 p. 52

Recreation and Transportation:

- a. Bike Paths: **C-PK-14 Appropriate Alignment of the California Coastal Trail** ~~The County s~~Supports the concept of a bike/pedestrian trail network in Unit II, connecting the villages and providing access to public parks, completion of the California Coastal Trail through Marin County, working with willing sellers or donors. Several proposed routes have been discussed by West Marin residents and planning groups but no final recommendation has been developed. In the absence of such a recommendation, the LCP assumes that the most likely location for a bike trail is along Highway 1 and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. Therefore, to maintain the option for a roadside trail, coastal development permits for projects on either side of these roads shall require offers of dedication of easements 10 feet in width. When a final route for the bike/trail is agreed upon by the County, community, and concerned agencies and organizations, requirements for offers of roadside easements shall be modified to account for the new route.

From Tomales north to the County line, the route should tentatively follow Dillon Beach Rd. and Valley Ford-Franklin School Rd., as and if appropriate.

Acquisition, siting, and design of the California Coastal Trail should reflect the following standards:

1. Seek needed trail segments from willing sellers at fair market value or by donation;
2. Locate the trail along or as close to the shoreline as feasible;
3. Incorporate a “braided trail” concept, if necessary, in which there are separate routes for different non-motorized users;
4. Make the trail continuous and link it to other public trail systems;
5. Where not feasible to locate the trail along the shoreline due to natural landforms, sensitive natural resources, or agricultural operations, locate inland bypass segments as close to the shoreline as possible;
6. Consider use of interim trail segments that assure a continuous coastal trail in the short-term, while providing for potential

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities

	<p><u>realignment to better locations as conditions change in the future, and seek opportunities over time to move such segments closer to the coastline where willing landowners agree;</u></p> <p>7. <u>Wherever possible, avoid locating the trail along roads with motorized vehicle traffic; if it is necessary to site the trail along roads, provide for separation of the trail from traffic.</u></p>
--	---

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Federal Parklands**

Unit II Federal Parklands	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 61</u> <u>Public access and transportation.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Additional coastal access trails and bike paths should be provided where feasible and where consistent with the protection of the parks natural resources. Non-vehicular accessways should connect to points accessible by both automobile and transit. b. Frequent and convenient transit service from outside the parks to the most heavily used areas in the parks should be given priority in transit planning and funding. The National Park Service should develop a shuttle system to serve points within the parks. <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been incorporated into C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal Parks.</p>	<p>C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal Parks. The following policies apply to federal parklands within the Coastal Zone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public access and transportation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide additional coastal access trails and bike paths where feasible and consistent with the protection of the park’s natural resources. Non-vehicular accessways should connect to points accessible by both automobile and transit. b. Give priority to frequent and convenient transit service from outside the parks to the most heavily used areas in the parks in transit planning and funding. Encourage the National Park Service to expand shuttle services within the parks. <p><i>(the rest of the policy is not shown)</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 61</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Public access and transportation.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Additional coastal access trails and bike paths should be provided <u>Additional coastal access trails and bike paths</u> where feasible and where consistent with the protection of the park’s natural resources. Non-vehicular accessways should connect to points accessible by both automobile and transit. b. Give priority to frequent and convenient transit service from outside the parks to the most heavily used areas in the parks should be given priority in transit planning and funding. Encourage the National Park Service should develop a to expand shuttle system services to serve points within the parks.
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 61</u> <u>Recreation and visitor-serving facilities.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Priority should be given to the development of new facilities in the most heavily used areas of the parks which are close to park interpretive, educational, and other programs and which are easily accessible by transit. The construction of a new visitor center in Bear Valley is encouraged. b. Existing unused buildings within the parks, such as military structures, should be carefully reviewed for potential overnight accommodations before they are converted to other cultural or institutional uses. <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been incorporated into C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal</p>	<p>C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal Parks. The following policies apply to federal parklands within the Coastal Zone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public access and transportation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide additional coastal access trails and bike paths where feasible and consistent with the protection of the park’s natural resources. Non-vehicular accessways should connect to points accessible by both automobile and transit. b. Give priority to frequent and convenient transit service from outside the parks to the most heavily used areas in the parks in transit planning and funding. Encourage the National Park Service to expand shuttle services within the parks. 2. Recreation and visitor-serving facilities.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Federal Parklands**

<p>Parks.</p>	<p>a. Give priority to the development of new facilities in the most heavily used areas of the parks which are close to park interpretive, educational, and other programs and which are easily accessible by transit.</p> <p>b. If any unused buildings within the parks, such as military structures, still exist, review them for potential overnight accommodations before they are converted to other cultural or institutional uses.</p> <p><i>(the rest of the policy is not shown)</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 2 p. 61</u> <u>2. Recreation and visitor-serving facilities.</u></p> <p>a. Give Priority should be given to the development of new facilities in the most heavily used areas of the parks which are close to park interpretive, educational, and other programs and which are easily accessible by transit. The construction of a new visitor center in Bear Valley is encouraged.</p> <p>b. Existing If any unused buildings within the parks, such as military structures still exist, should be carefully reviewed <u>them</u> for potential overnight accommodations before they are converted to other cultural or institutional uses.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3 p. 61</u> <u>Natural resources.</u> Federal projects which involve the modification or alteration of natural resources should be evaluated by the Coastal Commission through the consistency review process.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward to C-BIO-27 Federal Projects.</p>	<p>C-BIO-27 Federal Projects. Require that Federal projects which involve the modification or alteration of natural resources should be evaluated by the Coastal Commission through the consistency review process. (PC app. 06/28/10) [LCP Unit II Federal Parklands Natural Resources policy 3, page 61]</p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3 p. 61</u> Natural resources. C-BIO-27 Federal Projects. Require that Federal projects which involve the modification or alteration of natural resources <u>should</u> be evaluated by the Coastal Commission through the consistency review process.</p>
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 61</u> <u>Agriculture and mariculture.</u></p> <p>a The continuation of agricultural land uses in the GGNRA and PRNS is strongly encouraged, where and at a level which is compatible with the protection of natural resources and public recreational use. Agricultural operations should be monitored to ensure that they are compatible with resource carrying capacity. Where conflicts arise between agriculture and resource protection or public access or recreational uses, they should be resolved in such a way as to protect resources and public safety while still allowing the continuation of the agricultural operation.</p>	<p>C-MAR-2 Mariculture in Parks. Existing maricultural operations are encouraged in a manner compatible with natural resource protection and should be permitted to continue. Additional mariculture activities should be considered, provided that they are compatible with other park uses, and do not conflict with public access, recreation, the protection of natural and visual resources, water quality, or National Park Service policies concerning commercial development. New mariculture activities should be subject permit review by the Coastal Commission. (PC app. 3/8/10) [Adapted from Unit II Federal Parklands Policy 4.c, p. 62]</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Federal Parklands**

<p>b Existing agricultural leases and special use permits should be reviewed five years prior to their expiration for their compatibility with park goals. Operators should be notified at that time whether or not their leases will be renewed and what revisions in operating arrangements, if any, are necessary. Automatic lease renewal provisions should be considered if all terms and conditions of a lease are met. The County encourages the National Park Service to develop uniform procedures and standards to use in dealing with all agricultural tenants. Such procedures and standards should provide for long-term lease arrangements.</p> <p>c Existing mariculture operations are encouraged and should be permitted to continue in the parks. Additional mariculture activities should be considered provided that they do not conflict with public access, recreation, or the protection of visual resources. New mariculture activities should be subject to consistency review by the Coastal Commission.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward to C-MAR-2 Mariculture in Parks.</p>	<p><u>Unit II Policy 3 p. 61</u> Agriculture and mariculture. e. <u>C-MAR-2 Mariculture in Parks.</u> Existing maricultural operations are encouraged and should be permitted to continue in the parks in a manner compatible with natural resource protection and should be permitted to continue. Additional mariculture activities should be considered, provided that they <u>are compatible with other park uses, and do not conflict with public access, recreation, the protection of natural and visual resources, water quality, or National Park Service policies concerning commercial development.</u> New mariculture activities should be subject permit review by the Coastal Commission.</p>
<p><u>Policy 5 p. 62</u> <u>Development/historic preservation.</u> New or expanded development should utilize existing structures and be directed to existing developed areas whenever possible. Historic structures should be preserved, restored, and formally designated as historic resources where appropriate. The County should work with the National Park Service to coordinate historic preservation activities in the coastal zone. The majority of park development should be concentrated in the southern GGNRA due to its close proximity to urban population centers, easy accessibility, and availability of existing facilities. New backcountry campgrounds should be developed with minimum impacts on visual and habitat resources.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been incorporated into C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal Parks.</p>	<p>C-PK-10 Appropriate Uses of Federal Parks. The following policies apply to federal parklands within the Coastal Zone. (...only the relevant portion of policy is shown...)</p> <p>5. Development/historic preservation.</p> <p>a. Whenever possible, utilize existing structures and existing developed areas for new or expanded development. Historic structures should be preserved, restored, and formally designated as historic resources where appropriate. Work with the National Park Service to coordinate historic preservation activities in the Coastal Zone. The majority of park development should be concentrated in the southern GGNRA due to its close proximity to urban population centers, easy accessibility, and availability of existing facilities. New backcountry campgrounds should be developed with minimum impacts on visual and habitat resources.</p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 5 p. 62</u> <u>5. Development/historic preservation. Whenever possible, utilize existing structures and existing developed areas for n</u>New or expanded development, should utilize existing structures and be</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Federal Parklands**

	<p>directed to existing developed areas whenever possible. Historic structures should be preserved, restored, and formally designated as historic resources where appropriate. The County should <u>W</u>ork with the National Park Service to coordinate historic preservation activities in the eCoastal zZone. The majority of park development should be concentrated in the southern GGNRA due to its close proximity to urban population centers, easy accessibility, and availability of existing facilities. New backcountry campgrounds should be developed with minimum impacts on visual and habitat resources.</p>
<p><u>Policy 6 p. 62</u> <u>Public emergency services.</u> The County supports continued financial assistance from the National Park Service for emergency services in coastal areas heavily impacted by visitors to the federal parks. A review of the procedures used by the County to allocate such funds is recommended, along with the establishment of clear priorities and criteria for the granting of funds.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been not been carried forward as it is no longer relevant.</p>	<p>n/a</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

Unit II Natural Resources	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 72</u> <u>Marine environment.</u> Tomales Bay is currently being considered for inclusion in a proposed Point Reyes - Farallones Federal Marine Sanctuary. The County of Marin strongly supports the objectives of the proposed Marine Sanctuary which would protect valuable habitat for marine species, and recommends that local Marin County organizations and qualified citizens be represented in any citizens advisory committee that may be established for the Sanctuary.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This language has not been carried forward since Tomales Bay is now part of the Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary. The sanctuary includes Bolinas Bay, Bolinas Lagoon, most of Tomales Bay, Estero Americano, Estero de San Antonio, and Bodega Bay, but not Bodega Harbor. This area of special significance was designated a national marine sanctuary because these waters provide important marine and nearshore habitats for a diverse array of marine mammals and marine birds, as well as fishery, plant, algae, and benthic resources.</p>	n/a
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 72</u> <u>Water quality.</u> The County encourages the Regional Water Quality Control Board, State Department of Health, and other responsible agencies to continue working on identifying sources of pollution in Tomales Bay and to take steps to eliminate them. LCP policies which address specific development-related water quality problems, such as septic system discharges, are contained in the LCP sections on Public Services and New Development. Other LCP policies on the location and concentration of development and protection of riparian habitats address water quality concerns from a broader perspective.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has not been carried forward, although the concept has been incorporated into the LCP. The LCP continues to require the monitoring, protection, and enhancement of the quality of coastal waters in C-WR-1 Water Quality Protection.</p>	<p>C-WR-1 Water Quality Protection. Monitor, protect, and enhance the quality of coastal waters for the benefit of natural communities, human health, recreational users, and the local economy. (PC app. 01/25/10) <i>[New policy, not in Unit I or II]</i></p>
<p><u>Policy 3 p. 72</u> <u>Streams and riparian habitats.</u> The policies contained in this section shall apply to all streams in the Unit II coastal zone, perennial or intermittent, which are mapped by the United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) on the 7.5 minute quadrangle series.</p>	<p>C-BIO-24 Coastal Streams and Riparian Vegetation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Stream alterations.</u> Limit stream impoundments, diversions, channelizations, or other substantial alterations to coastal streams or the riparian vegetation surrounding them to the following purposes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Necessary water supply projects, including those for domestic or

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

<p>a. Stream alterations. Stream impoundments, diversions, channelizations, or other substantial alterations shall be limited to the following purposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Necessary water supply projects, including those for domestic or agricultural purposes; (2) Flood control projects where no other method for protecting existing structures in the flood plain is feasible and where such protection is necessary for public safety or to protect existing development; or (3) Developments where the primary function is the improvement of fish and wildlife habitat. <p>Before any such activities are permitted, minimum flows necessary to maintain fish habitat and water quality, and to protect downstream resources (e.g. riparian vegetation, groundwater recharge areas, receiving waters, spawning habitats, etc.) and downstream users shall be determined by the Department of Fish and Game and the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board. New impoundments which, individually or cumulatively, would decrease streamflows below the minimum shall not be permitted.</p> <p>b. <u>Conditions.</u> The alteration of streams allowed for the purposes listed in (a) above shall be held to a minimum to protect streamwater quality and the volume and rate of streamflow. All such developments shall incorporate the best mitigation measures feasible, including erosion and runoff control measures, and revegetation of disturbed areas with native species. Disturbance of riparian vegetation shall be held to a minimum.</p> <p>c. <u>Stream Buffers.</u> Buffers to protect streams from the impacts of adjacent uses shall be established for each stream in Unit II. The stream buffer shall include the area covered by riparian vegetation on both sides of the stream and the area 50 feet landward from the edge of the riparian vegetation. In no case shall the stream buffer be less than 100 feet in width, on either side of the stream, as measured from the top of the stream banks.</p> <p>d. <u>Development in Stream Buffers.</u> No construction, alteration of land forms or vegetation removal shall be permitted within such riparian protection area. Additionally, such project applications shall identify a stream buffer area which shall extend a minimum of 50 feet from the outer edge of riparian vegetation, but in no case less than 100 feet from the banks of a stream. Development shall not be located within this stream buffer area. When a parcel is located entirely within a stream buffer area; design review shall be required to identify and implement the mitigation measures necessary to protect water quality, riparian vegetation and the rate and volume of stream flows. The</p>	<p>agricultural purposes;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> b. Flood control projects where no other method for protecting existing structures in the flood plain is feasible and where such protection is necessary for public safety or to protect existing development; or c. Developments where the primary function is the improvement of fish and wildlife habitat. <p>Substantial alterations shall include channelizations, dams, or comparable projects which significantly disrupt the habitat value of a particular river or stream. Before any such activities are permitted, minimum flows necessary to maintain fish habitat and water quality, and to protect downstream resources (e.g. riparian vegetation, groundwater recharge areas, receiving waters, spawning habitats, etc.) and downstream users shall be determined by the Department of Fish and Game and the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board. Prohibit new impoundments which, individually or cumulatively, would decrease streamflows below the minimum.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. <u>Conditions.</u> Minimize the alteration of streams allowed for the purposes listed in (1.) above in order to protect streamwater quality and the volume and rate of streamflow. Require all such developments to incorporate the best mitigation measures feasible, including erosion and runoff control measures, and re-vegetation of disturbed areas with native species. Minimize the disturbance of riparian vegetation and require revegetation wherever possible. 3. <u>Stream Buffers.</u> Establish buffers to protect streams from the impacts of adjacent uses for each stream in the Coastal Zone. The stream buffer shall include the area 50 feet landward from the outer edge of the riparian vegetation. In no case shall the stream buffer be less than 100 feet in width, on either side of the stream, as measured from the top of the stream banks. 4. <u>Development in Stream Buffers.</u> Prohibit construction, alteration of land forms and vegetation removal within stream buffers unless the project is otherwise designed to be consistent with policy C-BIO-25 Stream Buffer Adjustments and Exceptions. <p>(PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[LCP II Natural Resources Streams and Riparian Habitats policy 3.A through D, page 72]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3 p. 72</u> Streams and riparian habitats. <u>C-BIO-24 Coastal Streams and Riparian Vegetation.</u> The policies contained in this section shall apply to all streams in the Unit II coastal zone, perennial or intermittent, which are mapped by the United</p>
--	--

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

design process shall also address the impacts of erosion and runoff, and provide for restoration of disturbed areas by replacement landscaping with plant species naturally found on-the site. Where a finding based upon factual evidence is made that development outside a riparian protection or stream buffer area would be more environmentally damaging to-the riparian habitat than development within the riparian protection or stream buffer area, development of principal permitted uses may occur within such area subject to design review and appropriate mitigation measures.

- e. Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone. Freshwater inflows to Tomales Bay are critical to the ecology of the Bay. These inflows maintain unique estuarine habitats along the shoreline of the Bay, affect the spawning characteristics of silver salmon and steelhead trout, flush saltwater and accumulated bottom sediments seaward, and influence the distribution of shellfish, including a rare and endangered species of shrimp, *Syncaris pacifica*. Existing dams and reservoirs have already significantly decreased the mean annual net freshwater inflow to Tomales Bay by approximately 25%. There is general recognition that the water quality and marine life of Tomales Bay have been adversely affected by these reduced inflows. The effect of further diversions on the Bay is not known; however, the cumulative effect is generally regarded as significant.

Coastal Act policies 30230 and 30231 provide for the protection of marine resources and water quality. In addition, Section 30402 provides that all state agencies shall carry out their duties and responsibilities in conformance with the policies of the Act. Although most freshwater diversions occur outside the coastal zone and are thus beyond the jurisdiction of the LCP, the important effects of such diversion projects on the coastal zone should be considered by all agencies involved so that conformance to the Coastal Act policies cited above is ensured. The County urges all agencies involved with diversions outside the coastal zone which affect freshwater inflows to Tomales Bay to properly notify the County of any plans for such diversions so that opportunity for local comment is assured.

The LCP recommends that the impacts from diversion projects, especially on the two major tributaries to Tomales Bay, Walker and Lagunitas Creeks, be fully studied through the EIR process before they are permitted to proceed and that in all cases, mitigation and enhancement measures be required to ensure that coastal resources influenced by freshwater inflows are not significantly damaged.

Policy Status

~~States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) on the 7.5 minute quadrangle series.~~

- a.—~~1. Stream alterations. Limit S~~stream impoundments, diversions, channelizations, or other substantial alterations ~~shall be limited to coastal streams or the riparian vegetation surrounding them~~ to the following purposes:

- ~~(1)—a.~~ Necessary water supply projects, including those for domestic or agricultural purposes;
- ~~(2)—b.~~ Flood control projects where no other method for protecting existing structures in the flood plain is feasible and where such protection is necessary for public safety or to protect existing development; or
- ~~(3)—c.~~ Developments where the primary function is the improvement of fish and wildlife habitat.

Substantial alterations shall include channelizations, dams, or comparable projects which significantly disrupt the habitat value of a particular river or stream. Before any such activities are permitted, minimum flows necessary to maintain fish habitat and water quality, and to protect downstream resources (e.g. riparian vegetation, groundwater recharge areas, receiving waters, spawning habitats, etc.) and downstream users shall be determined by the Department of Fish and Game and the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board. Prohibit N~~new~~ impoundments which, individually or cumulatively, would decrease streamflows below the minimum ~~shall not be permitted.~~

- b.—~~2. Conditions. Minimize F~~the alteration of streams allowed for the purposes listed in ~~(a)-(A)~~ above ~~shall be held to a minimum in order to protect streamwater quality and the volume and rate of streamflow.~~ Require A~~all~~ such developments to incorporate the best mitigation measures feasible, including erosion and runoff control measures, and re-vegetation of disturbed areas with native species. Minimize the D~~disturbance of riparian vegetation shall be held to a minimum and require revegetation wherever possible.~~

- e.—~~3. Stream Buffers. Establish B~~buffers to protect streams from the impacts of adjacent uses ~~shall be established for each stream in Unit II the Coastal Zone.~~ The stream buffer shall include ~~the area covered by riparian vegetation on both sides of the stream and~~ the area 50 feet landward from the outer edge of the riparian vegetation. In no case shall the stream buffer be less than 100 feet in width, on either side of the stream, as measured from the top of the stream banks..

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources

This policy has been modified and carried forward to **C-BIO-24** Coastal Streams and Riparian Vegetation and **C-BIO-26** Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone.

~~d.—4. Development in Stream Buffers. Prohibit No construction, alteration of land forms and vegetation removal shall be permitted within such riparian protection area stream buffers unless the project is otherwise designed to be consistent with policy **C-BIO-25 Stream Buffer Adjustments and Exceptions**. Additionally, such project applications shall identify a stream buffer area which shall extend a minimum of 50 feet from the outer edge of riparian vegetation, but in no case less than 100 feet from the banks of a stream. . . . Development shall not be located within this stream buffer area. When a parcel is located entirely within a stream buffer area; design review shall be required to identify and implement the mitigation measures necessary to protect water quality, riparian vegetation and the rate and volume of stream flows. The design process shall also address the impacts of erosion and runoff, and provide for restoration of disturbed areas by replacement landscaping with plant species naturally found on the site. Where a finding based upon factual evidence is made that development outside a riparian protection or stream buffer area would be more environmentally damaging to the riparian habitat than development within the riparian protection or stream buffer area, development of principal permitted uses may occur within such area subject to design review and appropriate mitigation measures.~~

C-BIO-26 Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone. Require that the impacts from diversion projects, especially on the two major tributaries to Tomales Bay, Walker and Lagunitas Creeks, be fully studied through the CEQA process before they are permitted to proceed and in all cases, require mitigation and enhancement measures to ensure that coastal resources influenced by freshwater inflows are not significantly damaged.

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[LCP II Natural Resources Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone policy 3.e., page 73]

Unit II Policy 3 p. 72

~~e.— Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone. **C-BIO-26 Diversions Outside the Coastal Zone.** Require that the impacts from diversion projects, especially on the two major tributaries Freshwater inflows to Tomales Bay are critical to the ecology of the Bay. These inflows maintain unique estuarine habitats along the shoreline of the Bay, affect the spawning characteristics of silver salmon and steelhead trout, flush saltwater and accumulated bottom sediments seaward, and~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

	<p>influence the distribution of shellfish, including a rare and endangered species of shrimp, <i>Syncaeris pacifica</i>. Existing dams and reservoirs have already significantly decreased the mean annual net freshwater inflow to Tomales Bay by approximately 25%. There is general recognition that the water quality and marine life of Tomales Bay have been adversely affected by these reduced inflows. The effect of further diversions on the Bay is not known; however, the cumulative effect is generally regarded as significant.</p> <p>Coastal Act policies 30230 and 30231 provide for the protection of marine resources and water quality. In addition, Section 30402 provides that all state agencies shall carry out their duties and responsibilities in conformance with the policies of the Act. Although most freshwater diversions occur outside the coastal zone and are thus beyond the jurisdiction of the LCP, the important effects of such diversion projects on the coastal zone should be considered by all agencies involved so that conformance to the Coastal Act policies cited above is ensured. The County urges all agencies involved with diversions outside the coastal zone which affect freshwater inflows to Tomales Bay to properly notify the County of any plans for such diversions so that opportunity for local comment is assured.</p> <p>The LCP recommends that the impacts from diversion projects, especially on the two major tributaries to Tomales Bay, Walker and Lagunitas Creeks, be fully studied through the <u>EIR-CEQA</u> process before they are permitted to proceed and in all cases, require mitigation and enhancement measures to ensure that coastal resources influenced by freshwater inflows are not significantly damaged.</p>
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 74</u> <u>Wetlands.</u> Wetlands in the Unit II coastal zone shall be preserved and maintained, consistent with the policies in this section, as productive wildlife habitats, recreational open space, and water filtering and storage areas. Land uses in and adjacent to wetlands shall be evaluated as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Diking, filling, and dredging of wetlands shall be permitted only in conformance with the policies contained in the LCP on this subject, presented on page 136. In conformance with these policies, filling of wetlands for the purposes of single-family residential development shall not be permitted. b. Allowable resource-dependent activities in wetlands shall include fishing, recreational clamming, hiking, hunting, nature study, birdwatching and 	<p>C-BIO-14 Wetlands. Preserve and maintain wetlands in the Coastal Zone, consistent with the policies in this section, as productive wildlife habitats, recreational open space, and water filtering and storage areas. Evaluate land uses in wetlands as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Permit diking, filling, and dredging of wetlands only in conformance with the policies contained in policy C-BIO-16. Prohibit filling of wetlands for the purposes of residential development. 2. Allow certain resource-dependent activities in wetlands including fishing, recreational clamming, hiking, hunting, nature study, birdwatching and boating.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

boating.

- c. No grazing or other agricultural uses shall be permitted in wetlands except in those-reclaimed areas presently used for' such activities.
- d. A buffer strip 100 feet in width, minimum, as measured landward from the edge of the wetland, shall be established along the periphery of all wetlands. Where appropriate, the required buffer strip may be wider based upon the findings of the supplemental report required in (e). Development activities and uses in the wetland buffer shall be' limited to those specified in (a) and (b) above.
- e. As part of the application for a coastal development permit on any parcel adjacent to Tomales Bay, except where there is no evidence of wetlands pursuant to the Coastal Commission's guidelines, the applicant shall be required to submit supplemental biological information prepared by a qualified ecologist at a scale sufficient to identify the extent of the existing wetlands, based on Section 30121 of the Coastal Act and the area of the proposed buffer areas.

Policy Status

This policy has been modified and carried forward to **C-BIO-14** Wetlands and **C-BIO-22** Tomales Bay Shoreline.

- 3. Prohibit grazing or other agricultural uses in wetlands except in those reclaimed areas used for such activities within five years before the date that a Coastal Permit application is accepted for filing.
[LCP II Natural Resources policy 4 a through c, page 74]

Unit II Policy 4 p. 74

~~Wetlands. C-BIO-14 Wetlands. Preserve and maintain wWetlands in the Unit II eCoastal zZone shall be preserved and maintained,~~ consistent with the policies in this section, as productive wildlife habitats, recreational open space, and water filtering and storage areas. Evaluate ~~land~~ uses in ~~and adjacent to wetlands shall be evaluated~~ as follows:

- a. ~~1. Permit Ddiking, filling, and dredging of wetlands only in conformance with the policies contained in the LCP policy C-BIO-16 on this subject. Prohibit In conformance with these policies, filling of wetlands for the purposes of single-family residential development shall not be permitted.~~
- b. ~~2. Allow certain resource-dependent activities in wetlands including fishing, recreational clamming, hiking, hunting, nature study, birdwatching and boating.~~
- e. ~~3. Prohibit No grazing or other agricultural uses in wetlands except in those reclaimed areas presently used for such activities within five years before the date that a Coastal Permit application is accepted for filing.~~
- d. ~~A buffer strip 100 feet in width, minimum, as measured landward from the edge of the wetland, shall be established along the periphery of all wetlands. Where appropriate, the required buffer strip may be wider based upon the findings of the supplemental report required in (e). Development activities and uses in the wetland buffer shall be' limited to those specified in (a) and (b) above.~~

C-BIO-22 Tomales Bay Shoreline. As part of the application for a coastal permit on any parcel adjacent to Tomales Bay, except where there is no evidence of wetlands, require the applicant to submit supplemental biological information prepared by a qualified biologist at a scale sufficient to identify the extent of the existing wetlands, based on Section 30121 of the Coastal Act and the area of the proposed buffer areas.

[LCP II Natural Resources Wetlands policy 4 e., page 74]

Unit II Policy 4 (e) p. 74

- e. ~~C-BIO-22 Tomales Bay Shoreline.~~ As part of the application for a coastal ~~development~~ permit on any parcel adjacent to Tomales Bay, except where there is no evidence of wetlands ~~pursuant to the Coastal~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources**

	<p>Commission's guidelines, require the applicant the shall be required to submit supplemental biological information prepared by a qualified biologist at a scale sufficient to identify the extent of the existing wetlands, based on Section 30121 of the Coastal Act and the area of the proposed buffer areas.</p>
<p><u>Policy 5 p. 74</u> <u>Coastal Dunes and Other Sensitive Land Habitats</u>. Development in or adjacent to sensitive habitats shall be subject to the following standards:</p> <p>a. <u>Coastal Dunes</u>. No development shall be permitted in coastal dunes in order to preserve dune formations, vegetation, and wildlife habitats. If additional development is proposed at Lawson's Landing, it shall be sited out of the dunes and designed to minimize impacts on adjacent dune vegetation and habitat. Overuse in the dune area shall be prevented by such mechanisms as restricting parking, directing pedestrian traffic to areas capable of sustaining increased use, and fencing. No motor vehicles shall be permitted in beach or dune areas except for emergency purposes. The existing sand quarry operation shall be reviewed in February 1982 when the current permit expires for conformance with LCP policies.</p> <p>b. <u>Other Environmentally Sensitive Habitats</u>. Other sensitive habitats include habitats of rare or endangered-species and unique plant communities. Development in such areas may only be permitted when it depends upon the resources of the habitat area. Development adjacent to such areas shall be set back a sufficient distance to minimize impacts on the habitat area. Public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, shall be controlled to minimize disturbance to wildlife. Fences, roads, and structures which significantly inhibit wildlife movement, especially access to water, shall be avoided.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-BIO-7 Coastal Dunes, C-BIO-3 Environmentally Sensitive Habitats of Rare or Endangered Species and Unique Plant Communities, and C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on their Surroundings.</p>	<p>C-BIO-7 Coastal Dunes. Prohibit development in coastal dunes in order to preserve dune formations, vegetation, and wildlife habitats. Prevent overuse in dune areas by such mechanisms as restricting parking, directing pedestrian traffic to areas capable of sustaining increased use, and fencing. Prohibit motor vehicles in dune areas except for emergency purposes; prohibit vehicles in non-beach dune areas except for emergency and essential maintenance purposes. (PC app. 06/28/10) <i>[LCP Unit II, Natural Resources Coastal Dunes and Other Sensitive Land Habitats policy 5.a, page 74]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 5 (a) p. 74</u> Coastal Dunes and Other Sensitive Land Habitats. Development in or adjacent to sensitive habitats shall be subject to the following standards:</p> <p>a. <u>Coastal Dunes</u>. No development shall be permitted in coastal dunes in order to preserve dune formations, vegetation, and wildlife habitats. If additional development is proposed at Lawson's Landing, it shall be sited out of the dunes and designed to minimize impacts on adjacent dune vegetation and habitat. Overuse in the dune area shall be prevented by such mechanisms as restricting parking, directing pedestrian traffic to areas capable of sustaining increased use, and fencing. No motor vehicles shall be permitted in beach or dune areas except for emergency purposes. <u>C-BIO-7 Coastal Dunes</u>. Prohibit development in coastal dunes in order to preserve dune formations, vegetation, and wildlife habitats. Prevent If additional development is proposed at Lawson's Landing, it shall be sited out of the dunes and designed to minimize impacts on adjacent dune vegetation and habitat. Overuse in the dune areas shall be prevented by such mechanisms as restricting parking, directing pedestrian traffic to areas capable of sustaining increased use, and fencing. <u>Prohibit No-motor vehicles shall be permitted in beach or dune areas except for emergency purposes; prohibit vehicles in non-beach dune areas except for emergency and essential maintenance purposes.</u></p> <p>C-BIO-3 Environmentally Sensitive Habitats of Rare or Endangered Species and Unique Plant Communities. Environmentally sensitive habitats include habitats of rare or endangered species and unique plant communities. Permit development in such areas only when it depends upon the resources of the habitat area and does not significantly disrupt the habitat. Development adjacent to such areas shall be set back a sufficient distance and designed to minimize impacts on the habitat area. Control public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, to minimize disturbance to wildlife. Avoid fences, roads, and structures that significantly inhibit wildlife movement, especially access to water. (PC app. 06/28/10)</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources

[LCP Unit II, Natural Resources Coastal Dunes and Other Sensitive Land Habitats Policy 5.b, page 75]

Policy 5 (b) p. 74

~~b. Other Environmentally Sensitive Habitats. Other sensitive habitats include habitats of rare or endangered species and unique plant communities. Permit development in such areas only when it depends upon the resources of the habitat area and does not significantly disrupt the habitat. Development adjacent to such areas shall be set back a sufficient distance and designed to minimize impacts on the habitat area. Control public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, shall be controlled to minimize disturbance to wildlife. Avoid fences, roads, and structures which that significantly inhibit wildlife movement, especially access to water, shall be avoided.~~

C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on their

Surroundings. Site and design coastal accessways and parking and other support facilities to avoid, if feasible, and only then to minimize significant adverse impacts to sensitive environmental resources, agriculture, and the surrounding community. A vertical accessway should generally be ten feet in width unless site conditions warrant otherwise and should be located at least 10 feet from residential structures. Control public access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, to minimize disturbance to wildlife.

(PC app. 2/8/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Access Policy 2.b., p. 14, and Unit II Natural Resources Policy 5.b., p. 75]

Policy 5 (b) p. 74

~~b. Other Environmentally Sensitive Habitats. Other sensitive habitats include habitats of rare or endangered species and unique plant communities.~~ **C-PA-10 Impacts of Public Coastal Accessways on their Surroundings.** Site and design coastal accessways and parking and other support facilities to avoid, if feasible, and only then to minimize significant adverse impacts to sensitive environmental resources, agriculture, and the surrounding community. ~~Development in such areas may only be permitted when it depends upon the resources of the habitat area. Development adjacent to such areas shall be set back a sufficient distance to minimize impacts on the habitat area.~~ **A vertical accessway should generally be ten feet in width unless site conditions warrant otherwise and should be located at least 10 feet**

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Natural Resources

	<p>from residential structures. Control pPublic access to sensitive habitat areas, including the timing, intensity, and location of such access, shall be controlled to minimize disturbance to wildlife. Fences, roads, and structures which significantly inhibit wildlife movement, especially access to water, shall be avoided.</p>
--	---

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

Unit II Agriculture	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 98</u> <u>General policy.</u> Marin County intends to protect the existing and future viability of agricultural lands in its coastal zone, in accordance with Sections 30241 and 30242 of the Coastal Act. The County's LCP policies are intended to permanently preserve productive agriculture and lands with the potential for agricultural use, foster agricultural development, and assure that non-agricultural development does not conflict with agricultural uses or is incompatible with the rural character of the County's coastal zone. These policies are also intended to concentrate development in suitable locations, ensure that adequate public services are available to serve new development, and protect coastal wildlife, habitat, and scenic resources, in accordance with Sections 30240, 20250, and 30251 of the Coastal Act.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-AG-1 Agricultural Lands and Resources.</p>	<p>C-AG-1 Agricultural Lands and Resources. Protect agricultural land, continued agricultural uses and the agricultural economy by maintaining parcels large enough to sustain agricultural production, preventing conversion to non-agricultural uses, and prohibiting uses that are incompatible with long-term agricultural production or the rural character of the County's Coastal Zone. Preserve important soils, agricultural water sources, and forage to allow continued agricultural production on agricultural lands. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Ag Policy 1, p. 98, and CWP Goal AG-1, p. 2-157]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 98</u> General policy. C-AG-1 Agricultural Lands and Resources. Marin County intends to pProtect the existing and future viability of agricultural lands, in its coastal zone, in accordance with Sections 30241 and 30242 of the Coastal Act. The County's LCP policies are intended to permanently preserve productive agriculture and lands with the potential for <u>continued agricultural uses; foster agricultural development, and assure that non-agricultural development does not conflict with agricultural uses or is and the agricultural economy by maintaining parcels large enough to sustain agricultural production, preventing conversion to non-agricultural uses, and prohibiting uses that are incompatible with long-term agricultural production or the rural character of the County's eCoastal zZone. Preserve important soils, agricultural water sources, and forage to allow continued agricultural production on agricultural lands.</u> These policies are also intended to concentrate development in suitable locations, ensure that adequate public services are available to serve new development, and protect coastal wildlife, habitat, and scenic resources, in accordance with Sections 30240, 20250, and 30251 of the Coastal Act.</p> <p><u>CWP Goal AG-1 p. 2-157</u> C-AG-1 Agricultural Lands and Resources. <u>Preservation of Lands and Resources.</u> Protect agricultural land, by maintaining parcels large enough to sustain agricultural production, preventing conversion to non-agricultural uses, and prohibiting uses that are incompatible with long-term agricultural production. Preserve important soils, agricultural water sources, and forage to allow continued agricultural production on agricultural lands.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

Policy 2 p. 98

Agricultural Production Zone. To implement the goals stated in Policy #1 above, the County shall adopt a planned district zone for all privately owned lands in the Unit II coastal zone currently zoned A-60 or other agricultural zoning district, such as A-20, which are outside of the community expansion boundaries identified in the LCP. Agricultural lands in Unit I which are zoned A-60 shall also be included. The planned district zone shall be known as the Agricultural Production Zone (APZ) and shall have a maximum density of 1 unit per 60 acres. The actual density of permitted development may be less and shall be determined based on the standards in Policy #4 below. The County recognizes that parcel sizes of 60 acres are too small, generally, to independently support existing agricultural operations in the coastal zone. However, 60-acre densities, when combined with the protective standards in Policy #4, do on balance adequately protect agriculture on the coast. The APZ should be reviewed in 5 years to determine its effectiveness, and necessary changes considered at that time.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to **C-AG-2 Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ)**.

C-AG-2 Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ). Apply the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) to preserve privately owned agricultural lands that are suitable for land-intensive or land-extensive agricultural productivity, that contain soils classified as Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Farmland of Local Importance, or Grazing Land capable of supporting production agriculture, or that are currently zoned C-APZ. Ensure that the principal use of these lands is agricultural, and that any development shall be accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with agricultural production.

For the purposes of the C-APZ, the principal permitted use shall be agriculture, defined as uses of land for the breeding, raising, pasturing, and grazing of livestock, the production of food and fiber; the breeding and raising of bees, fish, poultry, and other fowl; the planting, raising, harvesting and producing of agriculture, aquaculture, horticulture, viticulture, vermiculture and forestry crops, substantially similar uses of an equivalent nature and intensity, uses that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with the property's agricultural production, including one single-family dwelling per legal lot, up to two intergenerational homes, agricultural worker housing, limited agricultural product sales and processing, non-profit agricultural tours, agricultural homestay facilities and bed and breakfast inns.

Conditional uses in the C-APZ zone include additional agricultural uses and non-agricultural uses including residential development potentially up to the zoning density, consistent with the standards and criteria of Program C-AG-2.b and Policies C-AG-7 and CAG-9.

Development shall not exceed a maximum density of 1 unit per 60 acres. Densities specified in the zoning are maximums that may not be achieved when the standards of the Agriculture policies below, and, as applicable, other LCP policies are applied.

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[Adapted from Unit II Agriculture Policies 2 and 3, p. 98 and CWP Program AG-1.g, p. 162]

Unit II Policy 2 p. 98

Agricultural Production Zone. ~~C-AG-2 Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ).~~ Apply the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone. (C-APZ) to preserve ~~To implement the goals stated in Policy #1 above, the County shall adopt a planned district zone for all privately owned agricultural lands in the Unit II coastal zone currently zoned A-60 or other agricultural zoning district, such as A-20, which are outside of the community expansion boundaries identified in the LCP. Agricultural lands in Unit I~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

	<p>which are zoned A-60 shall also be included, that are suitable for land-intensive or land-extensive agricultural productivity, that contain soils classified as Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Farmland of Local Importance, or Grazing Land capable of supporting production agriculture, or that are currently zoned C-APZ. Ensure that the principal use of these lands is agricultural, and that any development shall be accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with agricultural production.</p> <p><u>For the purposes of the C-APZ, the principal permitted use shall be agriculture, defined as uses of land for the breeding, raising, pasturing, and grazing of livestock, the production of food and fiber; the breeding and raising of bees, fish, poultry, and other fowl; the planting, raising, harvesting and producing of agriculture, aquaculture, horticulture, viticulture, vermiculture and forestry crops, substantially similar uses of an equivalent nature and intensity, uses that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with the property's agricultural production, including one single-family dwelling per legal lot, up to two intergenerational homes, agricultural worker housing, limited agricultural product sales and processing, non-profit agricultural tours, agricultural homestay facilities and bed and breakfast inns.</u></p> <p><u>Conditional uses in the C-APZ zone include additional agricultural uses and non-agricultural uses including residential development potentially up to the zoning density, consistent with the standards and criteria of Program C-AG-2.b and Policies C-AG-7 and CAG-9.</u></p> <p>The planned district zone shall be known as the Agricultural Production Zone (APZ) and Development shall have shall not exceed a maximum density of 1 unit per 60 acres. Densities specified in the zoning are maximums that may not be achieved when the standards of the Agriculture policies below, and, as applicable, other LCP policies are applied. The actual density of permitted development may be less and shall be determined based on the standards in Policy #4 below. The County recognizes that parcel sizes of 60 acres are too small, generally, to independently support existing agricultural operations in the coastal zone. However, 60 acre densities, when combined with the protective standards in Policy #4, do on balance adequately protect agriculture on the coast. The APZ should be reviewed in 5 years to determine its effectiveness, and necessary changes considered at that time.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3 p. 98</u> Intent of the Agricultural Production Zone. The intent of the Agricultural</p>	<p>See Policy 2 above for C-AG-2 Coastal Agricultural Production Zone</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

Production Zone is to preserve lands within the zone for agricultural use. The principal use of lands in, the APZ shall be agricultural. Development shall be accessory, incidental, or in support of agricultural land uses, and shall conform to the policies and standards in #4 and #5 below.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to **C-AG-2** Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ).

(C-APZ).

CWP AG-1.g, pg. 2-162

~~Revise Agricultural Zoning Districts. Modify existing agricultural zoning districts to create a more uniform approach to preservation of agricultural lands, development standards, and allowance of ancillary and compatible non agricultural uses, and to limit incompatible non agricultural commercial uses. The principal use of agriculturally zoned land shall be agricultural production, with non agricultural uses limited to necessary residential uses and compatible ancillary uses that enhance farm income.~~

~~Consolidate suitable agricultural lands in the Inland Rural Corridor into an effective agricultural zoning district similar to the Agricultural Production Zoning District, and create compatible zoning districts to accommodate lands currently zoned for, but not suited for, agriculture as a principal use.~~

~~Agricultural Production Zoning (APZ), or a similar zoning district, **C-AG-2 Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ)**, shall ~~Apply the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) to preserve privately owned agricultural lands in the Inland Rural Corridor that are suitable for land-intensive or land-extensive agricultural productivity, as well as on that contain soils classified as Prime Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance capable of supporting production agriculture. The purpose of this zoning district shall be to preserve lands within the zone for agricultural uses and support continued agricultural activities. Farmland of Local Importance, or Grazing Land capable of supporting production agriculture, or that are currently zoned C-APZ. Ensure that t~~The principal use of these lands shall be agricultural, and any development shall be accessory, incidental ~~to, and~~ in support of agricultural production.~~

~~For the purposes of the C-APZ, the principal permitted use shall be agriculture, defined as uses of land for the breeding, raising, pasturing, and grazing of livestock, the production of food and fiber; the breeding and raising of bees, fish, poultry, and other fowl; the planting, raising, harvesting and producing of agriculture, aquaculture, horticulture, viticulture, vermiculture and forestry crops, substantially similar uses of an equivalent nature and intensity, uses that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with the property’s agricultural production, including one single-family dwelling per legal lot, up to two intergenerational homes, agricultural worker housing, limited agricultural product sales and processing, non-profit agricultural tours, agricultural homestay facilities and bed and breakfast inns.~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

	<p>Agricultural Residential Planned District Zoning (ARP) shall apply to lands adjacent to residential areas, and at the edges of Agricultural Production Zones in the Inland Rural and Coastal corridors that have potential for agricultural production. This district may also be applied to lands with historic or potential agricultural uses within the City Centered Corridor and in locations that function as community separators or greenbelts. This district is intended to protect agriculture but also allows residential and compatible commercial uses in areas that are transitional between residential and agricultural production uses.</p> <p>Residential Agricultural Zoning District (RAZ) shall apply in rural areas within the City Centered, Inland Rural, Coastal, and Baylands corridors to accommodate typical rural uses including small scale row crop production, 4H projects and associated uses, along with residential uses and compatible commercial uses.</p> <p><u>Conditional uses in the C-APZ zone include additional agricultural uses and non-agricultural uses including residential development potentially up to the zoning density, consistent with the standards and criteria of Program C-AG-2.b and Policies C-AG-7 and CAG-9.</u></p> <p><u>Development shall not exceed a maximum density of 1 unit per 60 acres. Densities specified in the zoning are maximums that may not be achieved when the standards of the Agriculture policies below, and, as applicable, other LCP policies are applied.</u></p> <p>Woodland Conservation Zoning District (WCZ) shall apply to selected lands currently in agricultural zoning districts that have a very dense native tree cover. Aerial photography shall be utilized to determine the extent of canopy cover characterizing properties to be included in this zoning district.</p>
<p>Policy 4 p. 98 <u>Development standards and requirements.</u> All land divisions and developments in the APZ shall require an approved master plan showing how the proposed division or development would affect the subject property. In reviewing a proposed master plan and determining the density of permitted units, the County shall make all of the following findings:</p> <p>a. The development would protect and enhance continued agricultural use and contribute to agricultural viability.</p>	<p>C-AG-7 Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands. Prior to approval of non-agricultural development, including a land division, in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, require submittal of a Master Plan or other appropriate development applications showing how the development would be consistent with the LCP. Approve a proposed Master Plan or development application and determine the density of permitted residential units only upon making all of the following findings and incorporating the conditions listed below. No Master Plan shall be required for:</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

- b. The development is necessary because agricultural use of the property is no longer feasible. The purpose of this standard is to permit agricultural landowners who face economic hardship to demonstrate how development on a portion of their land would ease this hardship and enhance agricultural operations on the remainder of the property.
- c. The land division or development would not conflict with the continuation of agriculture on that portion of the property which is not developed, on adjacent parcels, or those within one mile of the perimeter of the proposed development.
- d. Adequate water supply, sewage disposal, road access and capacity and other public services are available to service the proposed development after provision has been made for existing and continued agricultural operations. Water diversions or use for a proposed development shall not adversely impact stream habitats or significantly reduce freshwater inflows to Tomales Bay, either individually or cumulatively.
- e. Appropriate public agencies are able to provide necessary services (fire protection, police protection, schools, etc.) to serve the proposed development.
- f. The proposed land division and/or development will have no significant adverse impacts on environmental quality or natural habitats, including stream or riparian habitats and scenic resources. In all cases, LCP policies on streams and natural resources shall be met.
- g. Development consists of permitted and conditional uses as authorized in the APZ.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to **C-AG-7** Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands.

- 1. Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with agricultural use;
- 2. Development that is Categorically Excluded;
- 3. Up to two intergenerational homes; or
- 4. A single-family dwelling on a parcel having no residual development potential for additional dwellings, other than agricultural worker housing.

Development Standards:

All of the following development standards apply:

- 1. The development will protect and enhance continued agricultural use, and contribute to agricultural viability.
- 2. The development is necessary because agricultural use of the property would no longer be feasible. The purpose of this standard is to permit agricultural landowners who face economic hardship to demonstrate how development on a portion of their land would ease this hardship and enhance agricultural operations on the remainder of the property.
- 3. The proposed development will not conflict with the continuation or initiation of agricultural uses on that portion of the property that is not proposed for development, on adjacent parcels, or on other agricultural parcels within one mile of the perimeter of the proposed development.
- 4. Adequate water supply, sewage disposal, road access and capacity and other public services are available to support the proposed development after provision has been made for existing and continued agricultural operations. Water diversions or use for a proposed development shall not adversely impact stream or wetland habitats or significantly reduce freshwater inflows to water bodies including but not limited to Tomales Bay, either individually or cumulatively.
- 5. Appropriate public agencies are able to provide necessary services (fire protection, police protection, schools, etc.) to serve the proposed development.
- 6. The proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on environmental quality or natural habitats, consistent with LCP.

Required Conditions:

- 1. In order to retain the maximum amount of land in agricultural production or available for future agricultural use, homes, roads, residential support facilities, and other non-agricultural development shall be placed in one or

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

more groups on a total of no more than five percent of the gross acreage, to the extent feasible, with the remaining acreage retained in or available for agricultural production or open space. Proposed development shall be located close to existing roads, or shall not require new road construction or improvements resulting in significant impacts on agriculture, natural topography, significant vegetation, or significant natural visual qualities of the site. Proposed development shall be sited to minimize impacts on scenic resources, wildlife habitat and streams, and adjacent agricultural operations. Any new parcels created shall have building envelopes outside any designated scenic protection area.

2. The creation of a homeowner's or other organization and/or the submission of an Agricultural Production and Stewardship Plan (APSP) may be required to provide for the proper utilization of agricultural lands and their availability on a lease basis or for the maintenance of the community's roads, septic or water systems.
3. Consistent with State and federal laws, a permanent agricultural conservation easement over that portion of the property not used for physical development or services shall be required for proposed land divisions, non-agricultural development, and multiple residential projects, other than agricultural worker housing or intergenerational housing, to promote the long-term preservation of these lands. Only agricultural and compatible uses shall be allowed under the easement. In addition, the County shall require the execution of a covenant not to divide for the parcels created under this division so that each will be retained as a single unit and are not further subdivided.

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[Adapted from Unit II Ag Policies 4 and 5, p. 98-99]

Unit II Policy 4 p. 98

~~Development standards and requirements. C-AG-7 Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands. All land divisions and developments in the APZ shall require an Prior to approved approval of non-agricultural development, including a land division, in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, require submittal of a Master Plan or other appropriate development applications showing how the proposed division or development would affect the subject property be consistent with the LCP. In reviewing Approve a proposed Master Plan or development application and determining the density of permitted residential units, the County shall only upon making all of the following findings and incorporating the conditions listed below. No Master Plan shall be required for:~~

1. Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

of, and compatible with agricultural use;

2. Development that is Categorical Excluded;

3. Up to two intergenerational homes; or

4. A single-family dwelling on a parcel having no residual development potential for additional dwellings, other than agricultural worker housing.

Development Standards:

All of the following development standards apply:

- a.—1. The development will protect and enhance continued agricultural use, and contribute to agricultural viability.
- b.—2. The development is necessary because agricultural use of the property would no longer be feasible. The purpose of this standard is to permit agricultural landowners who face economic hardship to demonstrate how development on a portion of their land would ease this hardship and enhance agricultural operations on the remainder of the property.
- e.—3. The ~~land division or proposed~~ development will not conflict with the continuation or initiation of agricultural uses on that portion of the property ~~which that~~ is not proposed for development, on adjacent parcels, or ~~those on other agricultural parcels~~ within one mile of the perimeter of the proposed development.
- d.—4. Adequate water supply, sewage disposal, road access and capacity and other public services are available to support the proposed development after provision has been made for existing and continued agricultural operations. Water diversions or use for a proposed development shall not adversely impact stream or wetland habitats or significantly reduce freshwater inflows to water bodies including but not limited to Tomales Bay, either individually or cumulatively.
- e.—5. Appropriate public agencies are able to provide necessary services (fire protection, police protection, schools, etc.) to serve the proposed development.
- f.—6. The proposed ~~division and/or~~ development will have no significant adverse impacts on environmental quality or natural habitats, including stream or riparian habitats and scenic resources. In all cases, LCP policies on streams and natural resources shall be met, consistent with LCP.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

	<p>g. Development consists of permitted and conditional uses as authorized in the APZ.</p> <p><u>Required Conditions:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>In order to retain the maximum amount of land in agricultural production or available for future agricultural use, homes, roads, residential support facilities, and other non-agricultural development shall be placed in one or more groups on a total of no more than five percent of the gross acreage, to the extent feasible, with the remaining acreage retained in or available for agricultural production or open space. Proposed development shall be located close to existing roads, or shall not require new road construction or improvements resulting in significant impacts on agriculture, natural topography, significant vegetation, or significant natural visual qualities of the site. Proposed development shall be sited to minimize impacts on scenic resources, wildlife habitat and streams, and adjacent agricultural operations. Any new parcels created shall have building envelopes outside any designated scenic protection area.</u> 2. <u>The creation of a homeowner's or other organization and/or the submission of an Agricultural Production and Stewardship Plan (APSP) may be required to provide for the proper utilization of agricultural lands and their availability on a lease basis or for the maintenance of the community's roads, septic or water systems.</u> 3. <u>Consistent with State and federal laws, a permanent agricultural conservation easement over that portion of the property not used for physical development or services shall be required for proposed land divisions, non-agricultural development, and multiple residential projects, other than agricultural worker housing or intergenerational housing, to promote the long-term preservation of these lands. Only agricultural and compatible uses shall be allowed under the easement. In addition, the County shall require the execution of a covenant not to divide for the parcels created under this division so that each will be retained as a single unit and are not further subdivided.</u>
<p>Policy 5 p. 99 <u>Conditions.</u> As part of the approval of a master plan, the following conditions shall be required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. All development shall be clustered to retain the maximum amount of land in agricultural production or available for agricultural use Development, including all land converted from agricultural use such as roads and 	<p>C-AG-7 Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands. Prior to approval of non-agricultural development, including a land division, in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, require submittal of a Master Plan or other appropriate development applications showing how the development would be consistent with the LCP. Approve a proposed Master Plan or development application and determine the density of permitted residential units only upon making all of the</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

residential support facilities, shall be clustered on no more than five percent of the gross acreage, to the extent feasible, with the remaining acreage to be left in agricultural production and/ or open space. Development shall be located close to existing roads and shall be sited to minimize impacts on scenic resources, wildlife habitat and streams, and adjacent agricultural operations.

- b. Permanent conservation easements over that portion of the property not used for physical development or services shall be required to promote the long-term preservation of these lands. only agricultural uses shall be allowed under the easements. In addition, the County shall require the execution of a covenant not to divide for the parcels created under this division so that they are retained as a single unit and are not further subdivided.
- c. The creation of a homeowner's or other organization and/or the submission of agricultural management plans may be required to provide for the proper utilization of agricultural lands and their availability on a lease basis or for the maintenance of community roads or mutual water systems.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to **C-AG-7** Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands.

following findings and incorporating the conditions listed below. No Master Plan shall be required for:

- 1. Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with agricultural use;
- 2. Development that is Categorically Excluded;
- 3. Up to two intergenerational homes; or
- 4. A single-family dwelling on a parcel having no residual development potential for additional dwellings, other than agricultural worker housing.

Development Standards:

All of the following development standards apply:

- 1. The development will protect and enhance continued agricultural use, and contribute to agricultural viability.
- 2. The development is necessary because agricultural use of the property would no longer be feasible. The purpose of this standard is to permit agricultural landowners who face economic hardship to demonstrate how development on a portion of their land would ease this hardship and enhance agricultural operations on the remainder of the property.
- 3. The proposed development will not conflict with the continuation or initiation of agricultural uses on that portion of the property that is not proposed for development, on adjacent parcels, or on other agricultural parcels within one mile of the perimeter of the proposed development.
- 4. Adequate water supply, sewage disposal, road access and capacity and other public services are available to support the proposed development after provision has been made for existing and continued agricultural operations. Water diversions or use for a proposed development shall not adversely impact stream or wetland habitats or significantly reduce freshwater inflows to water bodies including but not limited to Tomales Bay, either individually or cumulatively.
- 5. Appropriate public agencies are able to provide necessary services (fire protection, police protection, schools, etc.) to serve the proposed development.
- 6. The proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on environmental quality or natural habitats, consistent with LCP.

Required Conditions:

- 1. In order to retain the maximum amount of land in agricultural production or available for future agricultural use, homes, roads, and residential support facilities, and other non-agricultural development shall be placed in one or more groups on a total of no more than five percent of the gross acreage, to the extent feasible, with the remaining acreage retained in or available

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

for agricultural production or open space. Proposed development shall be located close to existing roads, and or shall not require new road construction or improvements resulting in significant impacts on agriculture, natural topography, significant vegetation, or significant natural visual qualities of the site. Proposed development shall be sited to minimize impacts on scenic resources, wildlife habitat and streams, and adjacent agricultural operations. Any new parcels created shall have building envelopes outside any designated scenic protection area.

2. The creation of a homeowner's or other organization and/or the submission of an Agricultural Production and Stewardship Plan (APSP) may be required to provide for the proper utilization of agricultural lands and their availability on a lease basis or for the maintenance of the community's roads, septic or water systems.
3. Consistent with State and federal laws, a permanent agricultural conservation easement over that portion of the property not used for physical development or services shall be required for proposed land divisions, non-agricultural development, and multiple residential projects, other than agricultural worker housing or intergenerational housing, to promote the long-term preservation of these lands. Only agricultural and compatible uses shall be allowed under the easement. In addition, the County shall require the execution of a covenant not to divide for the parcels created under this division so that each will be retained as a single unit and are not further subdivided.

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[Adapted from Unit II Ag Policies 4 and 5, p. 98-99]

Unit II Policy 5 p. 99

C-AG-7 Master Plan for Non-Agricultural Development of Agricultural Production Zone (C-APZ) Lands. Prior to approval of non-agricultural development, including a land division, in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, require submittal of a Master Plan or other appropriate development applications showing how the development would be consistent with the LCP. Approve a proposed Master Plan or development application and determine the density of permitted residential units only upon making all of the following findings and incorporating the conditions listed below. No Master Plan shall be required for:

1. Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with agricultural use;
2. Development that is Categorically Excluded;
3. Up to two intergenerational homes; or

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

4. A single-family dwelling on a parcel having no residual development potential for additional dwellings, other than agricultural worker housing.

Development Standards:

All of the following development standards apply:

1. The development will protect and enhance continued agricultural use, and contribute to agricultural viability.
2. The development is necessary because agricultural use of the property would no longer be feasible. The purpose of this standard is to permit agricultural landowners who face economic hardship to demonstrate how development on a portion of their land would ease this hardship and enhance agricultural operations on the remainder of the property.
3. The proposed development will not conflict with the continuation or initiation of agricultural uses on that portion of the property that is not proposed for development, on adjacent parcels, or on other agricultural parcels within one mile of the perimeter of the proposed development.
4. Adequate water supply, sewage disposal, road access and capacity and other public services are available to support the proposed development after provision has been made for existing and continued agricultural operations. Water diversions or use for a proposed development shall not adversely impact stream or wetland habitats or significantly reduce freshwater inflows to water bodies including but not limited to Tomales Bay, either individually or cumulatively.
5. Appropriate public agencies are able to provide necessary services (fire protection, police protection, schools, etc.) to serve the proposed development.
6. The proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on environmental quality or natural habitats, consistent with LCP.

Required Conditions: As part of the approval of a master plan, the following conditions shall be required:

- a. 1. All development shall be clustered. In order to retain the maximum amount of land in agricultural production or available for future agricultural use, homes, Development, including all land converted from agricultural use such as roads, and residential support facilities, and other non-agricultural development shall be clustered or placed in one or more groups on a total of no more than five percent of the gross acreage, to the extent feasible, with the remaining acreage retained in or available for agricultural production and/or open space. Proposed Development shall be located close to existing roads, and or shall not require new road construction or improvements resulting

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

	<p><u>in significant impacts on agriculture, natural topography, significant vegetation, or significant natural visual qualities of the site. Proposed development shall be sited to minimize impacts on scenic resources, wildlife habitat and streams, and adjacent agricultural operations. Any new parcels created shall have building envelopes outside any designated scenic protection area.</u></p> <p>b. <u>2. The creation of a homeowner's or other organization and/or the submission of agricultural management plans an Agricultural Production and Stewardship Plan (APSP) may be required to provide for the proper utilization of agricultural lands and their availability on a lease basis or for the maintenance of the community's roads, septic or mutual water systems.</u></p> <p>e. <u>3. Consistent with State and federal laws, a Ppermanent agricultural conservation easements over that portion of the property not used for physical development or services shall be required for proposed land divisions, non-agricultural development, and multiple residential projects, other than agricultural worker housing or intergenerational housing, to promote the long-term preservation of these lands. Only agricultural and compatible uses shall be allowed under the easement. In addition, the County shall require the execution of a covenant not to divide for the parcels created under this division so that they are each will be retained as a single unit and are not further subdivided.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 6 p. 100</u> Definitions and uses. The definition of agricultural uses in the APZ is given below, along with permitted and conditional uses.</p> <p>a. <u>Definitions.</u> For the purposes of the Agricultural Production Zone, agricultural uses shall be defined as uses of land to grow and/or produce agricultural commodities for commercial purposes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock and poultry - cattle, sheep, poultry, goats, rabbits, horses unless they are the primary animals raised. • Livestock and poultry products - milk, wool, eggs. • Field, fruit, nut, and vegetable crops - hay grain, silage, pasture, fruits, nuts, and vegetables. • Nursery products - nursery crops, cut plants. <p>b. <u>Permitted uses.</u> Permitted uses include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agricultural uses as defined above. • One single-family dwelling per parcel. "Parcel" is defined as all 	<p><i>Program C-AG-2.b Develop Implementation Measures for the C-APZ.</i> Amend the Development Code to incorporate the following provisions:</p> <p>Permitted Uses in the Agricultural Production Zone.</p> <p>1.. <u>Definitions.</u> For the purposes of the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, define agricultural production consistent with Policy C-AG-2, and specifically including uses of land to raise animals used in farming or grow and/or produce agricultural commodities for commercial purposes, including the following and substantially similar uses of an equivalent nature and intensity:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Livestock and poultry - cattle, sheep, poultry, goats, rabbits, , and horses provided that horses are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with the property's agricultural production. b. Livestock and poultry products (such as milk, wool, eggs). c. Field, fruit, nut, and vegetable crops - hay grain, silage, pasture, fruits, nuts, seeds, and vegetables. d. Nursery products - nursery crops, cut plants.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

<p>contiguous assessor's parcels under common ownership.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessory structures or uses appurtenant and necessary to the operation of agricultural uses, other than dwelling units of any kind, but including barns, fences, stables, corrals, coops and pens, and utility facilities. <p>c. <u>Conditional uses.</u> Conditional uses include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land divisions. • Farmworker housing. • Mobile homes so long as they are used exclusively for employees of the owner who are actively and directly engaged in the agricultural use of the land. • Hog ranch. • Veterinary facilities. • Fish hatcheries and rearing ponds. • Stabling of more than five horses on ranches where horses are the primary or only animals raised. • Raising of other food and fiber producing animals not listed under (a) above. • Planting, raising, or harvesting of trees for timber, fuel, or Christmas tree production. • Facilities for processing or retail sale of agricultural products. • Greenhouses. • Commercial storage and sale of garden supply products. • Water conservation dams and ponds. • Mineral resource production. • Game or nature preserve or refuge. • Public or private recreational activities, such as hunting, fishing, and camping. • Bed and breakfast operations in existing structures up to a maximum of 5 rooms. • Construction, alteration, or maintenance of gas, electric, water, communication, or flood control facilities, unrelated to an agricultural use, as approved by the appropriate governmental agencies. • Dump. <p><u>Policy Status</u> The contents of this policy have been carried forward to Program C-AG-2.b Develop Implementation Measures for the C-APZ.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. Aquaculture and mariculture f. Viticulture g. Vermiculture h. Forestry i. Commercial gardening j. Beekeeping <p>2. <u>Principal Permitted uses.</u> Limit Principal Permitted uses in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Agricultural production as defined in section “a” above; b. One single-family dwelling per legal lot consistent with the limitations on dwelling size contained in Policy C-AG-9. c. Agricultural accessory structures that contain no residential use, but including barns, fences, stables, corrals, coops and pens, and utility facilities. d. Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support of and compatible with agricultural production; e. Processing of agricultural products grown principally in Marin County in a processing facility that does not exceed 2500 square feet; f. Retail sales of agricultural products grown principally in Marin County from a sales facility that does not exceed 250 square feet; g. Bed and breakfast inns or agricultural homestay facilities, with three or fewer guest rooms, appurtenant to and compatible with agriculture. h. Agricultural worker housing i. Agricultural tours conducted by non-profit organizations for educational purposes. j. Intergenerational housing - one additional unit as provided in Policy C-AG-5; <p>3. <u>Permitted Uses</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Residential care facilities (six or fewer individuals) b. Group homes (six or fewer individuals); c. Small Family Day Care. <p>4. <u>Conditional Uses.</u> Limit conditional uses in the Agricultural Production Zone to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Land divisions; b. Second intergenerational housing unit, as provided in Policy C-AG-5. c. Mobile homes so long as they are used exclusively for employees or family members of the owner who are actively and directly engaged in the agricultural use of the land; d. Hog ranches; e. Veterinary facilities; f. Fish hatcheries and rearing ponds; g. Stabling of more than five horses on ranches where horses are the primary
--	--

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

- or only animals raised;
- h. Raising of other food and fiber producing animals not listed under “1.a” above;
- i. Planting, raising, or harvesting of trees for timber, fuel, or Christmas tree production;
- j. Facilities for agricultural processing that exceed Use Permit waiver criteria;
- k. Sales of agricultural products that exceed Use Permit waiver criteria;
- l. Commercial storage and sale of garden supply products;
- m. Water conservation dams and ponds;
- n. Mineral resource extraction;
- o. Nature preserve
- p. Hunting and fishing clubs.
- q. Campgrounds;
- r. Private residential recreational facilities;
- s. Public parks and playgrounds;
- t. Equestrian facilities;
- u. Bed and breakfast operations with 4 or 5 rooms and agricultural homestays with 4-6 rooms;
- v. Construction, alteration, or maintenance of gas, electric, water, communication, or flood control facilities, unrelated to an agricultural use, as approved by the appropriate governmental agencies;
- w. Waste disposal sites;
- x. Water wells or septic systems to serve development on adjoining land;
- y. Residential care facilities (six or more individuals);
- z. Group homes (six or more individuals);
- aa. Large Family Day Care

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[Adapted from Unit II Agriculture Policy 6, p. 100]

Unit II Policy 6 p. 100

Program C-AG-2.b Develop Implementation Measures for the C-APZ.

Amend the Development Code to incorporate the following provisions:

Permitted Uses in the Agricultural Production Zone.

1. Definitions.

____ For the purposes of the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone, define agricultural production consistent with Policy C-AG-2, and specifically including uses of land to raise animals used in farming or grow and/or produce agricultural commodities for commercial purposes, including the following and substantially similar uses of an equivalent nature and intensity:

- a. Livestock and poultry - cattle, sheep, poultry, goats, rabbits, and

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture

	<p>horses <u>provided that horses are accessory and incidental to, in support of, and compatible with the property's agricultural production.</u></p> <p>b. Livestock and poultry products (such as milk, wool, eggs).</p> <p>c. Field, fruit, nut, and vegetable crops - hay grain, silage, pasture, fruits, nuts, seeds, and vegetables.</p> <p>d. Nursery products - nursery crops, cut plants.</p> <p>e. <u>Aquaculture and mariculture</u></p> <p>f. <u>Viticulture</u></p> <p>g. <u>Vermiculture</u></p> <p>h. <u>Forestry</u></p> <p>i. <u>Commercial gardening</u></p> <p>j. <u>Beekeeping</u></p> <p>2. <u>Principal Permitted uses.</u> <u>Limit Principal Permitted uses in the Coastal Agricultural Production Zone to the following:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Agricultural production as defined in section "a" above;</u></p> <p>b. <u>One single-family dwelling per legal lot consistent with the limitations on dwelling size contained in Policy C-AG-9.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Agricultural accessory structures that contain no residential use, but including barns, fences, stables, corrals, coops and pens, and utility facilities.</u></p> <p>d. <u>Agricultural activities that are accessory and incidental to, in support of and compatible with agricultural production;</u></p> <p>e. <u>Processing of agricultural products grown principally in Marin County in a processing facility that does not exceed 2500 square feet;</u></p> <p>f. <u>Retail sales of agricultural products grown principally in Marin County from a sales facility that does not exceed 250 square feet;</u></p> <p>g. <u>Bed and breakfast inns or agricultural homestay facilities, with three or fewer guest rooms, appurtenant to and compatible with agriculture.</u></p> <p>h. <u>Agricultural worker housing</u></p> <p>i. <u>Agricultural tours conducted by non-profit organizations for educational purposes.</u></p> <p>j. <u>Intergenerational housing - one additional unit as provided in Policy C-AG-5;</u></p> <p>3. <u>Permitted Uses</u></p> <p>a. <u>Residential care facilities (six or fewer individuals)</u></p> <p>b. <u>Group homes (six or fewer individuals);</u></p> <p>c. <u>Small Family Day Care.</u></p> <p>4. <u>Conditional Uses.</u> <u>Limit conditional uses in the Agricultural Production Zone to the</u></p>
--	---

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

	<p>following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Land divisions; b. <u>Second intergenerational housing unit, as provided in Policy C-AG-5.</u> c. Mobile homes so long as they are used exclusively for employees or <u>family members</u> of the owner who are actively and directly engaged in the agricultural use of the land; d. <u>Hog ranches;</u> e. Veterinary facilities; f. Fish hatcheries and rearing ponds; g. Stabling of more than five horses on ranches where horses are the primary or only animals raised; h. Raising of other food and fiber producing animals not listed under "1.a" above; i. Planting, raising, or harvesting of trees for timber, fuel, or Christmas tree production; j. Facilities for <u>agricultural processing that exceed Use Permit waiver criteria;</u> k. <u>Sales of agricultural products that exceed Use Permit waiver criteria;</u> l. Commercial storage and sale of garden supply products; m. Water conservation dams and ponds; n. Mineral resource extraction; o. <u>Nature preserve</u> p. <u>Hunting and fishing clubs.</u> q. <u>Campgrounds;</u> r. <u>Private residential recreational facilities;</u> s. <u>Public parks and playgrounds;</u> t. <u>Equestrian facilities;</u> u. <u>Bed and breakfast operations with 4 or 5 rooms and agricultural homestays with 4-6 rooms;</u> v. Construction, alteration, or maintenance of gas, electric, water, communication, or flood control facilities, unrelated to an agricultural use, as approved by the appropriate governmental agencies; w. <u>Waste disposal sites;</u> x. <u>Water wells or septic systems to serve development on adjoining land;</u> y. <u>Residential care facilities (six or more individuals);</u> z. <u>Group homes (six or more individuals);</u> aa. <u>Large Family Day Care</u>
Policy 7 p. 101	C-AG-10 Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) and Other Methods

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Agriculture**

<p>Alternative methods of preserving agricultural lands. The County strongly supports the objectives of the Marin Agricultural Land Trust to protect agricultural lands through the transfer, purchase, or donation of development rights or conservation easements on agricultural lands. The County supports and encourages action by the Trust in the coastal zone to preserve agricultural land for productive uses. The County also supports the use of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) and similar innovative techniques to permanently preserve agricultural lands.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The contents of this policy have been carried forward to C-AG-10 Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) and Other Methods of Preserving Agriculture.</p>	<p>of Preserving Agriculture. Support the objectives of the Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) to protect agricultural lands through the transfer, purchase, or donation of development rights or agricultural conservation easements on agricultural lands. Support and encourage action by MALT in the Coastal Zone to preserve agricultural land for productive uses. Support the use of County’s adopted model agricultural easement, implementation of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) programs and similar innovative techniques to permanently preserve agricultural lands. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Agriculture Policy 7, p. 101]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 7 p. 101</u> Alternative methods of preserving agricultural lands. C-AG-10 Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) and Other Methods of Preserving Agriculture. The County strongly sSupports the objectives of the Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) to protect agricultural lands through the transfer, purchase, or donation of development rights or <u>agricultural</u> conservation easements on agricultural lands. The County sSupports and encourages action by the Trust MALT in the eCoastal zZone to preserve agricultural land for productive uses. The County also sSupports the use of <u>County’s adopted model agricultural easement, implementation of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) programs and similar innovative techniques to permanently preserve agricultural lands.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 8 p. 101</u> <u>Agriculture on state parklands.</u> State parklands with the potential for agricultural use should be made available for such use, especially during the interim period before the parks are opened for public use. Once opened, the parks should retain agricultural uses unless public recreation or natural resources on the site would be adversely affected. If conflicts between agriculture and public uses occur, they should be resolved in such a way as to protect resources and public safety while still allowing the continuation of the agricultural operation. Agricultural leases with private operators should be reviewed five years prior to expiration for compatibility with park goals. Operators should be notified at that time whether or not their leases will be renewed and what revisions in operating arrangements, if any, are necessary.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy is not carried forward as the language is no applicable.</p>	<p>n/a</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture**

Unit II Mariculture	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 114</u> <u>General policy.</u> The County of Marin supports and encourages mariculture in its coastal zone for the purposes of producing food, enhancing and restoring fisheries stocks, and contributing to the State's economy. This policy recognizes, however, that the need for mariculture sites in coastal waters must be balanced with the need to provide for other uses, such as commercial fishing, recreational clamming and boating, and the need to protect coastal wildlife, water, and visual resources.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The concept of this policy has been carried forward to C-MAR-1 Support Mariculture.</p>	<p>C-MAR-1 Support Mariculture. Supports and encourages mariculture in the Coastal Zone for the purposes of producing food, enhancing and restoring fisheries stocks, and contributing to the economy of the State and Marin County, while providing for other uses, such as commercial fishing, recreational clamming and boating, and the protection of coastal wildlife, water quality, and visual resources. Support provision of onshore facilities necessary to support mariculture operations in coastal waters. (PC app. 3/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Mariculture Policy 1, p. 113]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 114</u> General policy. C-MAR-1 Support Mariculture. The County of Marin supports and encourages mariculture in the Coastal Zone for the purposes of producing food, enhancing and restoring fisheries stocks, and contributing to the State's economy. This policy recognizes, however, that the need for mariculture sites in coastal waters must be balanced with the need to <u>of the State and Marin County, while providing</u> for other uses, such as commercial fishing, recreational clamming and boating, and the need to protect <u>protection of</u> coastal wildlife, water, quality, and visual resources. <u>Support provision of onshore facilities necessary to support mariculture operations in coastal waters.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 114</u> <u>General standards.</u> The following standards and procedures shall apply to all mariculture operations:</p> <p>a. <u>Acreage limit.</u> In conformance with the recommendations of the Department of Fish and Game, the total acreage designated for mariculture operations in Tomales Bay shall not exceed 900 acres during the five year period following adoption of the LCP. This 900 acres includes 819 acres of existing allotments and leases and a maximum of 81 acres of new allotments and leases. When the LCP is reviewed in five years, additions or reductions in acreage may be considered.</p> <p>b. <u>Size limit on allotments and leases.</u> Mariculture in Tomales Bay has received increasing interest in recent years, as it has statewide. To provide flexibility in responding to new information about the industry, new technology, and changing public needs, allotments and leases considered</p>	<p>C-MAR-3 Apply General Standards to Mariculture Operations. The coastal permitting agency (Coastal Commission and/or Marin County) shall apply the following standards and procedures to all mariculture operations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Protection of eelgrass beds.</u> The siting of oyster allotments, mariculture leases, and mariculture structures should avoid interference or damage to eelgrass beds in Tomales Bay, in conformance with Section 30.10, Title 14, California Code of Regulations. 2. <u>Operator access.</u> Public agencies should be encouraged to consider operator access to mariculture leaseholds. 3. <u>Shoreline access.</u> Mariculture operations and onshore support facilities shall incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline unless such access would interfere with mariculture and the impacts from access cannot be mitigated to less than significant levels. In evaluating

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture**

for development in a coastal permit shall be limited in size to five acres. Applicants shall be required to meet the production requirements of the Department of Fish and Game for each five-acre parcel before being granted a permit to develop additional acreage. Allotments and leases in existence at the time of LCP adoption shall not be subject to this policy. Re-allotted acreage shall be retained and shall be reviewed for appropriate size and location according to LCP policies in this and other sections.

- c. Time limit on allotments and leases. To increase flexibility in the administration of mariculture activities for the purposes stated in (b) above, the County encourages the Department of Fish and Game to limit new allotments and leases in Tomales Bay to 10 years, subject to renewal up to 25 years as allowed by law.
- d. Protection of eelgrass beds. The siting of oyster allotments, mariculture leases, and mariculture structures shall avoid interference or damage to eelgrass beds in Tomales Bay, in conformance with Section 165(5), Title 14, of the California Administrative Code.
- e. Exotic animals. The importation of exotic fish, shellfish, or other marine species shall be carefully reviewed for its potential effect on native organisms in Tomales Bay, in accordance with Sections 130 and 131, Title 14, of the California Administrative Code. Before a coastal permit is granted, persons requesting to cultivate exotic species shall be required to demonstrate that no significant adverse impacts will result from the introduction of such species.
- f. Shoreline access. Mariculture operations and onshore support facilities shall incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline unless such access would interfere with mariculture and the impacts from access cannot be mitigated. In evaluating coastal permits for mariculture, the County shall consider the location of existing accessways and potential conflicts between mariculture and public use of the shoreline. Areas of State land used by the public for digging clams shall remain open to the public for such digging, in conformance with Sections 6496 and 6523 of the State Fish and Game Code.
- g. Boating access. The placement of structures within new or existing allotments and leases shall not interfere with public boating access at high-tide to State lands within the leased areas, in conformance with Sections 6497 and 6524 of the State Fish and Game Code. If boat passages are proposed, they shall be spaced at a minimum of one passage per 1/2 mile of shoreline.

coastal permits for mariculture, the County shall consider the location of existing accessways and potential conflicts between mariculture and public use of the shoreline.

- 4. Boating access. The placement of structures within new or existing allotments and leases shall not interfere with public boating access at high tide to State lands within the leased areas. If boat passages are proposed, they shall be spaced at a minimum of one passage per 1/2 mile of shoreline.
- 5. Onshore support facilities. Applicants for a coastal permit shall specify what access points and onshore support facilities (e.g. boat launch, loading dock, etc.) are required for the proposed mariculture operation, where such facilities will be located, and the timing of use. If private lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall submit a written statement from the property owner(s) agreeing to such use. If public lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall arrange a lease with the appropriate public agency specifying the type, location, and timing of use which is acceptable.
- 6. Visual impacts. Mariculture structures shall be sited and designed to minimize visual impacts, especially in areas which are highly visible from public roads, parks, or other public viewing places.

[Adapted from Unit II Mariculture Policy 2, pp. 113-116]

Unit II Policy 2 p. 114

~~General standards—C-MAR-3 Apply General Standards to Mariculture~~

~~**Operations.** The coastal permitting agency (Coastal Commission and/or Marin County) shall apply t~~The following standards and procedures to all mariculture operations:

- ~~a.—Acreage limit. In conformance with the recommendations of the Department of Fish and Game, the total acreage designated for mariculture operations in Tomales Bay shall not exceed 900 acres during the five year period following adoption of the LCP. This 900 acres includes 819 acres of existing allotments and leases and a maximum of 81 acres of new allotments and leases. When the LCP is reviewed in five years, additions or reductions in acreage may be considered.~~
- ~~b.—Size limit on allotments and leases. Mariculture in Tomales Bay has received increasing interest in recent years, as it has statewide. To provide flexibility in responding to new information about the~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture**

- h. Marking of structures. Mariculture structures shall be clearly marked above water in accordance with Sections 6499 and 6526 of the State Fish and Game Code, and the regulations of the Army Corps of Engineers and Coast Guard.
- i. Onshore support facilities. Applicants for a coastal permit shall specify what access points and onshore support facilities (e.g. boat launch, loading dock, etc.) are required for the proposed mariculture operation, where such facilities will be located, and the timing of use. If private lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall submit a written statement from the property owner(s) agreeing to such use. If public lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall arrange a lease with the County or State specifying the type, location, and timing of use which is acceptable.
- j. Visual impacts. Mariculture structures shall be sited and designed to minimize visual impacts, especially in areas which are highly visible from public roads, parks, or other public viewing places.
- k. Permit requirements. Coastal permit applications for mariculture operations shall include the following information, submitted as part of the environmental statement on the project required by Public Resources Code Section 833:
- map of location, scale of 1:2000
 - presence of eelgrass beds and other resources (e.g. seal haul-outs) on the site
 - depth of water and type of substrate
 - species to be cultivated and culture method to be used (e.g. raft, stake, bottom culture)
 - percent of allotment or lease covered by structures
 - method of anchoring structures
 - method of marking structures
 - provision for shoreline and/or boating access, as necessary
 - location of access to mariculture operations and of onshore support facilities
 - list of adjacent property owners and upland property owners within 1/2 mile of proposed activity
 - list of other permits applied for or granted
1. Notification of property owners. The County shall notify all property owners within 1/2 mile of the proposed mariculture operations and

~~industry, new technology, and changing public needs, allotments and leases considered for development in a coastal permit shall be limited in size to five acres. Applicants shall be required to meet the production requirements of the Department of Fish and Game for each five acre parcel before being granted a permit to develop additional acreage. Allotments and leases in existence at the time of LCP adoption shall not be subject to this policy. Re-allotted acreage shall be retained and shall be reviewed for appropriate size and location according to LCP policies in this and other sections.~~

~~e. Time limit on allotments and leases. To increase flexibility in the administration of mariculture activities for the purposes stated in (b) above, the County encourages the Department of Fish and Game to limit new allotments and leases in Tomales Bay to 10 years, subject to renewal up to 25 years as allowed by law.~~

~~1.d. Protection of eelgrass beds. The siting of oyster allotments, mariculture leases, and mariculture structures shall should avoid interference or damage to eelgrass beds in Tomales Bay, in conformance with Section 465(5), Title 14, of the California Administrative Code 30.10, Title 14, California Code of Regulations.~~

~~2. Operator access. Public agencies should be encouraged to consider operator access to mariculture leaseholds.~~

~~e. Exotic animals. The importation of exotic fish, shellfish, or other marine species shall be carefully reviewed for its potential effect on native organisms in Tomales Bay, in accordance with Sections 130 and 131, Title 14, of the California Administrative Code. Before a coastal permit is granted, persons requesting to cultivate exotic species shall be required to demonstrate that no significant adverse impacts will result from the introduction of such species.~~

~~3.f. Shoreline access. Mariculture operations and onshore support facilities shall incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline unless such access would interfere with mariculture and the impacts from access cannot be mitigated; to less than significant levels. In evaluating coastal permits for mariculture, the County shall consider the location of existing accessways and potential conflicts between mariculture and public use of the shoreline. Areas of State land used by the public for digging clams shall remain open to the public for such digging, in conformance with Sections 6496 and 6523 of the State Fish and Game Code.~~

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture

interested organizations when a coastal permit is filed with the County for mariculture activities.

Policy Status

The concepts of this policy have been carried forward to **C-MAR-3** Apply General Standards to Mariculture Operations.

~~4.g. Boating access.~~ The placement of structures within new or existing allotments and leases shall not interfere with public boating access at high tide to State lands within the leased areas, ~~in conformance with Sections 6497 and 6524 of the State Fish and Game Code.~~ If boat passages are proposed, they shall be spaced at a minimum of one passage per 1/2 mile of shoreline.

~~h. Marking of structures.~~ Mariculture structures shall be clearly marked above water in accordance with Sections 6499 and 6526 of the State Fish and Game Code, and the regulations of the Army Corps of Engineers and Coast Guard.

~~5.i. Onshore support facilities.~~ Applicants for a coastal permit shall specify what access points and onshore support facilities (e.g. boat launch, loading dock, etc.) are required for the proposed mariculture operation, where such facilities will be located, and the timing of use. If private lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall submit a written statement from the property owner(s) agreeing to such use. If public lands will be used for access or support facilities, the applicant shall arrange a lease with the ~~County or State~~ appropriate public agency specifying the type, location, and timing of use which is acceptable.

~~6.j. Visual impacts.~~ Mariculture structures shall be sited and designed to minimize visual impacts, especially in areas which are highly visible from public roads, parks, or other public viewing places.

~~k. Permit requirements.~~ Coastal permit applications for mariculture operations shall include the following information, submitted as part of the environmental statement on the project required by Public Resources Code Section 833:

- ~~• map of location, scale of 1:2000~~
- ~~• presence of eelgrass beds and other resources (e.g. seal haul outs) on the site~~
- ~~• depth of water and type of substrate~~
- ~~• species to be cultivated and culture method to be used (e.g. raft, stake, bottom culture)~~
- ~~• percent of allotment or lease covered by structures~~
- ~~• method of anchoring structures~~
- ~~• method of marking structures~~
- ~~• provision for shoreline and/or boating access, as necessary~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • location of access to mariculture operations and of onshore support facilities • list of adjacent property owners and upland property owners within 1/2 mile of proposed activity • list of other permits applied for or granted <p>1. Notification of property owners. The County shall notify all property owners within 1/2 mile of the proposed mariculture operations and interested organizations when a coastal permit is filed with the County for mariculture activities.</p>
<p>Policy 3 p. 116 <u>Technical Advisory Committee.</u> The County shall explore the possibility of establishing a Technical Advisory Committee composed of qualified persons for the purpose of providing technical expertise and assistance to the County in its review of coastal permits for mariculture.</p> <p>Policy Status This policy has not been carried forward since it is no longer relevant.</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>Policy 4 p. 116 <u>Existing allotments and leases.</u> The County of Marin recognizes existing oyster allotments and mariculture leases in Tomales Bay and encourages their development. This policy recognizes, however, that adjustments in allotment size and location may be necessary in the future in response to new information about the mariculture industry, new technology, or changing public needs.</p> <p>Development of existing allotments and leases shall conform to the standards set forth below. The allotments are listed from north to south.</p> <p>a. <u>Allotment #430-03, Int'l Shellfish Enterprises.</u> There are two major concerns with this allotment: the presence of eelgrass beds and the close proximity of the allotment to the Walker Creek delta. To protect the eelgrass, minimize interference with freshwater outflows from Walker Creek, and reduce possible impacts on spawning fish in the creek, mariculture structures shall be located out of eelgrass beds and set back from the delta. Mariculture operations shall allow boating access to and along the shore at high tide.</p> <p>b. <u>Allotment #430-04, Int'l Shellfish Enterprises.</u> The presence of eelgrass beds is the major concern with this allotment. To minimize damage to this resource, structures shall be sited out of eelgrass beds. Boating access to the shoreline at high tide shall be maintained.</p>	<p>n/a</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture

- c. Allotment #430-01, Jensen Oyster Company. Development on this allotment shall be sited out of eelgrass beds, set back from the Walker Creek delta, and designed to minimize visual impacts on adjacent areas which are visible from Highway 1 and Miller Park. When the allotment terminates in 1980, the siting and development of re-allotted acreage shall take these concerns into account. New development on the upland parcel, AP #104-110-08, shall allow for the continuation of mariculture operations.
- d. Allotment #430-08, Parcel 2, International Shellfish Enterprises. This allotment is located offshore from state parklands, thus mariculture development should be coordinated with that of the park. Structures shall not interfere with boating access to or with lateral access along the shoreline. Visual impacts from development shall be minimized and structures shall be sited out of eelgrass beds.
- e. Allotment #430-07, Parcel 2, WHD Enterprises. This allotment is located in a relatively busy area of Tomales Bay. Mariculture development shall be sited and designed to minimize conflicts with commercial fishing activity at Marshall Boat Works and Tony's Seafood and with recreational boating at Marconi Cove Marina. The visual impacts of development on this highly visible site shall be minimized and interference with recreational clamming along the shore shall be avoided.
- f. Lease #430-06, Morgan Oyster Company. This lease is located adjacent to Marconi Cove Marina and as such appears to present potential conflicts with recreational boating and clamming there, especially if the marina is expanded. Mariculture structures shall be sited to minimize these potential conflicts. Relocation of the lease to the northwest or southwest should be considered, if it appears necessary, when the lease terminates in 1988.
- g. Allotment #430-09, Parcel 2, Dunn and Johnson. This allotment is located offshore from recreational clamming areas between Marconi Cove Marina and state parklands to the south. The major concerns with its development are visual impacts, and possible interference with recreational clamming and boating. Development shall be designed to minimize visual impacts and sited close to the shoreline to avoid recreational boat traffic.
- h. Allotment #430-05, American Shellfish Corporation. This allotment, which includes 20 acres of private water bottoms, is the largest in the Bay and wraps around state parklands on Tomasini and Millerton Points. Mariculture development should be incorporated into the interpretive facilities of the park and should proceed with attention to the needs and characteristics of the park. Public access along the shoreline and by boat at high tide shall be maintained at all points. If boat passages are proposed through structures, they shall be provided, at a minimum, on the north side

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture**

<p>of Tomasini Point and on the lee side of Tomasini and Millerton Points. Structures shall be sited and designed to minimize visual impacts. Materials used shall be compatible with the park setting.</p> <p>i. <u>Allotments #430-07, Parcel 1, WHD Enterprises, and #430-09, Parcel 1, Dunn and Johnson.</u> These allotments are located at the southern end of the Bay, out of the way of most other uses. Development shall proceed with attention to visual impacts and recreational boat traffic.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has not been carried forward since the mariculture operations are outside of the County’s jurisdiction. They are located on State land, subject to the Department of Fish and Game, and located in the permanent coastal permitting area of the Coastal Commission.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 5 p. 118</u> <u>New allotments and leases.</u> Based on Section 30411(c) of the Coastal Act, the County has taken the recommendations of the Department of Fish and Game as the starting point for evaluating new allotments and leases in Tomales Bay. The Department has recommended 82 acres of allotments in various locations around the Bay, to be granted in parcels of five acres each. After evaluating this proposal in light of Coastal Act policies, the County has concluded that 82 acres and five acre parcel sites would be appropriate for Tomales Bay. However, the location of this acreage needs adjustment in some cases. In addition, the structural development of allotments needs to be conditioned to ensure that it conforms to the policies of the Coastal Act.</p> <p>To meet these concerns, the following standards on location and development of new allotments and leases are proposed:</p> <p>a. <u>West side of Tomales Bay.</u></p> <p><u>Proposal:</u> The Department of Fish and Game has stated that a maximum of two acres of allotments would be sited between Teacher's Beach and the boundary of Point Reyes National Seashore to the north, between the -1.5 and -8 contour lines (MLW). Fish and Game gives these allotments very low priority because of heavy recreational use in the area and states that great need would have to be demonstrated before they would be considered.</p> <p><u>Description:</u> This area lies adjacent to Tomales Bay State Park. The park and offshore area are heavily used by boaters, clammers, swimmers, and hikers. The main boating channel in the Bay is located near the shore.</p>	n/a

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture

LCP Recommendations: Because of the heavy use of this area and the potential for conflicts between mariculture operations and other uses, the County does not regard the west shore as appropriate for mariculture and shall not grant coastal permits for such operations there. To maintain the opportunity for mariculture, the two acres shall be relocated to the east side of the Bay.

b. East side of Tomales Bay, Tom's Point to Miller Park.

Proposal: The Department of Fish and Game proposes twenty-five acres of allotments in five-acre parcels between the +1 foot tide level and the -12 foot contour line (MLW).

Description: This area lies out of the most actively used portions of Tomales Bay and would be appropriate for additional mariculture development (.431 acres of allotments already exist). The major concerns in this area are the presence of eelgrass beds, harbor seal haulouts on Hog Island, freshwater outflow and siltation at the mouth of Walker Creek, public clamming at Nick's Cove and Miller Park, recreational boating from Miller Park, and visual impacts on the Park and Highway 1.

LCP Recommendations: The twenty-five acres shall be sited out of eelgrass beds and set back from the Walker Creek delta. Allotments shall abut existing allotments where possible and shall avoid open water used by boat traffic. Setbacks of 150 yards minimum shall be maintained from identified seal haulout areas and from Nick's Cove and Miller Park. Visual impacts from development on the cove and park shall be minimized.

c. East side of Tomales Bay, Miller Park to Cypress Grove.

Proposal: The Department of Fish and Game recommends thirty acres of allotments in five-acre parcels between the -1.5 and -12 foot contour lines (MLW).

Description: This area of the Bay has 18 acres of existing allotments and appears to represent one of the areas with the greatest potential for mariculture expansion. However, it is quite exposed and somewhat problematical from an industry standpoint. The major concerns include the presence of eelgrass beds near the shore, commercial fishing, numerous recreational clamming sites, public parkland, and visual impacts on public parks, viewing areas, and Highway 1.

LCP Recommendations: Mariculture structures shall be sited out of

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Mariculture

eelgrass beds, allow boating access to the shoreline, and be set back a minimum of 150 yards from Miller Park and North Shore Boats. Existing lateral access shall be maintained on public parkland near Cypress Grove and on private lands to the north and south. Allotments shall be sited close in towards the shore to minimize conflicts with commercial fishing and shall be designed to minimize visual impacts on public viewing areas and Highway 1.

d. East side of Tomales Bay, Marshall to the southern end of the Bay.

Proposal: The Department of Fish and Game proposes twenty-five acres of allotments in five-acre parcels between the -1.5 and -8 foot bottom contours (MLW). The allotments would be sited close to existing allotments.

Description: This area of Tomales Bay includes 370 acres of existing allotments and leases, most of which have not yet been developed. There are numerous other uses in this relatively busy area, particularly between Marshall and Tomasini Point, including recreational clamming and boating, commercial fishing, a marina, boat works, and state park. New allotments in this area have the potential to conflict with these uses unless carefully sited.

LCP Recommendations: Mariculture structures shall be set back a minimum of 150 yards from Marshall Tavern, Marshall Boat Works, Tony's Seafood, and Marconi Cove Marina. Structures shall allow boating access to the shoreline at high tide, shall not interfere with lateral access, and shall be designed to minimize visual impacts. Allotments placed to the south of Marconi Cove Marina shall abut existing allotments and be located out of recreational boating lanes.

Policy Status

This policy has not been carried forward since the mariculture operations are outside of the County's jurisdiction. They are located on State land, subject to the Department of Fish and Game, and located in the permanent coastal permitting area of the Coastal Commission.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating**

Unit II Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 122</u> <u>General policy.</u> The use of Tomales Bay for commercial fishing and recreational boating shall be supported and protected. Facilities on the shoreline of the Bay which support such uses shall be protected and, where feasible, upgraded. The County particularly encourages continued commercial fishing in Tomales Bay.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-PK-15 Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating.</p>	<p>C-PK-15 Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating. Support and protect commercial fishing and recreational boating on Tomales Bay. Protect and, where feasible, upgrade facilities on the shoreline of the Bay which support such uses. Design and locate proposed recreational boating facilities, where feasible, so as not to interfere with the needs of the commercial fishing industry. (PC app. 10/26/09) <i>[Unit II Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating Policy 1, pg. 122 and Coastal Act Section 30234]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 122</u> General policy. <u>C-PK-15 Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating.</u> The use of Tomales Bay for commercial fishing and recreational boating shall be sSupported and protected. <u>commercial fishing and recreational boating on Tomales Bay.</u> Facilities on the shoreline of the Bay which support such uses shall be pProtected and, where feasible, upgraded. <u>facilities on the shoreline of the Bay which support such uses. Design and locate proposed recreational boating facilities, where feasible, so as not to interfere with the needs of the</u> The County particularly encourages continued commercial fishing industry in Tomales Bay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Coastal Act Section 30234</u> C-PK-15 Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating facilities. <u>Support and protect commercial fishing and recreational boating industries shall be protected on Tomales Bay. and,</u> where feasible, upgraded <u>facilities on the shoreline of the Bay which support such uses.</u> Existing commercial fishing and recreational boating harbor space shall not be reduced unless the demand for those facilities no longer exists or adequate substitute space has been provided. Proposed recreational boating facilities shall, where feasible, be designed and located in such a fashion as not to interfere with the needs of the commercial fishing industry. <u>Design and locate proposed recreational boating facilities, where feasible, so as not to interfere with the needs of the commercial fishing industry.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 122</u> <u>Development standards.</u> Development of new boating facilities on the shoreline shall conform to the following standards:</p>	<p>C-PK-16 Standards for New Boating Facilities. Apply the following standards to the development of new boating facilities on the Tomales Bay shoreline:</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating

- a. New marinas or boat works shall generally be located within or adjacent to existing facilities and where adequate public services (parking, sewage disposal, etc.) exist. New boating facilities in undeveloped areas shall be limited to small-scale facilities such as launching ramps. Adequate waste pump-out facilities shall be provided.
- b. New or expanded boat works or marinas shall be directed to deeper water areas with good tidal flushing in order to minimize the need for dredging and the risk of water pollution and stagnation. In general, the southern end of Tomales Bay is inappropriate for marina development because it is shallow and poorly flushed by tides.
- c. In the allocation of berthing spaces in new or expanded marina between commercial fishing and recreational boats, adequate space shall be provided for commercial fishing boats to ensure protection of this coastal-dependent industry.
- d. The design of marina facilities shall incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline and shall minimize alteration of the natural shoreline, in conformance with LCP policies on public access and wetlands protection.
- e. Houseboat living on Tomales Bay is not an appropriate use of the Bay's waters.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to **C-PK-16** Standards for New Boating Facilities.

1. Co-locate new marinas or boat works within or adjacent to existing facilities and where adequate public services, such as parking and sewage disposal, exist. Where co-location is not feasible, limit new boating facilities in undeveloped areas to small scale facilities such as launching ramps. In addition, adequate waste pump-out facilities shall be provided.
2. Direct new or expanded marinas to deeper water areas with good tidal flushing in order to minimize the need for dredging and the risk of water pollution and stagnation.
3. Provide adequate berthing space for commercial fishing boats in new or expanded marina to ensure protection of this coastal dependent industry.
4. Incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline in the design of marina facilities, and minimize alteration of the natural shoreline in conformance with LCP policies on public access and wetlands protection.
5. Prohibit "live aboards" and houseboats on Tomales Bay.

(PC app. 10/26/09)

[Unit II Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating Policy 2a - e, pg. 122]

Unit II Policy 2 p. 122

~~Development standards.~~ **C-PK-16 Standards for New Boating Facilities.**

Apply the following standards to the development of new boating facilities on the Tomales Bay shoreline. ~~shall conform to the following standards:~~

- 1.a. ~~Co-locate n~~ New marinas or boat works shall generally be located within or adjacent to existing facilities and where adequate public services, such as (parking, and sewage disposal, etc.) exist. Where co-location is not feasible, limit n ~~New boating facilities in undeveloped areas shall be limited to small scale facilities such as launching ramps. In addition, a~~ Adequate waste pump-out facilities shall be provided.
- 2.b. ~~Direct N~~ new or expanded boat works or marinas shall be directed to deeper water areas with good tidal flushing in order to minimize the need for dredging and the risk of water pollution and stagnation. In general, the southern end of Tomales Bay is inappropriate for marina development because it is shallow and poorly flushed by tides.
- 3.c. ~~In the allocation of~~ Provide adequate berthing spaces for commercial fishing boats in a new or expanded marinas between commercial fishing and recreational boats, adequate space shall be provided for

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Commercial Fishing and Recreational Boating

~~commercial fishing boats~~ to ensure protection of this coastal dependent industry.

~~4.d. The design of marina facilities shall~~ Incorporate provisions for public access to and along the shoreline in the design of marina facilities, and ~~shall~~ minimize alteration of the natural shoreline in conformance with LCP policies on public access and wetlands protection.

~~5.e. Prohibit "live aboards" and Hhouseboats living on Tomales Bay is not an appropriate use of the Bay's waters.~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Trust Lands**

Unit II Public Trust Lands	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 129</u> <u>Notification of public trust interest.</u> The Coastal Commission retains original permit jurisdiction over public trust lands. Applicants should examine the maps delineating the area of original jurisdiction to determine whether they should apply to the County or Coastal Commission. Specific questions should be referred to the State Office, Mapping Section. Applicants whose land is seaward of the line of Coastal Commission original jurisdiction shall apply to the Coastal Commission for coastal development permits. Before issuing a coastal permit, the Commission will refer the application to the State Lands Commission for a determination whether a State Lands Commission permit or lease is required for the proposed development and whether the State Lands Commission finds it appropriate to exercise the easement over that property. Applicants whose land is landward of that line shall apply to Marin County for coastal permits. County designation of land use on public trust lands is advisory, since the Commission retains original permit jurisdiction over such areas.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The language in this policy has been incorporated into the permit review process and, therefore, has not been carried forward.</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 129</u> Reconstruction of existing structures. Existing structures on public trust lands along the shoreline of Tomales Bay may continue and shall be permitted to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, in conformance with the development standards specified in Section 30610(g) of the Coastal act, applicable LCP policies and County code requirements.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands.</p>	<p>C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands. Allow existing structures on public trust lands along the shoreline of Tomales Bay to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, in conformance with the development standards specified in Section 30610(g) of the Coastal Act and other County policies. The construction of new residential dwellings on public trust lands is not considered an appropriate use and is not allowed. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Unit II Public Trust Lands Policy 2 and 3, p. 129]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 2 p. 129</u> <u>Reconstruction of existing structures. C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands. Allow Existing structures on public trust lands along the shoreline of Tomales Bay may continue and shall be permitted to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, in conformance with the development standards specified in Section 30610(g) of the Coastal Act, applicable LCP policies and County code requirements. and other County policies. The construction of new residential dwellings on public trust lands is not considered an appropriate use and is not allowed.</u></p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Trust Lands

<p>Policy 3 p. 129 <u>New residential construction.</u> The construction of new single-family dwellings on public trust lands is not considered an appropriate use of such lands by the County of Marin.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands.</p>	<p>C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands. Allow existing structures on public trust lands along the shoreline of Tomales Bay to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, in conformance with the development standards specified in Section 30610(g) of the Coastal Act and other County policies. The construction of new residential dwellings on public trust lands is not considered an appropriate use and is not allowed. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Unit II Public Trust Lands Policy 2 and 3, p. 129]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3 p. 129</u> <u>C-CD-7 Structures on Public Trust Lands.</u> Allow existing structures on public trust lands along the shoreline of Tomales Bay to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, in conformance with the development standards specified in Section 30610(g) of the Coastal Act and other County policies. The construction of new single family residential dwellings on public trust lands is not considered an appropriate use of such lands by the County of Marin and is not allowed.</p>
--	---

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures**

Unit II Shoreline Structures	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 132</u> <u>General policy.</u> The County discourages the proliferation of shoreline structures in the Unit II coastal zone due to their visual impacts, obstruction of public access, interference with natural shoreline processes and water circulation, and effects on marine habitats and water quality. In some cases, however, the County recognizes that the construction of protective works or piers may be necessary or desirable. When piers are allowed, multiple public and private, commercial and recreational uses shall be accommodated, if feasible, to maximize the use of these structures and minimize the need for further construction. Coastal permits for all shoreline structures will be evaluated based on the criteria listed in the policies below.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-EH-13 Shoreline Protective Devices.</p>	<p>C-EH-13 Shoreline Protective Devices. Discourage shoreline protective devices (i.e., shoreline armoring) in the Coastal Zone due to their visual impacts, obstruction of public access, interference with natural shoreline processes and water circulation, and effects on marine habitats and water quality.</p> <p>Allow the construction or reconstruction of a shoreline protective device, including revetments, breakwaters, groins, seawalls, or other artificial structures for coastal erosion control, only if each of the following criteria is met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The shoreline protective device is required to serve a coastal-dependent use or to protect a principal structure, residence, or second residential unit in existence prior to the adoption of the Local Coastal Program (May 13, 1982) or a public beach in danger from erosion. 2. No other non-structural alternative, such as sand replenishment or beach nourishment, is practical or preferable. 3. The condition causing the problem is site specific and not attributable to a general erosion trend, or the project reduces the need for a number of individual projects and solves a regional erosion problem. 4. It can be shown that a shoreline protective device will successfully eliminate or mitigate its effects on local shoreline sand supply and that the device will not adversely affect adjacent or other sections of the shoreline. 5. The shoreline protective device will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area, and will not cause significant adverse impacts to fish or wildlife. 6. There will be no reduction in public access, use, or enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment, and construction of a shoreline protective device will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities. 7. The shoreline protective device will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built. <p>(PC app. 01/25/10)</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

[Adapted from Unit II Shoreline Structure Policies 1 and 2, p. 132]

Unit II Policy 1 p. 132

C-EH-13 Shoreline Protective Devices. General policy. The County discourages the proliferation of shoreline structures in the Unit II protective devices (i.e., shoreline armoring) in the Coastal Zone due to their visual impacts, obstruction of public access, interference with natural shoreline processes and water circulation, and effects on marine habitats and water quality. In some cases, however, the County recognizes that the construction of protective works or piers may be necessary or desirable. When piers are allowed, multiple public and private, commercial and recreational uses shall be accommodated, if feasible, to maximize the use of these structures and minimize the need for further construction. Coastal permits for all shoreline structures will be evaluated based on the criteria listed in the policies below.

Allow the construction or reconstruction of a shoreline protective device, including revetments, breakwaters, groins, seawalls, or other artificial structures for coastal erosion control, only if each of the following criteria is met:

1. The shoreline protective device is required to serve a coastal-dependent use or to protect a principal structure, residence, or second residential unit in existence prior to the adoption of the Local Coastal Program (May 13, 1982) or a public beach in danger from erosion.
2. No other non-structural alternative, such as sand replenishment or beach nourishment, is practical or preferable.
3. The condition causing the problem is site specific and not attributable to a general erosion trend, or the project reduces the need for a number of individual projects and solves a regional erosion problem.
4. It can be shown that a shoreline protective device will successfully eliminate or mitigate its effects on local shoreline sand supply and that the device will not adversely affect adjacent or other sections of the shoreline.
5. The shoreline protective device will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area, and will not cause significant adverse impacts to fish or wildlife.
6. There will be no reduction in public access, use, or enjoyment of the

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>natural shoreline environment, and construction of a shoreline protective device will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>7. The shoreline protective device will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 132</u> <u>Shoreline protective works.</u> The construction or reconstruction of revetments, breakwaters, groins, seawalls, or other artificial structures for coastal erosion control shall be allowed only if each of the following criteria is met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The structure is required to serve a coastal-dependent use, a coastal-related use in a developed area, or to protect existing development or public beaches. b. No other non-structural alternative is practical or preferable. c. The condition causing the problem is site specific and not attributable to a general erosion trend, or the project reduces the need for a number of individual projects and solves a regional erosion problem. d. It can be shown that a structure(s) will successfully mitigate the effects of shoreline erosion and will not adversely affect adjacent or other sections of the shoreline. e. The structure will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area, and will not cause significant adverse impacts to fish or wildlife. f. There will be no reduction in public access, use, and enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment, and construction of a structure will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities. g. The structure will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built. <p>Before approval is given for the construction or reconstruction of any protective shoreline structure, the applicant for the project shall submit a report from a registered geologist, professional civil engineer, or certified engineering geologist verifying that the structure is necessary for coastal erosion control and explaining how it will perform its intended function. Such a report shall not be required for emergency permit applications; however, the application shall specifically establish</p>	<p>C-EH-13 Shoreline Protective Devices. Discourage shoreline protective devices (i.e., shoreline armoring) in the Coastal Zone due to their visual impacts, obstruction of public access, interference with natural shoreline processes and water circulation, and effects on marine habitats and water quality.</p> <p>Allow the construction or reconstruction of a shoreline protective device, including revetments, breakwaters, groins, seawalls, or other artificial structures for coastal erosion control, only if each of the following criteria is met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The shoreline protective device is required to serve a coastal-dependent use, or to protect a principal structure, residence, or second residential unit in existence prior to the adoption of the Local Coastal Program (May 13, 1982) or a public beach in danger from erosion. 2. No other non-structural alternative, such as sand replenishment or beach nourishment, is practical or preferable. 3. The condition causing the problem is site specific and not attributable to a general erosion trend, or the project reduces the need for a number of individual projects and solves a regional erosion problem. 4. It can be shown that a shoreline protective device will successfully eliminate or mitigate its effects on local shoreline sand supply and that the device will not adversely affect adjacent or other sections of the shoreline. 5. The shoreline protective device will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area, and will not cause significant adverse impacts to fish or wildlife. 6. There will be no reduction in public access, use, or enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment, and construction of a shoreline protective device will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

why the need for protective structures was not foreseen.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to **C-EH-13** Shoreline Protective Devices and Program **C-EH-13.a** Require Proper Engineering for Shoreline Protective Devices.

7. The shoreline protective device will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.

(PC app. 01/25/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Shoreline Structure Policies 1 and 2, p. 132]

Program C-EH-13.a Require Proper Engineering for Shoreline Protective Devices. Amend the development code to require that before approval is given for the construction or reconstruction of any shoreline protective device, the applicant for the project must submit a report from a professional civil engineer or certified engineering geologist verifying that the device is necessary for coastal erosion control and explaining how it will perform its intended function. The report shall include an analysis of alternatives that are capable of protecting the existing structure from erosion including, but not limited to:

- a. No action;
- b. Involvement in regional beach nourishment, and/or
- c. Relocation of the threatened structure.

The report shall also include the following information:

1. For the shoreline in question: long-term and seasonal erosion trends, the potential effects of sea level rise, and the potential effects of infrequent storm events, such as a 100-year storm;
2. The amount of beach that will be covered by the shoreline protective device;
3. The amount of beach that will be lost through passive erosion over the life of the shoreline protective device;
4. Total lineal feet of shoreline protective devices within the littoral zone where the device is proposed;
5. The cumulative impact of added shoreline protective devices for the littoral cell within which the proposed device will be located; and
6. Provisions for future maintenance of the shoreline protective device, for future removal of the shoreline protective device if and when it reaches the end of its economic or functional life, and for changes in the shoreline protective device if needed to respond to alterations in the development for which the device was installed.]

(PC app. 05/26/09)

[Unit II Shoreline Structure Policy 2, p. 132]

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

Unit II Policy 2 p. 132

C-EH-13 Shoreline Protective Devices. Discourage shoreline protective devices (i.e., shoreline armoring) in the Coastal Zone due to their visual impacts, obstruction of public access, interference with natural shoreline processes and water circulation, and effects on marine habitats and water quality.

~~Shoreline protective works. Allow t~~The construction or reconstruction of a shoreline protective device, including revetments, breakwaters, groins, seawalls, or other artificial structures for coastal erosion control, ~~shall be allowed~~ only if each of the following criteria is met:

1. The ~~structure~~ shoreline protective device is required to serve a coastal-dependent use ~~in a developed area~~, or to protect ~~existing development~~ a principal structure, residence, or second residential unit in existence prior to the adoption of the Local Coastal Program (May 13, 1982) or a public beach in danger from erosion.
2. No other non-structural alternative, such as sand replenishment or beach nourishment, is practical or preferable.
3. The condition causing the problem is site specific and not attributable to a general erosion trend, or the project reduces the need for a number of individual projects and solves a regional erosion problem.
4. It can be shown that a ~~structure(s)~~ shoreline protective device will successfully eliminate or mitigate ~~the~~ its effects of on local shoreline erosion sand supply and that the device will not ~~cause~~ adversely affect adjacent or other sections of the shoreline.
5. The ~~structure~~ shoreline protective device will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area, and will not cause significant adverse impacts to fish or wildlife.
6. There will be no reduction in public access, use, or enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment, and construction of a ~~structure~~ shoreline protective device will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.
7. The shoreline protective device will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

Program C-EH-13.a Require Proper Engineering for Shoreline Protective Devices. Amend the development code to require that ~~B~~before approval is given for the construction or reconstruction of any shoreline protective ~~structure~~ device, the applicant for the project must submit a report from a ~~registered geologist~~, professional civil engineer, or certified engineering geologist verifying that the ~~structure~~ device is necessary for coastal erosion control and explaining how it will perform its intended function.

~~Such a~~The report shall ~~not be required for emergency permit applications; however, the application shall specifically establish why the need for protective structures was not foreseen.~~include an analysis of alternatives that are capable of protecting the existing structure from erosion including, but not limited to:

- a. No action;
- b. Involvement in regional beach nourishment, and/or
- c. Relocation of the threatened structure.

The report shall also include the following information:

- 1. For the shoreline in question: long-term and seasonal erosion trends, the potential effects of sea level rise, and the potential effects of infrequent storm events, such as a 100-year storm;
- 2. The amount of beach that will be covered by the shoreline protective device;
- 3. The amount of beach that will be lost through passive erosion over the life of the shoreline protective device;
- 4. Total lineal feet of shoreline protective devices within the littoral zone where the device is proposed;
- 5. The cumulative impact of added shoreline protective devices for the littoral cell within which the proposed device will be located; and
- 6. Provisions for future maintenance of the shoreline protective device, for future removal of the shoreline protective device if and when it reaches the end of its economic or functional life, and for changes in the shoreline protective device if needed to respond to alterations in the development for which the device was installed.]

Policy 3 p. 133

Piers and similar recreational or commercial structures. These structures shall be limited to sites located within existing developed areas or parks. New piers shall be permitted only if each of the following criteria is met:

C-CD-8 Shoreline Structures and Piers. Limit the location of piers and other recreational or commercial structures to sites located within existing developed areas or parks. New piers shall be permitted only if all of the following criteria are met:

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures**

- a. The structure will be used to serve a coastal-dependent use or will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.
- b. The structure will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area and will not, individually or cumulatively, cause significant adverse impacts on fish or wildlife.
- c. The structure will not interfere with public access, use, and enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment.
- d. The structure will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.
- e. There is no pier with public access within 1/2 mile, or use of a nearby pier would not be feasible due to its size, location, or configuration.

The reconstruction of existing piers shall be permitted provided that the pier is of the same size and in the same location as the original pier. Enlargements or changes in design or location shall be evaluated based on criteria (a) through (e) above.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to **C-CD-8** Shoreline Structures and Piers.

1. The structure will be used to serve a coastal-dependent use or will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.
2. The structure will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area and will not, individually or cumulatively, cause significant adverse impacts on fish or wildlife.
3. The structure will not interfere with public access, use, and enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment.
4. The structure will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.
5. There is no pier with public access within 1/2 mile, or use of a nearby pier would not be feasible due to its size, location, or configuration. Allow reconstruction and maintenance of existing piers provided that the pier is of the same size and in the same location as the original pier. Enlargements or changes in design or location shall be evaluated based on criteria stated above.

[Unit II Shoreline Structures Policy 3, p. 132]

Unit II Policy 3 p. 133

C-CD-8 Shoreline Structures and Piers. ~~Piers and similar recreational or commercial structures. These structures shall be limited to sites located within existing developed areas or parks.~~ Piers and other recreational or commercial structures to sites located within existing developed areas or parks. New piers shall be permitted only if all of the following criteria are met:

- a.—1. The structure will be used to serve a coastal-dependent use or will preserve or provide access to related public recreational lands or facilities.
- b.—2. The structure will not be located in wetlands or other significant resource or habitat area and will not, individually or cumulatively, cause significant adverse impacts on fish or wildlife.
- e.—3. The structure will not interfere with public access, use, and enjoyment of the natural shoreline environment.
- d.—4. The structure will not restrict navigation, mariculture, or other coastal use and will not create a hazard in the area in which it is built.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures**

	<p>e.—5. There is no pier with public access within ½ mile, or use of a nearby pier would not be feasible due to its size, location, or configuration. Allow The reconstruction and maintenance of existing piers shall be permitted provided that the pier is of the same size and in the same location as the original pier. Enlargements or changes in design or location shall be evaluated based on criteria (a) through (e) <u>stated</u> above.</p>
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 133</u> <u>Public access requirement.</u> Public access to new piers or similar recreational or commercial structures shall be required unless it can be demonstrated that such access would interfere with commercial fishing or similar operations on the pier or be hazardous to public safety. A public access easement from the first public road across the applicant's property to the pier shall be required as a condition of coastal permit approval.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-CD-9 Access to Shoreline Structures.</p>	<p>C-CD-9 Access to Shoreline Structures. Require public access to new piers or similar recreational or commercial structures unless it can be demonstrated that such access would interfere with commercial fishing or similar operations on the pier or be hazardous to public safety. A public access easement from the first public road across the applicant’s property to the pier shall be required. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Unit II Shoreline Structures Policy 4, p. 132]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 4 p. 133</u> C-CD-9 Access to Shoreline Structures. Public access requirement. Require public access to new piers or similar recreational or commercial structures shall be required unless it can be demonstrated that such access would interfere with commercial fishing or similar operations on the pier or be hazardous to public safety. A public access easement from the first public road across the applicant’s property to the pier shall be required as a condition of coastal permit approval.</p>
<p><u>Policy 5 p. 133</u> <u>Design standards for all shoreline structures.</u> The design and construction of any shoreline structure shall:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Make it as visually unobtrusive as possible; b. Respect natural landforms to the greatest degree possible; c. Include mitigation measures to offset any impacts on fish and wildlife resources caused by the project; d. Minimize the impairment and movement of sand supply and the circulation of coastal waters; and e. Address the geologic hazards presented by construction in or near Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazard zones. <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-EH-14 Design Standards for the Construction of Shoreline Protective Devices.</p>	<p>C-EH-14 Design Standards for the Construction of Shoreline Protective Devices. Ensure that the design and construction of any shoreline protective device shall:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make it as visually unobtrusive as possible; 2. Respect natural landforms to the greatest degree possible; 3. Include mitigation measures to offset any impacts on fish and wildlife resources caused by the project; 4. Minimize the impairment and interference with the natural movement of sand supply and the circulation of coastal waters; and 5. Address the geologic hazards presented by construction in or near Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazard zones. 6. Minimize the displacement of beach. <p>(PC app. 05/26/09) <i>[Unit II Shoreline Structures Policy 5, p. 133]</i></p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Shoreline Structures

Unit II Policy 5 p. 133

C-EH-14 Design Standards for the Construction of Shoreline Protective Devices. ~~Design standards for all shoreline structures. Ensure that T~~the design and construction of any shoreline ~~structure~~ protective device shall:

- a. ~~1.~~ 1. Make it as visually unobtrusive as possible;
- b. ~~2.~~ 2. Respect natural landforms to the greatest degree possible;

- e. ~~3.~~ 3. Include mitigation measures to offset any impacts on fish and wildlife resources caused by the project;
- d. ~~4.~~ 4. Minimize the impairment and interference with the natural movement of sand supply and the circulation of coastal waters; and
- e. ~~5.~~ 5. Address the geologic hazards presented by construction in or near Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazard zones.
- f. ~~6.~~ 6. Minimize the displacement of beach.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Diking, Filling, and Dredging**

Unit II Diking, Filling, and Dredging	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 136</u> <u>General policy.</u> Diking, filling, and dredging of coastal areas can have significant adverse impacts on water quality, marine habitats and organisms, and scenic features. The County of Marin intends to strictly limit the purposes for which these potentially damaging activities can occur in the coastal zone, in accordance with Section 30233 of the Coastal Act. For the purposes of the LCP, open coastal waters, wetlands, and other water bodies to which these policies apply shall be defined according to the criteria established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for marine and estuarine systems. "Fill" shall be defined as "... earth or any other substance or material, including pilings placed for the purpose of erecting structures thereon, placed in a submerged area," as given in Section 30108.2 of the Coastal Act.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-BIO-15 Diking, Filling, Draining and Dredging.</p>	<p>C-BIO-15 Diking, Filling, Draining and Dredging. Diking, filling, draining and dredging of coastal areas can have significant adverse impacts on water quality, marine habitats and organisms, and scenic features. Limit strictly the purposes for which these potentially damaging activities can occur in the Coastal Zone, in accordance with Section 30233 of the Coastal Act. For the purpose of the LCP, define open coastal waters, wetlands and other water bodies to which these policies apply according to the criteria established by the California Coastal Commission for marine and estuarine systems. (PC app. 06/28/10) <i>[LCP II Diking, Filling and Dredging General policy 1, page 136]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 136</u> <u>C-BIO-15 Diking, Filling, Draining and Dredging.</u> General policy. Diking, filling, draining and dredging of coastal areas can have significant adverse impacts on water quality, marine habitats and organisms, and scenic features. The County of Marin intends to strictly limit <u>Limit strictly</u> the purposes for which these potentially damaging activities can occur in the e<u>Coastal z</u>Zone, in accordance with Section 30233 of the Coastal Act. For the purposes of the LCP, <u>define</u> open coastal waters, wetlands and other water bodies to which these policies apply shall be defined according to the criteria established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for marine and estuarine systems. "Fill" shall be defined as "...earth or any other substance or material, including pilings placed for the purpose of erecting structures thereon, placed in a submerged area," as given in Section 30108.2 of the Coastal Act <u>California Coastal Commission for marine and estuarine systems.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 136</u> Acceptable purposes. The diking, filling, and dredging of open coastal waters, wetlands, and estuaries shall be limited to the following purposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. New or expanded commercial fishing facilities. b. Maintaining existing, or restoring previously dredged, depths in existing navigational channels, turning basins, vessel berthing and mooring areas, and boat launching ramps. c. Incidental public service purposes, including, but not limited to, burying cables 	<p>C-BIO-16 Acceptable Purposes for Diking, Filling, and Dredging. Limit the diking, filling, and dredging of open coastal waters, wetlands, and estuaries to the following purposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. New or expanded commercial fishing facilities. 2. Maintaining existing, or restoring previously dredged, depths in existing navigational channels, turning basins, vessel berthing and mooring areas, and boat launching ramps. 3. Incidental public service purposes, including, but not limited to, burying cables and pipes or inspection of piers and maintenance of existing intake

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Diking, Filling, and Dredging

and pipes or inspection of piers and maintenance of existing intake and outfall lines.

- d. Mineral extraction, including sand for restoring beaches, except in environmentally sensitive areas.
- e. Restoration purposes.
- f. Nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activities.
- g. Excluding wetlands, new or expanded boating facilities may be permitted. Only entrance channels or connecting walkways for new or expanded boating facilities shall be permitted in wetlands.
- h. In the Esteros Americano and de San Antonio, any alterations shall be limited to those for the purposes of nature study, restoration, or very minor incidental public facilities.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to **C-BIO-16** Acceptable Purposes for Diking, Filling, and Dredging.

and outfall lines.

- 4. Mineral extraction, including sand for restoring beaches, except in environmentally sensitive habitat areas.
- 5. Restoration purposes.
- 6. Nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activities.
- 7. Excluding wetlands, new or expanded boating facilities and the placement of structural pilings for public recreation piers that provide public access and recreational opportunities may be permitted. Only entrance channels or connecting walkways for new or expanded boating facilities shall be permitted in wetlands.]
- 8. In the Esteros Americano and de San Antonio, limit any alterations to those for the purposes of nature study and restoration.
[LCP II Diking, Filling and Dredging Acceptable Purposes policy 2, page 136]

Unit II Policy 2 p. 136

C-BIO-16 Acceptable Purposes for Diking, Filling, and Dredging.

~~Acceptable purposes. Limit t~~The diking, filling, and dredging of open coastal waters, wetlands, and estuaries to the following purposes:

- ~~a.~~—1. New or expanded commercial fishing facilities.
- ~~b.~~—2. Maintaining existing, or restoring previously dredged, depths in existing navigational channels, turning basins, vessel berthing and mooring areas, and boat launching ramps.
- ~~c.~~—3. Incidental public service purposes, including, but not limited to, burying cables and pipes or inspection of piers and maintenance of existing intake and outfall lines.
- ~~d.~~—4. Mineral extraction, including sand for restoring beaches, except in environmentally sensitive habitat areas.
- ~~e.~~—5. Restoration purposes.
- ~~f.~~—6. Nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activities.
- ~~g.~~—7. Excluding wetlands, new or expanded boating facilities and the placement of structural pilings for public recreation piers that provide

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Diking, Filling, and Dredging

	<p>public access and recreational opportunities may be permitted. Only entrance channels or connecting walkways for new or expanded boating facilities shall be permitted in wetlands.]</p> <p>h.—8. In the Esteros Americano and de San Antonio, <u>limit</u> any alterations shall be limited to those for the purposes of nature study, <u>and</u> restoration, or very minor incidental public facilities.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3 p. 137</u> <u>Conditions and standards.</u> Diking, filling, or dredging may be permitted for the purposes specified above, provided that the following conditions and standards are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. There is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative. b. Where feasible, mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects. c. The activities are planned, scheduled, and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats, fish and bird breeding and migrations, and water circulation. d. The need for both initial and maintenance dredging shall be minimized by careful design and location of facilities with respect to existing water depths, water circulation, siltation patterns, and by efforts to reduce controllable sedimentation. e. In estuaries and wetlands, the diking, filling, or dredging shall maintain or enhance the functional capacity of the wetland or estuary. f. Dike and fill projects in wetlands shall include mitigation measures specified in Section 30607.1 of the Coastal Act. <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-BIO-17 Conditions and Standards for Diking, Filling, Draining, and Dredging.</p>	<p>C-BIO-17 Conditions and Standards for Diking, Filling, Draining, and Dredging. Diking, filling, draining or dredging may be permitted for the purposes specified in policy C-BIO-16 above, provided that the following conditions and standards are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative. 2. Mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects. 3. The activities are planned, scheduled, and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats, fish and bird breeding and migrations, and water circulation. 4. The need for both initial and maintenance dredging shall be minimized by careful design and location of facilities with respect to existing water depths, water circulation, siltation patterns, and by efforts to reduce controllable sedimentation. 5. In estuaries and wetlands, the diking, filling, or dredging shall maintain or enhance the functional capacity of the wetland or estuary. 6. Dike and fill projects in wetlands shall include mitigation measures specified in Policy C-BIO-21. <i>[LCP II Diking, Filling and Dredging Conditions and Standards policy 3, page 137]</i> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3 p. 137</u> <u>C-BIO-17 Conditions and Standards for Diking, Filling, Draining, and Dredging.</u> Conditions and standards. Diking, filling, draining or dredging may be permitted for the purposes specified <u>in policy C-BIO-16</u> above, provided that the following conditions and standards are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a.—1. There is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative. b.—2. Mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Diking, Filling, and Dredging

	<p>environmental effects.</p> <p>e.—3. The activities are planned, scheduled, and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats, fish and bird breeding and migrations, and water circulation.</p> <p>d.—4. The need for both initial and maintenance dredging shall be minimized by careful design and location of facilities with respect to existing water depths, water circulation, siltation patterns, and by efforts to reduce controllable sedimentation.</p> <p>e.—5. In estuaries and wetlands, the diking, filling, or dredging shall maintain or enhance the functional capacity of the wetland or estuary.</p> <p>f.—6. Dike and fill projects in wetlands shall include mitigation measures specified in Section 30607.1 of the Coastal Act. <u>Policy C-BIO-21.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 137</u> <u>Spoils disposal.</u> The disposal of dredged sediments shall conform to the following standards:</p> <p>a. The dredge spoils disposal site has been approved by the Department of Fish and Game.</p> <p>b. Spoils disposal shall be planned and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats and water circulation.</p> <p>c. Dredge spoils suitable for beach replenishment should be transported for such purposes to appropriate beaches or into suitable longshore current systems.</p> <p>d. The disposal of dredge spoils shall conform to the most recently approved dredging requirements promulgated or adopted by the State or Regional Water Quality Control Board.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-BIO-18 Spoils Disposal.</p>	<p>C-BIO-18 Spoils Disposal. Require the disposal of dredged sediments to conform to the following standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The dredge spoils disposal site has been approved by the Department of Fish and Game. 2. Spoils disposal shall be planned and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats and water circulation. 3. Dredge spoils suitable for beach replenishment should be transported for such purposes to appropriate beaches or into suitable longshore current systems. 4. The disposal of dredge spoils shall conform to the most recently approved dredging requirements promulgated or adopted by the State or Regional Water Quality Control Board. (PC app. 06/28/10) <p><i>[LCP II Diking, Filling and Dredging Spoils Disposal policy 4, page 137]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 4 p. 137</u> <u>C-BIO-18 Spoils Disposal.</u> Require Tthe disposal of dredged sediments to conform to the following standards:</p> <p>a.—1. The dredge spoils disposal site has been approved by the Department of Fish and Game.</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Diking, Filling, and Dredging

- | | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">b.—2. Spoils disposal shall be planned and carried out to avoid significant disruption to marine and wildlife habitats and water circulation.e.—3. Dredge spoils suitable for beach replenishment should be transported for such purposes to appropriate beaches or into suitable longshore current systems.d.—4. The disposal of dredge spoils shall conform to the most recently approved dredging requirements promulgated or adopted by the State or Regional Water Quality Control Board. |
|--|---|

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

Unit II Public Services	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 187</u> <u>General policy.</u> Prior to the issuance of a coastal development permit, the County shall make the finding, based on information provided by environmental documents, staff analysis, and the applicant, that adequate public services and resources (i.e. water supply, sewage disposal, and road access and capacity) are available to serve the proposed development. Lack of available services or resources shall be grounds for denial of the project or for a reduction in the density otherwise indicated in the land use plan.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-PFS-1 Adequate Services.</p>	<p>C-PFS-1 Adequate Services. Ensure that adequate services e.g., water supply, sewage disposal, and transportation, including public transit as well as road access and capacity if appropriate) are available prior to approving new development, including land divisions. Lack of available services shall be grounds for denial of the project or for a reduction in the density otherwise indicated in the land use plan. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Services Policy 1, p. 187 and CWP Goal PFS-1, p. 3-198]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 187</u> <u>C-PFS-1 Adequate Services.</u> General policy. Prior to the issuance of a coastal development permit, the County shall make the finding, based on information provided by environmental documents, staff analysis, and the applicant, <u>Ensure that adequate public services and resources (i.e. e.g., water supply, sewage disposal, and transportation, including public transit as well as road access and capacity if appropriate) are available prior to serve the proposed approving new development, including land divisions.</u> Lack of available services or resources shall be grounds for denial of the project or for a reduction in the density otherwise indicated in the land use plan.</p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 187</u> Water supply.</p> <p>a. <u>Type of service.</u> Except as provided herein, new development, including land divisions, outside the service area of a community or mutual water system may utilize individual wells or other private on-site water sources. Within the Inverness Planning Area, individual wells should not be allowed on parcels less than 2.8 acres in size. Exceptions to the 2.8 acre lot size limitation may be granted pursuant to the issuance of a Coastal Permit. In addition to the findings of Chapters 22.56 and 22.86, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Health Officer that a well can be developed on the substandard size parcel in a completely safe and sanitary manner. Within the service area of a community or mutual water system, the use of individual domestic water wells for new development shall be permitted provided: a) the community or mutual water system is unable or unwilling to provide service; or, b) the physical distribution improvements are economically or physically infeasible to</p>	<p>C-PFS-13 New Water Sources Serving Five or More Parcels. Require that coastal permit applications for new water wells or other sources serving 5 or more parcels shall demonstrate by professional engineering or other studies, including as necessary, long-term monitoring programs, in-stream flow studies, or hydrologic studies, that such groundwater or stream withdrawals will not have adverse direct or cumulative impacts on coastal resources, including groundwater basins, aquifers, and streams. Such studies shall provide the basis for establishing safe sustained yields from these sources. Wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit I Public Services Policy 4, p. 48, and Unit II Public Services Policies 2.a and 2.e (3), pp. 187-189]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Policy 2 (a) p. 187</u> Water supply.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

extend to the proposed project site. Additionally, wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties. Within the Inverness Public Utility District (IPUD), individual wells for domestic use should not be permitted in the same watershed, at an elevation higher than the IPUD surface water sources existing as of June 14, 1983. All new development shall be required to incorporate low flow water fixtures and other water-saving devices.

- b. Point Reyes Station area. Water for the Point Reyes Water System, including Point Reyes Station, Olema, Inverness Park, and Paradise Ranch Estates, is provided by North Marin County Water District (NMCWD). The water system is presently adequate to serve a total of 755 residential units in the service area, 354 more than now exist, with generous provisions for current demand and growth in recreational, commercial, agricultural, and governmental uses. The system is not, however, presently capable of supplying the 1355 units possible at maximum buildout. To ensure that adequate water will be available for this development and that visitor-serving and other priority coastal uses will be supplied, the County shall notify NMCWD after 300 additional units have been built in the service area so that water system expansions may be planned. After 354 more units have been built or 755 total, the County shall cease issuing residential building permits unless NMCWD certifies that capacity is available.
- c. Northern Inverness Ridge. Inverness and Seahaven receive water from the Inverness Public Utilities District (IPUD). The Inverness water system has marginal water supplies in dry years and major inadequacies in treatment and distribution facilities. IPUD is in the process of making improvements in its built system, anticipated for completion in 1981, to bring it up to public health standards. No expansion of existing water sources is planned at the present time.

Experience with the water system and available streamflow data indicate that additional development could not reliably be served from IPUD's existing sources. During the 1976-77 drought, water supplies were considerably below the minimum level of consumption, for the system. Although drought year conditions were extreme, the magnitude of the deficiency created indicates that other less dry years will also cause a water shortage, especially if additional units are constructed in the service area. Increasing drought year flows by 25% to account for their infrequency only brings source flows up to a level which is sufficient for current consumption.

- a. ~~Type of service. Except as provided herein, new development, including land divisions, outside the service area of a community or mutual water system may utilize individual wells or other private on-site water sources. Within the Inverness Planning Area, individual wells should not be allowed on parcels less than 2.8 acres in size. Exceptions to the 2.8 acre lot size limitation may be granted pursuant to the issuance of a Coastal Permit. In addition to the findings of Chapters 22.56 and 22.86, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Health Officer that a well can be developed on the substandard size parcel in a completely safe and sanitary manner. Within the service area of a community or mutual water system, the use of individual domestic water wells for new development shall be permitted provided: a) the community or mutual water system is unable or unwilling to provide service; or, b) the physical distribution improvements are economically or physically infeasible to extend to the proposed project site. Additionally, wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties. Within the Inverness Public Utility District (IPUD), individual wells for domestic use should not be permitted in the same watershed, at an elevation higher than the IPUD surface water sources existing as of June 14, 1983. All new development shall be required to incorporate low flow water fixtures and other water saving devices.~~

Unit II Policy 2 (e (3)) p. 187

- e. ~~Development standards for wells and other sources.~~
~~(3) Community sources. C-PFS-13 New Water Sources Serving Five or More Parcels. Require that coastal permit applications for new community or mutual water wells or other sources serving 5 or more parcels shall demonstrate by professional engineering or other studies, including as necessary, long-term monitoring programs, in-stream flow studies, or hydrologic studies, that such groundwater or stream withdrawals will not have adversely affect direct or cumulative impacts on coastal resources, including groundwater basins, aquifers, and streams. Such engineering studies shall provide the basis for establishing safe sustained yields from these sources. Wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties.~~

C-PFS-14 Adequacy of Water Supply Within Water System Service Areas. Ensure that new development within a water system service area is served

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

Therefore, until IPUD demonstrates reliable flow levels from its present sources or expands those sources, no new development shall be permitted in its service area except in accordance with the other policies in this section. (The water service area is defined as being congruent with that of the fire district). When additional water supply is determined to exist, the County and IPUD should develop procedures to assure that adequate water will be available for visitor-serving and other priority coastal uses.

Development proposals in the service area of Bayside Mutual Water Company shall be evaluated under the same policies as new projects in IPUD's service area.

In the review of a coastal development permit application for expansion of the service facilities or service capacity for the Inverness Public Utilities District, a system should be designed and instituted to reserve a portion of such added capacity for visitor-serving uses. Such reservation should be sufficient to serve the same percentage of the maximum possible expansion of such uses as allowed by the Plan as the portion of total possible residential growth within the service area that would be served by the capacity expansion. At each five year review of the Local Coastal Plan, buildout rates will be reviewed, and any requests for revisions in the capacity reserve will be processed as an amendment of the Local Coastal Program.

- d. Dillon Beach. Due to the lack of recent field testing and uncertainty regarding occupancy trends in Dillon Beach, the availability of water for additional development in residential planned districts that are in the coastal zone in the Dillon Beach Planning Area, which is described in the Dillon Beach Community Plan, must demonstrate that water is available before densities greater than 1 unit per parcel are permitted. Similarly, proposed commercial development in commercial planned districts (C-RMPC and C-RCR) must demonstrate that water is available before additional development is permitted.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 88-333 (Attachment 1, p.23) [12/20/88], approved by CCC with suggested modifications 4/12/89, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 89-216 [8/8/89], CCC ED Checkoff 4/13/90]

- e. Development standards for wells and other sources.
 (1) Permit required. A coastal permit shall be required to drill any well, including individual and community wells, and exploratory wells. A permit shall also be required to tap other water sources, such as springs or streams.

with adequate, safe water supplies. Prohibit development of individual domestic water wells or other individual water sources to serve new development, including land divisions, on lots in areas served or within the boundaries of a public or private water system, with the following exceptions:

1. For agricultural or horticultural use if allowed by the water system operators.
2. The community or mutual water system is unable or unwilling to provide service; or,
3. The physical distribution improvements are economically or physically infeasible to extend to the proposed project site.

The exceptions specified in a), b), or c) shall not be granted because of a water shortage that is caused by periodic drought. Additionally, wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties.

(PC app. 01/24/11)

[Adapted from Unit I Public Services Policy 3, p. 48, and Unit II, Public Services Policy 2.a, p. 187]

Unit II Policy 2 (a) p. 187

Water supply:

- a. ~~Type of service. Except as provided herein, new development, including land divisions, outside the service area of a community or mutual water system may utilize individual wells or other private on-site water sources. Within the Inverness Planning Area, individual wells should not be allowed on parcels less than 2.8 acres in size. Exceptions to the 2.8 acre lot size limitation may be granted pursuant to the issuance of a Coastal Permit. In addition to the findings of Chapters 22.56 and 22.86, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Health Officer that a well can be developed on the substandard size parcel in a completely safe and sanitary manner. C-PFS-14 Adequacy of Water Supply Within Water System Service Areas. Ensure that new development within the a water system service area of a community or mutual water system, is served with adequate, safe water supplies. Prohibit development the use of individual domestic water wells or other individual water sources to serve for new development, shall be permitted provided including land divisions, on lots in areas served or within the boundaries of a public or private water system, with the following exceptions:~~

1. For agricultural or horticultural use if allowed by the water system operators.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

- (2) Individual sources. In areas where individual water wells or other individual domestic water sources are permitted, the applicant shall demonstrate from on-site tests that a sustained water yield of at least 1.5 gpm per residential unit is available prior to the issuance of a building permit or tentative map. Higher yields may be required for fire protection purposes, as recommended by the appropriate fire protection agency.
- (3) Community sources. New community or mutual water wells or other sources serving 5 or more parcels shall demonstrate by professional engineering studies, including as necessary, long-term monitoring programs, that such groundwater or stream withdrawals will not adversely affect coastal* resources, including groundwater basins, aquifers, and streams. Such engineering studies shall provide the basis for establishing safe sustained yields from these sources.
- f. Fire protection. All proposed building permits and land divisions shall be reviewed by the County Fire Chief or other appropriate fire protection agency prior to the issuance of a coastal development permit so that additional requirements for fire protection, including water storage facilities, sprinkler systems, or fire hydrants, may be added as necessary.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to **C-PFS-13** New Water Sources Serving Five or More Parcels, **C-PFS-14** Adequacy of Water Supply Within Water System Service Areas, and **C-PFS-15** Development of Water Sources Including Wells, Streams, and Springs.

- ~~2.a) The community or mutual water system is unable or unwilling to provide service; or,~~
~~3.b) The physical distribution improvements are economically or physically infeasible to extend to the proposed project site.~~

The exceptions specified in a), b), or c) shall not be granted because of a water shortage that is caused by periodic drought. Additionally, wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no development constraints are placed on neighboring properties. ~~Within the Inverness Public Utility District (IPUD), individual wells for domestic use should not be permitted in the same watershed, at an elevation higher than the IPUD surface water sources existing as of June 14, 1983. All new development shall be required to incorporate low flow water fixtures and other water-saving devices.~~

C-PFS-15 Development of Water Sources including Wells, Streams, and Springs. Require a coastal permit for wells and borings unless otherwise exempt or categorically excluded.
 (PC app. 07/29/10)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Services Policies 2.a and 2.e (1), pp. 187-189; recommendation revised by staff at workshop of 7.29.10]

Unit II Policy 2 (a) p. 187

~~Water supply.~~

- ~~a. Type of service. Except as provided herein, new development, including land divisions, outside the service area of a community or mutual water system may utilize individual wells or other private on-site water sources. Within the Inverness Planning Area, individual wells should not be allowed on parcels less than 2.8 acres in size. Exceptions to the 2.8 acre lot size limitation may be granted pursuant to the issuance of a Coastal Permit. In addition to the findings of Chapters 22.56 and 22.86, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Health Officer that a well can be developed on the substandard size parcel in a completely safe and sanitary manner. Within the service area of a community or mutual water system, the use of individual domestic water wells for new development shall be permitted provided: a) the community or mutual water system is unable or unwilling to provide service; or, b) the physical distribution improvements are economically or physically infeasible to extend to the proposed project site. Additionally, wells or water sources shall be at least 100 feet from property lines, or a finding shall be made that no~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

~~development constraints are placed on neighboring properties. Within the Inverness Public Utility District (IPUD), individual wells for domestic use should not be permitted in the same watershed, at an elevation higher than the IPUD surface water sources existing as of June 14, 1983. All new development shall be required to incorporate low flow water fixtures and other water saving devices.~~

Unit II Policy 2 (e (1)) p. 187

~~e. Development standards for wells and other sources:~~

~~(1) Permit Required. A coastal permit shall be required to drill any for wells, including individual and community wells, and exploratory wells. A permit shall also be required to tap other water sources, such as springs or streams, and borings unless otherwise exempt or categorically excluded.~~

Policy 3 p. 189

Sewage disposal.

a. On-site sewage disposal. All on-site sewage disposal systems in the coastal zone shall be evaluated as follows:

- (1) Septic systems. All septic systems shall meet the standards contained in either the Minimum Guidelines for the Control of Individual Wastewater Treatment and Disposal System adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on April 17, 1979 or the County's revised septic system code, when approved by the Regional Board. No waivers shall be granted unless a public entity has formally assumed responsibility for inspecting, monitoring, and enforcing the maintenance of the system in accordance with criteria adopted by the Regional Board, or such waivers have otherwise been reviewed and approved by the Regional Board. (See Appendix C)
- (2) Expansions or alterations. Where a coastal development permit is necessary for an enlargement or change in the type or intensity of use of an existing structure, the existing or enlarged septic system must meet the Minimum Guidelines of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, or the County's revised septic system code as approved by the Regional Board, before a permit for such enlargement or change can be granted.
- (3) Reconstruction of existing systems. A septic system or other sewage disposal facility which serves a residential dwelling damaged or destroyed by natural disaster may be rebuilt along with the

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services

reconstruction of the dwelling. If the septic system or other facility is substandard, every effort shall be made to bring it into conformance with County Code.

(4) Alternative systems. The County recommends that provisions be included in the County code to allow alternative sewage disposal systems to be utilized. Until such provisions are incorporated into the code and approved by the Regional Water Quality Control Board however, alternative systems shall only be permitted where a public entity has formally assumed responsibility for inspecting, monitoring, and enforcing the maintenance of the systems in accordance with criteria adopted by the Regional Board.

(5) Maintenance. The County supports the establishment of a septic tank maintenance district (s) in the coastal zone for the purpose of monitoring and inspecting septic systems there. To provide for inspection of existing systems. not now subject to periodic review under County code, the County shall investigate the possibility of adopting a Countywide ordinance requiring the inspection of a septic system upon resale of the associated single-family dwelling.

b. Point Reyes Station and Olema. Due to the potential for cumulative impacts which exists in these communities from buildout on small lots utilizing septic' systems, the County shall revise zoning densities to reflect sewage disposal constraints. In Point Reyes Station, a minimum lot size of 10,000 square feet shall be maintained in the area zoned VCR, and a minimum of 20,000 sq ft in the area zoned A-2:B-2. In Olema, minimum lot sizes of 20,000 sq ft shall be maintained east of Highway 1, while 1 acre minimums shall be maintained for all lots bordering Olema Creek.

A study to identify and quantify possible sewage disposal problems and cumulative impacts in Point Reyes Station is recommended. If and when a community sewer is constructed, higher zoning densities-may be reconsidered to accommodate housing needs.

c. Inverness Ridge and the shoreline of Tomales Bay. These areas have numerous lots which are less than one acre in size and which, because of soil, slope, and/or groundwater characteristics are problematical for sewage disposal. Development on these lots may proceed only if the standards contained in the Minimum Guidelines of the Regional Board, mentioned in (a) above, or the County's revised septic system code as approved by the Regional Board, are met.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

- d. Tomales. The town of Tomales is served by a community sewer system in the downtown village core and by onsite sewage disposal systems in the outlying areas. Buildout in the outlying areas can apparently proceed without cumulative impacts if County codes on wells and septic systems and the standards of the Regional Water Quality Control Board are met. Sewer capacity in the downtown is adequate to handle all residential, commercial, and other uses anticipated at buildout. No reservation for visitor-serving and other priority uses is necessary due to the large excess capacity.
- e. Dillon Beach. The single-family lots in Oceana Marin are served by a community sewer system operated by North Marin Water District (NMWD). The multi-family parcels are not in NMWD's service area and would have to be annexed to NMWD to receive service. Based on current information, there is remaining system capacity for approximately 30 more units than are built today. Construction of additional phases will be necessary to serve all 252 single-family lots in the present service area. To ensure that sewage will be disposed of adequately as buildout proceeds, the County shall continue to require certification of adequate capacity from NMWD prior to issuing building permits for new units.

Several system expansion alternatives exist, including expanding the existing system on the hilltop above Oceana Marin and constructing a new system in the dunes south of the Village. Neither alternative is considered superior at this time. There are considerable trade-offs between the energy costs associated with pumping uphill and potential environmental impacts of constructing a pipeline from Oceana Marin to the dunes and the leachfield itself. The system expansion must be sited out of environmentally sensitive habitat areas, screened from public view, and sited so as not to interfere with recreational or agricultural uses in the area. The potential growth-inducing impacts would also have to be evaluated.

The village, Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort, Lawson's Landing, and the surrounding agricultural areas rely on individual, onsite septic systems. The combination of sandy soils and seasonal occupancy has so far allowed most septic systems to function effectively. The methods of sewage disposal at Lawson's Landing, however, have caused problems in the past. As part of any expansion or redevelopment plan for Lawson's Landing, improvements in sewage disposal facilities shall be required, in accordance with the recommendations of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Due to the potential for substantially greater development on the multi-family parcels in Oceana Marin and at Lawson's Dillon

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

<p>Beach Resort, proposed development in all planned districts in these areas (C-RMP, C-RMPC, and C-RCR) shall demonstrate prior to approval that safe and environmentally-sound sewage disposal is available.</p> <p><i>[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 88-333 (Attachment 1, p.24) [12/20/88], approved by CCC with suggested modifications 4/12/89, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 89-216 [8/8/89], CCC ED Checkoff 4/13/90]</i></p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has not been carried forward, although the concepts have been integrated into the various policies in the Public Facilities and Services section.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 4 p. 191</u> <u>Transportation and road capacity.</u></p> <p>a. <u>Highway 1.</u> Highway 1 provides an important and limited access route to the coastal zone. As required by the Coastal Act, Highway 1 shall remain a scenic two-lane roadway. Improvements shall not, either individually or cumulatively, detract from the rural scenic characteristics of the highway and, beyond repair and maintenance, shall be limited to the following minor projects: slope stabilization, drainage control, and minor safety improvements such as guardrail placement, signing etc; expansion of shoulder paving to accommodate bicycle or pedestrian traffic; creation of slow traffic and vista turn-outs, as a safety and convenience improvement; and other minor improvements necessary to adequately accommodate public transit consistent with the goals of this policy, provided that no filling of streams or wetlands occurs.</p> <p>b. <u>Sir Francis Drake Boulevard.</u> Sir Francis Drake Boulevard provides a scenic driving experience for coastal visitors and an important access road for local residents. In order to protect its scenic rural character, the road shall be maintained as a two-lane roadway. Sir Francis Drake has adequate capacity to handle increased recreational and local traffic, although traffic patterns do occasionally create hazardous conditions for pedestrians and bicyclists in the area of Inverness and Inverness Park. Improvements to address these problems, such as traffic control devices, shall be investigated.</p> <p>c. <u>Alternative methods of transportation.</u> The County discourages the excessive use of private automobiles and strongly supports the development of expanded public transit and other alternative methods of transportation in the coastal zone, such as bicycles. Bicycle and pedestrian paths, separated from roads where possible, are especially encouraged.</p>	<p>C-TR-2 Scenic Quality of Highway One. Ensure that Highway One shall remain a scenic two-lane roadway. Ensure that improvements shall not, either individually or cumulatively, detract from the rural scenic characteristics of the highway and shall be limited to improvements necessary for the continued use of the highway: slope stabilization, drainage control, and minor safety improvements such as guardrail placement, signing, etc.; expansion of shoulder paving to accommodate bicycle or pedestrian traffic; creation of slow traffic and vista turn-outs, as a safety and convenience improvement; and other minor improvements necessary to adequately accommodate public transit. Avoid incursions and other adverse impacts in Stream Conservation and Wetland Conservation Areas. These improvements shall limit the site alterations to the minimum amount necessary to carry out the project and minimize environmental impacts. (PC app. 04/27/09) <i>[Adapted from Unit II Public Services Policy 4.a, p. 191]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 4 (a) p. 191</u> Transportation and road capacity.</p> <p>a. Highway 1. C-TR-2 Scenic Quality of Highway One. Highway 1 provides an important and limited access route to the coastal zone. As required by the Coastal Act, Ensure that Highway 1 One shall remain a scenic two-lane roadway. Ensure that improvements shall not, either individually or cumulatively, detract from the rural scenic characteristics of the highway and beyond repair and maintenance, shall be limited to the following minor projects improvements necessary for the continued use of the highway: slope stabilization, drainage control, and minor safety improvements such as guardrail placement, signing, etc.; expansion of shoulder paving to accommodate bicycle or pedestrian traffic; creation of slow traffic and vista turn-outs, as a safety and convenience improvement; and other minor improvements necessary to adequately accommodate public</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
Public Services**

The development of new transit service routes and associated loading and turning areas is also encouraged, consistent with the goal of utilizing public transit to meet current and increased use of coastal access and recreational areas.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-TR-2 Scenic Quality of Highway One, C-TR-10 Adequate and Affordable Public Transportation, and *Program C-TR-10.a Encourage Additional Transit Service.*

~~transit consistent with the goals of this policy, provided that no filling of streams or wetlands occurs. Avoid incursions and other adverse impacts in Stream Conservation and Wetland Conservation Areas. These improvements shall limit the site alterations to the minimum amount necessary to carry out the project and minimize environmental impacts.~~

C-TR-10 Adequate and Affordable Public Transportation. Provide efficient, affordable public transportation service in and to the Coastal Zone and support expansion of alternative modes of transportation.

(PC app. 04/27/09)

[Adapted from CWP Goal TR-3, p. 3-162]

Program C-TR-10.a Encourage Additional Transit Service.

Encourage the development of new transit service routes and associated loading and turning areas, consistent with the goal of utilizing public transit to meet current and increased use of coastal access and recreational areas.

(PC app. 04/27/09)

[Adapted from Unit II Public Services Policy 4.c, p. 192]

Unit II Policy 4 (c) p. 191

~~e. Alternative methods of transportation. C-TR-10 Adequate and Affordable Public Transportation. The County discourages the excessive use of private automobiles and strongly supports the development of expanded Provide efficient, affordable public transit and other alternative methods of transportation service in and to the Coastal Zone and support expansion of alternative modes of transportation, such as bicycles. Bicycle and pedestrian paths, separated from roads where possible, are especially encouraged.~~
Program C-TR-10.a Encourage Additional Transit Service. Encourage ~~the development of new transit service routes and associated loading and turning areas is also encouraged,~~ consistent with the goal of utilizing public transit to meet current and increased use of coastal access and recreational areas.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

Unit II New Development and Land Use	
Existing Policy	Proposed Policy
<p><u>Policy 1 p. 206</u> <u>Historic resources.</u></p> <p>a. In order to protect the unique qualities and character of coastal communities in the Unit II coastal zone, historic structures shall be preserved and restored. The following means shall be used to protect and preserve historic structures:</p> <p>(1) "<u>Historic areas</u>" shall be established in Tomales, Marshall, Point Reyes Station, Olema and Inverness. The boundaries of these areas are described and mapped in Appendix E of the Unit II LCP. Within these historic area boundaries, all new construction shall conform in scale, design, materials and texture with the surrounding community character.</p> <p>(2) <u>Alterations and Additions</u>. Alterations or additions to any structure built prior to 1930 shall require a coastal project permit; except that, maintenance or repair to restore any pre-1930's structure to its original architectural character shall be exempt from the requirement of a coastal permit. Alterations or additions to any pre-1930 structure shall retain the scale and original architectural features of the structure, especially for the front facade.</p> <p>(3) <u>Demolitions</u>. Demolition of any structure built prior to 1930 shall require a Coastal Project Permit; except that, demolition of any secondary or agricultural building built prior to 1930, may be exempted from the requirement for a coastal permit upon a finding by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body that such structure is not a significant historic resource. Issuance of a Coastal Project Permit for the demolition of any pre-1930 structure may be delayed for a period not to exceed six months. During this period, the property owner or local historic group or society may attempt to find a purchaser or alternate location for the structure. This six month period may be waived by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body upon a finding that the structure is not historically significant or cannot be rehabilitated.</p> <p>b. All coastal project permits for projects located within the boundaries of a historic area, and for projects involving pre-1930 buildings, shall be reviewed in accordance with:</p> <p>(1) The "Design Guidelines for Construction in Historic Areas and for pre-1930 Structures" and,</p>	<p>C-HAR-7 Proposed Demolition of Structures of Special Character and Visitor Appeal. Review the proposed demolition of any structure built prior to 1930 for its impacts on community character, except that demolition of any secondary or agricultural building built prior to 1930 may be exempted from this requirement upon a finding by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body that such structure is not a significant resource. Issuance of a coastal project permit for the demolition of any pre-1930 structure may provide for such demolition to be delayed for a period not to exceed six months. During this period, the property owner or local historic group or society may attempt to find a purchaser or alternate location for the structure. This six month period may be waived by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body upon a finding that the structure is not significant to community character or to visitor appeal or cannot be rehabilitated. (PC app. 2/8/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 1.a.(3), p. 206]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 1 p. 206</u> <u>Historic resources.</u></p> <p>a. In order to protect the unique qualities and character of coastal communities in the Unit II coastal zone, historic structures shall be preserved and restored. The following means shall be used to protect and preserve historic structures:</p> <p>(3) Demolitions. C-HAR-7 Proposed Demolition of Structures of Special Character and Visitor Appeal. Review the proposed <u>demolition</u> of any structure built prior to 1930 shall require a Coastal Project Permit; for its impacts on community character, except that demolition of any secondary or agricultural building built prior to 1930 may be exempted from the this requirement <u>for a coastal permit</u> upon a finding by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body that such structure is not a significant <u>historic resource</u>. Issuance of a <u>Coastal Project Permit</u> for the demolition of any pre-1930 structure may <u>provide for such demolition to</u> be delayed for a period not to exceed six months. During this period, the property owner or local historic group or society may attempt to find a purchaser or alternate location for the structure. This six month period may be waived by the Planning Director or appropriate hearing body upon a finding that the structure is not significant <u>to community character or to visitor appeal</u> or cannot be rehabilitated.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>(2) The "Historic Review Checklist", both located in Appendix E of the Unit II LCP.</p> <p>c. All coastal project permits for historic structures shall be reviewed by established local planning or design review groups.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-HAR-7 Proposed Demolition of Structures of Special Character and Visitor Appeal.</p>	<p>.</p>
<p><u>Policy 2 p. 206</u> <u>Archaeological Resources.</u></p> <p>a. The County shall maintain a file on known and suspected archaeological and paleontological sites in the coastal zone, in cooperation with the area clearinghouse. Additional information on such sites that becomes available through the EIR process or by other means shall be added to the file and forwarded to the clearinghouse. The file shall be kept confidential in order to prevent vandalism of sites.</p> <p>b. Prior to the approval of any development proposed within an area of known or suspected archaeological or paleontological significance, a field survey by a qualified professional shall be required at the applicant's expense to determine the extent of archaeological or paleontological resources on the site. Where development would adversely impact identified resources, reasonable mitigation measures shall be required, as recommended in the field survey.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-HAR-1 Maintenance of Information on Archaeological and Paleontological Resources and C-HAR-2 Potential Impacts of Development on Archaeological and Paleontological Resources.</p>	<p>C-HAR-1 Maintenance of Information on Archaeological and Paleontological Resources. Maintain a file on known and suspected archaeological and paleontological sites in the Goastal Zone, in cooperation with the area clearinghouse, for use in carrying out Policy C-HAR-2. Additional information on such sites that becomes available through the EIR process or by other means shall be added to the file and forwarded to the Northwest Information Center (NWIC). The file shall be kept confidential in order to prevent vandalism of sites. (PC app. 11/23/09) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 2.a., p. 206]</i></p> <p><u>Policy 2 (a) p. 206</u> <u>Archaeological Resources.</u></p> <p>a. The County shall m Maintain a file on known and suspected archaeological and paleontological sites in the eGoastal zZone, in cooperation with the area clearinghouse, <u>for use in carrying out Policy C-HAR-2.</u> Additional information on such sites that becomes available through the EIR process or by other means shall be added to the file and forwarded to the clearinghouse <u>Northwest Information Center (NWIC).</u> The file shall be kept confidential in order to prevent vandalism of sites.</p> <p>C-HAR-2 Potential Impacts of Development on Archaeological and Paleontological Resources. Prior to the approval of a coastal project permit for any development proposed within an area of known or likely archaeological or paleontological significance, including sites identified in the file described in Policy C-HAR-1, require a field survey by a State-qualified archaeologist recommended by the Sacred Sites Protection Committee of the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria or by a qualified paleontologist at the applicant's expense to determine the extent of archaeological or paleontological resources on the site. Where development would adversely impact identified resources, require reasonable mitigation measures, including avoidance and permanent protection as open space, if feasible, as recommended in the field survey.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

	<p>(PC app. 11/23/09) <i>[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 2.b., p. 206a and Countywide Plan Programs HAR-1.d and HAR-1.3]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 2 (b) p. 206</u></p> <p>b.—<u>C-HAR-2 Potential Impacts of Development on Archaeological and Paleontological Resources.</u> Prior to the approval of a coastal project permit for any development proposed within an area of known or suspected likely archaeological or paleontological significance, including sites identified in the file described in Policy C-HAR-1, require a field survey by a qualified professional State-qualified archaeologist recommended by the Sacred Sites Protection Committee of the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria or by a qualified paleontologist shall be required at the applicant's expense to determine the extent of archaeological or paleontological resources on the site. Where development would adversely impact identified resources, require reasonable mitigation measures shall be required, including avoidance and permanent protection as open space, if feasible, as recommended in the field survey.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(a) p. 206a</u> <u>Visual resources.</u></p> <p>a. The height, scale, and design of new structures shall be compatible with the character of the surrounding natural or built environment. Structures shall be designed to follow the natural contours of the landscape and sited so as not to obstruct significant views as seen from public viewing places.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-DES-1 Compatible Design.</p>	<p>C-DES-1 Compatible Design. Ensure that the height, scale, and design (including materials and color) of new structures are compatible with the character of the surrounding natural or built environment. Structures shall be designed to follow the natural contours of the landscape and shall limit the reflectivity of glass and other surfaces. (PC app. 10/26/09) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 3.a p. 206a]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Unit II Policy 3(a) p. 206a</u> <u>Visual resources.</u></p> <p>a.—<u>C-DES-1 Compatible Design.</u> Ensure that tThe height, scale, and design (including materials and color) of new structures shall be are compatible with the character of the surrounding natural or built environment. Structures shall be designed to follow the natural contours of the landscape and sited so as not to obstruct significant views as seen from public viewing places shall limit the reflectivity of glass and other surfaces.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(b) p. 207</u></p> <p>b. Development shall be screened with appropriate landscaping; however such landscaping shall not, when mature, interfere with public views to and along the coast. The use of native plant material is encouraged.</p>	<p>C-DES-2 Protection of Visual Resources. Ensure the appropriate siting and design of structures to protect visual resources and prevent the obstruction of significant views, including views both to and along the coast as seen from public viewing spaces and from Highway One, Panoramic Highway, and Sir Francis</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-DES-2 Protection of Visual Resources.</p>	<p>Drake Boulevard. Require development to be screened with appropriate landscaping provided that when mature, such landscaping shall not interfere with public views to and along the coast. The use of drought tolerant, native coastal plant species is encouraged. Continue to keep road and driveway construction, grading, and utility extensions to a minimum, except that longer road and driveway extensions may be necessary in highly visible areas in order to avoid or minimize other impacts. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 3.b p. 207]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 3(b) p. 207</u> b.—C-DES-2 Protection of Visual Resources. Ensure the appropriate siting and design of structures to protect visual resources and prevent the obstruction of significant views, including views both to and along the coast as seen from public viewing spaces and from Highway One, Panoramic Highway, and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. Require Development shall to be screened with appropriate landscaping; provided that when mature, however such landscaping shall not when mature, interfere with public views to and along the coast. The use of drought tolerant, native coastal plant material species is encouraged. Continue to keep road and driveway construction, grading, and utility extensions to a minimum, except that longer road and driveway extensions may be necessary in highly visible areas in order to avoid or minimize other impacts.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(c) p. 207</u> c. Signs shall be of a size, location, and appearance so as not to detract from scenic areas or views from public roads and other viewing points and shall conform to the County's sign ordinance.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-DES-5 New Signs.</p>	<p>C-DES-5 New Signs. Ensure that new signs are of a size, location, and appearance so as not to detract from scenic areas or views from public roads and other viewing points. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land use Policy 3.c., p. 207]</i></p> <p><u>Policy 3(c) p. 207</u> e.—C-DES-5 New Signs. Ensure that new sSigns shall be are of a size, location, and appearance so as not to detract from scenic areas or views from public roads and other viewing points and shall conform to the County's sign ordinance.</p>
<p><u>Policy 3(d) p. 207</u> d. Distribution utility lines shall be placed underground in new developments to protect scenic resources except where the cost of undergrounding would be so high as to deny service.</p>	<p>C-DES-6 Underground Utilities. Require that utility lines are placed underground in new development to protect scenic resources except where the cost of undergrounding would be so high as to deny service or where undergrounding would result in greater environmental impacts. (PC app. 10/26/09)</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>Policy Status This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-DES-6 Underground Utilities.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Unit II New Development and Land use Policy 3.d., p. 207]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Policy 3(d) p. 207 d. C-DES-6 Underground Utilities. Distribution utility lines shall be placed underground in new developments to protect scenic resources except where the cost of undergrounding would be so high as to deny service.</p>
<p>Policy 4 p. 207 <u>Housing.</u> The County of Marin strongly encourages the protection and provision of housing opportunities in its coastal zone for persons of low and moderate income (low and moderate income is defined in the County'-s Housing Element). In order to protect housing opportunities for these groups, the following policies shall apply:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The demolition of existing low and moderate income housing shall be permitted only when such demolition is necessary for health and safety reasons, or the costs of rehabilitation would result in housing costs which would not be affordable to low and moderate income households, or the units to be demolished are replaced- on a one-for-one basis with units of comparable rental value. b. The County has made a conscious effort to retain small-lot zoning (6000-10,000 sq ft) in Tomales, Point Reyes Station, and Olema for the purpose of providing-housing opportunities at less expense than available in large-lot zones. In Point Reyes Station, densities above the LCP minimum of 10.000 sq. ft. may be reconsidered if and when a community sewer is installed c. The County is currently investigating a second-unit ordinance for the purpose of expanding the low and moderate income housing stock and providing a legitimate alternative to major new construction. <p>Policy Status This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-HS-1 Protection of Existing Affordable Housing.</p>	<p>C-HS-1 Protection of Existing Affordable Housing. Continue to protect and provide affordable housing opportunities for very low, low, and moderate income households. Prohibit the demolition of existing deed restricted very low, low, and moderate income housing except when:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demolition is necessary for health and safety reasons; or 2. The costs of rehabilitation would be prohibitively expensive and impact the affordability of homes for very low, low and moderate income households. 3. The units to be demolished are replaced on a one-for-one basis with units of comparable rental value on site or within the immediate coastal zone area <p><i>[Adapted from Unit I New Development and Land Use Policy 22 pg. 66, and Unit II Policy 4, pg. 207]</i></p> <p>LCP Unit I New Development and Land Use Policy 22, pg. 66 In order to protect housing opportunities for persons of low, and moderate income (as defined by "HUD" Guidelines), as well as preserve the existing character of coastal villages, existing structures providing such housing opportunities shall be demolished only when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The structure poses an immediate and established health or safety hazard; or • The Planning Commission finds, based upon established procedures, that the rehabilitation of the existing structure is not feasible. (Feasible is defined in Section 30108 of the Coastal Act.); and • 3. Such demolition The units to be demolished coupled with subsequent reconstruction would provide replacement housing are replaced on a one-for-one basis with units of comparable rental value either on site or within the immediate coastal zone area. <p>LCP Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 4, p. 207 4. Housing. C-HS-1 Protection of Existing Affordable Housing. Continue to The County of Marin strongly encourages the protection and provide affordable provision of housing opportunities for very low, in its coastal zone for persons of low, and moderate income households. Prohibit the demolition of existing deed restricted very low, low, and moderate income housing except when: (low and moderate income is</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

defined in the County's Housing Element). In order to protect housing opportunities for these groups, the following policies shall apply:

- a. ~~1. The demolition of existing low and moderate income housing shall be permitted only when such demolition is necessary for health and safety reasons; or, or the costs of rehabilitation would result in housing costs which would not be affordable to low and moderate income households, or the units to be demolished are replaced on a one-for-one basis with units of comparable rental value.~~
- b. ~~The costs of rehabilitation would result in housing costs which would not be affordable to low and moderate households; and County has made a conscious effort to retain small lot zoning (6000-10,000 sq ft) in Tomales, Point Reyes Station, and Olema for the purpose of providing housing opportunities at less expense than available in large lot zones. In Point Reyes Station, densities above the LCP minimum of 10,000 sq. ft. may be reconsidered if and when a community sewer is installed~~
- c. ~~The units to be demolished are replaced on a one-for-one basis with units of comparable rental value on site or within the immediate coastal zone area. County is currently investigating a second unit ordinance for the purpose of expanding the low and moderate income housing stock and providing a legitimate alternative to major new construction.~~

Policy 5 p. 207
Hazards

- a. An applicant for development in an area potentially subject to geologic or other hazards as mapped by the County, including Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazards zones, areas subject to tsunami runup, landslides, liquefaction, beach or bluff erosion, steep slopes averaging greater than 35%, or flood hazard areas, shall be required to demonstrate that the area of construction is stable for development, the development will not create a hazard or diminish the stability of the area, and the development will not require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs. The applicant may be required to file a report by a qualified professional evaluating the geologic conditions of the site and the effect of the development. In addition, as a condition of coastal permit approval, the applicant shall be required to sign a waiver of liability exempting the County from liability for any personal or property damage caused by natural hazards on such properties.
- b. In coastal bluff areas, new structures shall be set back a sufficient distance

C-EH-1 Safety of New Development. Ensure that new development during its economic life (100 years) is safe from, and does not contribute to, geologic or other hazards.
(PC app. 03/16/09)
[Adapted from Unit II Hazards Policy 5.a 5.d., p. 207]

Unit II Policy 5 (a, d) p. 207
Hazards

- a. ~~An applicant for development in an area potentially subject to geologic or other hazards as mapped by the County, including Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazards zones, areas subject to tsunami runup, landslides, liquefaction, beach or bluff erosion, steep slopes averaging greater than 35%, or flood hazard areas, shall be required to demonstrate that the area of construction is stable for development, the development will not create a hazard or diminish the stability of the area, and the development will not require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

from the bluff edge to ensure with reasonable certainty that they are not threatened by bluff retreat within their expected economic lifespans (50 years). The County shall determine the required setback based on information submitted by the applicant, staff investigation, and a geologic report which may be required. The setbacks will be of sufficient distance to eliminate the need for shoreline protective works.

- c. Development of any kind beyond the required bluff-top setback shall be constructed to ensure that all surface and subsurface drainage shall not contribute to the erosion of the bluff face or the stability of the bluff itself. Surface water shall be directed away from the top of the bluff or handled in a manner which prevents damage to the bluff by surface and percolating water.
- d. New development shall be sited and designed so that no protective shoreline structures (e.g. seawalls, groins, breakwaters) are or will be necessary to protect the building from erosion or storm damage during its expected economic lifespan (50 years). The applicant may be required to submit a professional geologic report demonstrating that the project conforms to this policy.
- e. The County encourages PG&E to utilize materials for overhead utility lines which minimize fire hazards to surrounding areas.

Policy Status

This policy has been carried forward with modifications to C-EH-1 Safety of New Development, C-EH-2 Avoidance of Environmental Hazards, and C-EH-6 Proper drainage on Blufftop parcels.

~~along bluffs and cliffs. The applicant may be required to file a report by a qualified professional evaluating the geologic conditions of the site and the effect of the development. In addition, as a condition of coastal permit approval, the applicant shall be required to sign a waiver of liability exempting the County from liability for any personal or property damage caused by natural hazards on such properties.—~~

- ~~d.—Ensure that nNew development shall be sited and designed so that no protective shoreline structures (e.g. seawalls, groins, breakwaters) are or will be necessary to protect the building from erosion or storm damage during its economic lifespan (50-100 years); is safe from, and does not contribute to, geologic or other hazards.~~

C-EH-2 Avoidance of Environmental Hazards. Require applicants for development in area potentially subject to geologic or other hazards as mapped by the County at the time of coastal permit application, including Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazards zones, areas subject to tsunami runup, landslides, liquefaction, beach or bluff erosion, steep slopes averaging greater than 35%, unstable slopes regardless of steepness, or flood hazard areas, to demonstrate that:

1. the area of construction is stable for development,
2. the development will not create a hazard or diminish the stability of the area, and
3. the development during its economic life (100 years) will not require the construction of shoreline protective devices.

(PC app. 03/16/09)

[Adapted from Unit II Hazards Policy 5.a., p. 207]

Unit II Policy 5 (a) p. 207

Hazards

- ~~a.—Require An~~ applicants for development in ~~an~~ areas potentially subject to geologic or other hazards as mapped by the County at the time of coastal permit application, including Alquist-Priolo earthquake hazards zones, areas subject to tsunami runup, landslides, liquefaction, beach or bluff erosion, steep slopes averaging greater than 35%, unstable slopes regardless of steepness, or flood hazard areas, ~~shall be required~~ to demonstrate that:

1. the area of construction is stable for development,
2. the development will not create a hazard or diminish the stability of the area, and

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

3. ~~the development during its economic life (100 years) will not require the construction of shoreline protective devices, that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs. The applicant may be required to file a report by a qualified professional evaluating the geologic conditions of the site and the effect of the development. In addition, as a condition of coastal permit approval, the applicant shall be required to sign a waiver of liability exempting the County from liability for any personal or property damage caused by natural hazards on such properties.~~

C-EH-6 Proper drainage on Blufftop parcels. Ensure that surface and subsurface drainage associated with development of any kind beyond the required blufftop setback shall not contribute to the erosion of the bluff face or the stability of the bluff itself.

(PC app. 03/16/09)

[Unit II Hazard Policy 5.c., p. 208]

Program C-EH-6.a Require proper drainage plans. Amend the development code to require that prior to development of any kind beyond the required blufftop setback, a drainage plan be prepared by a civil engineer showing how rainwater and irrigation runoff will be directed away from the top of the bluff or handled in a manner which prevents damage to the bluff by surface and percolating water. Blufftop landscaping shall be required to use drought tolerant, native species with minimal irrigation.

(PC app. 03/16/09)

[Unit II Hazard Policy 5.c.,5.b, p. 208]

Unit II Policy 5 (b, c) p. 207

~~b. In coastal bluff areas, new structures shall be set back a sufficient distance from the bluff edge to ensure with reasonable certainty that they are not threatened by bluff retreat within their expected economic lifespans (50 years). The County shall determine the required setback based on information submitted by the applicant, staff investigation, and a geologic report which may be required. The setbacks will be of sufficient distance to eliminate the need for shoreline protective works.~~ **Program C-EH-6.a Require proper drainage plans.** Amend the development code to require that prior to development of any kind beyond the required blufftop setback, a drainage plan be prepared by a civil engineer showing how rainwater and irrigation runoff will be directed away from the top of the bluff or handled in a manner which

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

	<p><u>prevents damage to the bluff by surface and percolating water. Blufftop landscaping shall be required to use drought tolerant, native species with minimal irrigation.</u></p> <p>e.—C-EH-6 Proper drainage on blufftop parcels. Development of any kind beyond the required bluff top setback shall be constructed to ensure that all <u>Ensure that surface and subsurface drainage associated with development of any kind beyond the required blufftop setback shall not contribute to the erosion of the bluff face or the stability of the bluff itself. Surface water shall be directed away from the top of the bluff or handled in a manner which prevents damage to the bluff by surface and percolating water.</u></p>
<p><u>Policy 6 (a) p. 208</u> Watershed and water quality protection/grading. In order to ensure the long-term preservation of water quality, protection of visual resources, and the prevention of hazards to life and property, the following policies shall apply to all construction and development, including grading and major vegetation removal, which involve the movement of earth in excess of 150 cubic yards.</p> <p>a. Development shall be designed to fit a site's topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and any other existing conditions and be oriented so that grading, cut and fill operations, and other site preparation are kept to an absolute minimum. Natural features, landforms, and native vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Areas of a site which are not suited to development because of known soil, geologic, flood, erosion or other hazards shall be kept in open space.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-4 Grading and Vegetation Removal and C-DES-8 Protection of Trees.</p>	<p>C-WR-4 Grading and Vegetation Removal. Design development to fit a site's topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and any other existing conditions. Orient development so that grading, cut and fill operations, and other site preparation are kept to an absolute minimum. Natural features, landforms, and native vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Areas of a site which are not suited to development because of known soil, geologic, flood, erosion or other hazards shall be kept undeveloped. (PC app. 03/16/09) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land Use, Watershed and Water Quality Protection/Grading Policy 6.a, p. 208]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 6 (a) p. 208</u> a.—C-WR-4 Grading and Vegetation Removal. Design dDevelopment shall be designed to fit a site's topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and any other existing conditions. and be eOriented development so that grading, cut and fill operations, and other site preparation are kept to an absolute minimum. Natural features, landforms, and native vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Areas of a site which are not suited to development because of known soil, geologic, flood, erosion or other hazards shall be kept in open space undeveloped.</p> <p>C-DES-8 Protection of Trees. Site structures and roads so as to avoid the removal of trees that contribute to the scenic and visual resources of the area, except where required to maintain defensible space for structures or eliminate diseased trees that threaten surrounding structures or vegetation. Dead trees may serve as valuable habitat for some species, so avoid complete removal where feasible. (PC app. 07/29/10)</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

[Unit II New Development and Land use Policy 6.a, p. 208]

Unit II Policy 6 (a) p. 208

a. ~~Development shall be designed to fit a site's topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and any other existing conditions and be oriented so that grading, cut and fill operations, and other site preparation are kept to an absolute minimum. Natural features, landforms, and native vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Areas of a site which are not suited to development because of known soil, geologic, flood, erosion or other hazards shall be kept in open space.~~
C-DES-8 Protection of Trees. Site structures and roads so as to avoid the removal of trees that contribute to the scenic and visual resources of the area, except where required to maintain defensible space for structures or eliminate diseased trees that threaten surrounding structures or vegetation. Dead trees may serve as valuable habitat for some species, so avoid complete removal where feasible.

Policy 6(b) p. 208

b. For necessary grading operations, the smallest practicable area of land shall be exposed at any one time during development and the length of exposure shall be kept to the shortest practicable time. The clearing of land shall be avoided during the winter rainy season and all measures for removing sediments and stabilizing slopes shall be in place before the beginning of the rainy season.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-6, Soil Exposure and C-WR-7, Wintertime Clearing and Grading.

C-WR-6 Soil Exposure. Allow any necessary grading operations only such that the smallest practicable area of land shall be exposed at any one time during development and the length of exposure shall be kept to the shortest practicable time. Erosion and sedimentation control measures shall be incorporated in development plans. An erosion and sedimentation control plan, subject to approval by the Department of Public Works, shall be required for development of any site of 1 acre or more in size or, at the discretion of the Department of Public Works, for any site of less than 1 acre because of a high risk of erosion and sedimentation. (PC app. 03/16/09)

[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 6.b., p. 208]

Unit II Policy 6(b) p. 208

b. ~~C-WR-6 Soil Exposure.~~ Allow any ~~For~~ necessary grading operations, only such that the smallest practicable area of land shall be exposed at any one time during development and the length of exposure shall be kept to the shortest practicable time. Erosion and sedimentation control measures shall be incorporated in development plans. An erosion and sedimentation control plan, subject to approval by the Department of Public Works, shall be required for development of any site of 1 acre or more in size or, at the discretion of the Department of Public Works, for any site of less than 1 acre because of a high risk of erosion and sedimentation. The clearing of land shall be avoided during the winter rainy season and all measures for removing sediments and stabilizing slopes shall be in place before the beginning of the rainy season.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

C-WR-7 Wintertime Clearing and Grading. Avoid land clearing and grading during the winter rainy season (October 15th through April 15th). Ensure that all measures for removing sediments and stabilizing slopes shall be in place before the beginning of the rainy season. Permit land clearing and grading during the rainy season only upon prior approval by the Department of Public Works of an erosion control plan, which shall demonstrate that at no stage of the work will there be any substantial risk of increased sediment discharge from the site.

(PC app. 03/16/09)

[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 6.b., p. 208 and from Dev Code 22.70.070.C.3. and Marin County Code 24.04.625]

Unit II Policy 6(b) p. 208

~~b. For necessary grading operations, the smallest practicable area of land shall be exposed at any one time during development and the length of exposure shall be kept to the shortest practicable time.~~ **C-WR-7 Wintertime Clearing and Grading.** Avoid ~~The clearing of land clearing and grading shall be avoided~~ during the winter rainy season (October 15th through April 15th). Ensure that ~~and~~ all measures for removing sediments and stabilizing slopes shall be in place before the beginning of the rainy season. Permit land clearing and grading during the rainy season only upon prior approval by the Department of Public Works of an erosion control plan, which shall demonstrate that at no stage of the work will there be any substantial risk of increased sediment discharge from the site.

Policy 6(c) p. 208

- c. Sediment basins (including debris basins, desilting basins, or silt traps) shall be installed on the project site in conjunction with initial grading operations and maintained through the development process to remove sediment from runoff waters. All sediment shall be retained on site unless removed to an appropriate dumping location.

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-10 Construction-Phase Sediment Basins.

C-WR-10 Construction-Phase Sediment Basins. Install sediment basins (including debris basins, desilting basins, or silt traps) required by erosion control plans or otherwise necessary to control sedimentation during construction on the project site in conjunction with initial grading operations. Maintain sediment basins throughout the development process to remove sediment from runoff waters. All sediment shall be retained on site unless removed to an approved dumping location.

(PC app. 03/16/09)

[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 6.c., p. 208]

Unit II Policy 6(c) p. 208

~~e. C-WR-10 Construction-Phase Sediment Basins. Install S~~sediment basins (including debris basins, desilting basins, or silt traps) required by erosion control plans or otherwise necessary to control sedimentation during construction ~~shall be installed~~ on the project site in conjunction with initial grading operations, ~~and m~~Maintained sediment basins throughout the development process to remove

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

	<p style="text-align: center;">sediment from runoff waters. All sediment shall be retained on site unless removed to an approved dumping location.</p>
<p>Policy 6(d) p. 208</p> <p>d. Temporary vegetation, seeding, mulching, or other suitable stabilization methods shall be used to protect soils which have been exposed during grading or development, Cut and fill slopes shall be stabilized immediately with plantings of native species, appropriate non-native plants, or with accepted landscaping practices.</p> <p>Policy Status The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-8 Disturbed Soils and C-DES-9 Landscaping</p>	<p>C-WR-8 Disturbed Soils. Use temporary vegetation, hydroseeding with non-invasive native seeds, seeding, mulching, or other suitable stabilization methods to protect soils that have been exposed during grading or development. Stabilize cut and fill slopes immediately with plantings of native species, appropriate non-native plants, or with accepted landscaping practices. (PC app. 03/16/09) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 6.d., p. 209]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unit II Policy 6(d) p. 208</p> <p>d.—Use tTemporary vegetation, <u>hydroseeding with non-invasive native seeds</u>, seeding, mulching, or other suitable stabilization methods shall be used to protect soils which that have been exposed during grading or development. Stabilize cCut and fill slopes shall be stabilized immediately with plantings of native species, appropriate non-native plants, or with accepted landscaping practices.</p> <p>C-DES-9 Landscaping. Ensure that required landscaping predominantly uses native species of trees and plants and avoids using non-native, invasive trees and plants. <i>(See also Biological Resources Policy C-BIO-6: Invasive Plants, which may require the removal of any non-native invasive plant species).</i> (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Unit II New Development and Land use Policy 6.d, p. 209]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unit II Policy 6(d) p. 208</p> <p>d.— Temporary vegetation, seeding, mulching, or other suitable stabilization methods shall be used to protect soils which have been exposed during grading or development, Cut and fill slopes shall be stabilized immediately with plantings of native species, appropriate non-native plants, or with accepted landscaping practices. C-DES-9 Landscaping. Ensure that required landscaping predominantly uses <u>native species of trees and plants and avoids using non-native, invasive trees and plants.</u></p>
<p>Policy 6(e) p. 208</p> <p>e. Where topsoil is removed by grading operations, it shall be stockpiled for reuse and shall be protected from compaction and wind or erosion during stockpiling.</p> <p>Policy Status</p>	<p>C-WR-9 Topsoil. Where topsoil is removed by grading operations, stockpile it for reuse and protect it from compaction and wind or erosion during stockpiling. (PC app. 03/16/09) <i>[Unit II, New Development and Land Use Policy 6.e., p. 209]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unit II Policy 6(e) p. 208</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-9 Topsoil.</p>	<p>e.—<u>C-WR-9 Topsoil.</u> Where topsoil is removed by grading operations, it shall be stockpiled <u>it</u> for reuse and shall be protected <u>it</u> from compaction and wind or erosion during stockpiling.</p>
<p><u>Policy 6(f) p. 208</u></p> <p>f. The extent of impervious surfaces shall be minimized to the greatest degree possible. Provisions shall be made to conduct surface water to storm drains or suitable watercourses to prevent erosion. Drainage devices shall be designed to accommodate increased runoff resulting from modified soil and surface conditions as a result of development. Grassed waterways are preferred to concrete storm drains, where feasible, for runoff conveyance. Water runoff beyond natural levels shall be retained on site whenever possible to facilitate groundwater recharge.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-WR-2 Water Quality Impacts of Development Projects.</p>	<p>C-WR-2 Water Quality Impacts of Development Projects. Site and design public and private development and changes in use or intensity of use to prevent, reduce, or remove pollutant discharges to the maximum extent practicable. All coastal permits, for both new development and modifications to existing development, and including but not limited to those for developments covered by the current National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II permit, shall be subject to this review.</p> <p>Site design and source control measures shall be given high priority as the preferred means of controlling pollutant discharges. Typical measures shall include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimizing effective impervious area, 2. Limiting disturbance of natural drainage features and vegetation, 3. Protecting areas that are particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss, and ensuring that water runoff beyond natural levels is retained on-site whenever possible. <p><i>[A strike out and underline version of this proposed policy is not provided since the proposed policy is an adaptation of the concepts in the existing language and has been significantly rewritten]</i></p>
<p><u>Policy 7 p. 209</u> Energy and industrial development. The Unit II coastal zone contains unique natural resources and recreational opportunities of nationwide significance. Because of these priceless resources and the very significant adverse impacts which would result if major energy or industrial development were to occur, such development, both on and offshore, is not appropriate and shall not be permitted. The development of alternative energy sources such as solar or wind energy shall be exempted from this policy.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-PFS-19 Desalination Facilities.</p>	<p>C-PFS-19 Desalination Facilities. Due to the Coastal Zone’s unique natural resources and recreational opportunities of nationwide significance prohibit development of desalination facilities. (PC app. 01/24/11) <i>[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 7, p. 209]</i></p> <p><u>Unit II Policy 7 p. 209</u> Energy and industrial development. C-PFS-19 Desalination Facilities. Due to the Unit II Coastal Zone’s unique natural resources and recreational opportunities of nationwide significance prohibit development of desalination facilities. Because of these priceless resources and the very significant adverse impacts which would result if major energy or industrial development were to occur, such development, both on and offshore, is not appropriate and shall not be permitted. The development of alternative energy sources such as solar or wind energy shall be exempted from this policy.</p>
<p><u>Policy 8(a) p. 209</u> <u>Olema.</u></p>	<p>C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries. The village limit boundaries are described as follows and shown on the accompanying maps for the following</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

- (1) The community expansion boundaries for Olema shall be defined by surrounding federal parklands.
- (2) Changes in commercial land use and zoning as specified in LCP Policy 3(b) on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities, page 44, shall be adopted.
- (3) Additional changes in land use and zoning shall be adopted in order to meet Coastal Act objectives of concentrating new development, protecting visual resources, and ensuring that adequate public services are available. Residential areas permitting 10,000 square foot lots shall be rezoned to 20,000 square feet and agricultural areas shall be rezoned from A-5 to ARP-5, as follows:

A.P. number	Existing Zoning	LCP Zoning
166-182-01	A-20-2	R-A;B-3
166-183-01	A-20-2	R-A;B-3
166-230-04	A-20-2	ARP- 5
166-230-08-10, 12-19	A-5	ARP- 5

Policy Status

The content of this policy has been carried forward to C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.

communities:

1. Muir Beach. The village limit boundary shall be defined by the surrounding federal and state parklands.
2. Stinson Beach. The village limit boundaries shall be defined by the surrounding state and federal parklands. Bolinas Lagoon, and Pacific Ocean. The beachfront area along Mira Vista owned by the County of Marin is also excluded.
3. Bolinas. The village limit boundary shall be defined by the surrounding federal parklands in addition to County-owned lands adjacent to the Bolinas Lagoon.
4. Olema. The village limit boundaries shall be defined by the surrounding federal parklands.
5. Point Reyes Station. The village limit boundaries shall be defined by the 1976 community plan except that lands acquired by the federal government for inclusion in the GGNRA shall be excluded. These lands shall be rezoned to O-A (open area).
6. Inverness Ridge. The village limit boundaries shall be determined by the location of public parklands to the north, west, and south, and by Tomales Bay to the east.
- g. Marshall/East Side of Tomales Bay. The village limit boundaries shall be 7efined to include the area from the Hog Island Oyster Company to the north and the Marshall Boat Works to the south. On the east of Highway 1, the limit boundary shall include the small existing subdivided parcels abutting Highway 1 between Marshall-Petaluma Road and the Marshall Boat Works.
8. Tomales. The village limit boundaries shall be defined in the community plan of 1977.
9. Dillon Beach/Oceana Marin. The village limit boundaries shall be drawn at the existing boundary of the Oceana Marin subdivision to the north and east at the southerly end of the former Pacific Marine Station to the south.

(PC app. 10/26/09)

[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policies 8.a(1) through 8.h(1), p. 209-216]

Unit II Policy 8(a) p. 209

C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.

4. Olema.

- ~~(1) The community expansion village limit boundaries for Olema shall be defined by the surrounding federal parklands.~~
- ~~(2) Changes in commercial land use and zoning as specified in LCP Policy 3(b) on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities, page 44, shall be adopted.~~

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

(3) Additional changes in land use and zoning shall be adopted in order to meet Coastal Act objectives of concentrating new development, protecting visual resources, and ensuring that adequate public services are available. Residential areas permitting 10,000 square foot lots shall be rezoned to 20,000 square feet and agricultural areas shall be rezoned from A-5 to ARP-5, as follows:

A.P. number	Existing Zoning	LCP Zoning
166-182-01	A-20-2	R-A;B-3
166-183-01	A-20-2	R-A;B-3
166-230-04	A-20-2	ARP-5
166-230-08-10, 12-19	A-5	ARP-5

Policy 8(b) p. 210

Point Reyes Station.

Development of the 18.59-acre property consisting of Assessor's Parcels 119-240-45, -46, -57, and -58 and consisting of Areas A, B, C, D, E and F as depicted on Exhibit E, shall be subject to the following land use designations, as defined in the Marin Countywide Plan and further incorporated as Appendix G to the Local Coastal Program: The land use designation for Areas A and B shall be C-MF-2 (Coastal, Multiple-family, one to four units per acre maximum residential density). The land use designation for Area C shall be C-SF-4 (Coastal, Single-family Residential, one to two units per acre). The land use designation for Areas D and E shall be C-RS (Costal, Residential Commercial, one to 20 units per acre maximum residential density, 30% to 50% commercial floor area ratio). The land use designation for Area F shall be C-OS (Coastal, Open Space).

The site shall be subject to an overall single site development plan for the entire 18.59-acre area that consists of Areas A, B, C, D, E and F. The site development plan shall be subject to the review and approval of the California Coastal Commission as an amendment to the LCP. Any coastal development permit or permits for development of any portion of the site shall be consistent with the approved site development plan. The site development plan shall indicate the kinds, locations, and intensities of uses allowable in accordance with the following requirements:

1. The total number of residential units on the entire 18.6-acre area shall not

n/a

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>exceed 36.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Area A shall be developed with a maximum of seven detached affordable and/or market-rate for-sale units ranging in size from approximately 900 to 1,155 square feet. 3. Area B shall be developed with a maximum of 27 rental affordable units ranging in size from approximately 1,440 to 1,720 square feet, with a manager's unit/community building of approximately 2,180 square feet. 4. No more than two residential dwelling units may be developed within Area C. 5. A minimum of 12 public parking spaces shall be provided within Area D. 6. A minimum of two acres shall be reserved for a future overnight visitor-serving facility, preferably providing lower cost services to the maximum extent feasible, or an alternative commercial use deemed appropriate by the Coastal Commission within Area E. 7. Future use of the approximate 18.59-acre area depicted on Exhibit E, including all wetlands shall be consistent with the Local Coastal Program, including provisions which mandate a 100-foot minimum buffer as measured landward from the edge of the wetlands. <p><i>[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 2004-121 [11/9/04], CCC approved as submitted 12/9/04. *Note: see pp. 219-220 of this document for Exhibits "D" and "E" which depict the adopted rezoning and amended land use designations for the Point Reyes Affordable Homes Project]</i></p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy refers to the Point Reyes Affordable Homes project, which has been constructed. The policy has not been carried forward.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 8(c)(1-3) p. 210</u> <u>Inverness Ridge.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The community expansion boundary for development on the Inverness Ridge shall be determined by the location of public parklands to the north, west, and south, and by Tomales Bay to the east. (2) The boundaries of commercial zones in Inverness and Inverness Park shall be modified to coincide with parcel boundaries and changed to planned commercial zones in order to provide master plan review, as provided in Policy 3(d) on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities, Page 47. (3) Lands on the Inverness Ridge which have been acquired by the federal or state governments for public parkland shall be rezoned to 0-A (open area). Lands owned by the Nature Conservancy shall also be rezoned to 0-A. 	<p>C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries. <i>(only a portion of the policy language is shown here)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. <u>Inverness Ridge.</u> The village limit boundaries shall be determined by the location of public parklands to the north, west, and south, and by Tomales Bay to the east. <p><u>Policy 8(c)(1-3) p. 210</u> <u>Inverness Ridge.</u> (1) <u>6. Inverness Ridge.</u> The community expansion-village limit boundary boundaries for development on the Inverness Ridge shall be determined by the location of public parklands to the north, west, and south, and by Tomales Bay to the east.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>Policy Status This policy has been carried forward to C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.</p>	<p>(2) The boundaries of commercial zones in Inverness and Inverness Park shall be modified to coincide with parcel boundaries and changed to planned commercial zones in order to provide master plan review, as provided in Policy 3(d) on Recreation and Visitor Serving Facilities, Page 47.</p> <p>(3) Lands on the Inverness Ridge which have been acquired by the federal or state governments for public parkland shall be rezoned to O-A (open area). Lands owned by the Nature Conservancy shall also be rezoned to O-A.</p>
<p>Policy 8(c)(4) p. 210 (4) Paradise Ranch Estates.</p> <p>a) <u>Lot Consolidation Plan.</u> The Paradise Ranch Estates Lot Consolidation Plan, map attached, is hereby incorporated in concept into the Marin County Local Coastal Program. This plan would consolidate 24 lots into 11 new building sites and reduce total build-out in the subdivision to 157 units. It is the intent of the Coastal Conservancy and the County of Marin to implement this plan as soon as funds are available. However, in the meantime, the County will process applications in accordance with other policies and standards of the Local Coastal Program, and will notify the Coastal Conservancy whenever applications affecting these lots have been received.</p> <p>A part of this lot consolidation plan would be a road way and drainage plan, to address erosion and siltation control and provision of emergency services, as well as detailing needed roadway improvements. The County and the Coastal Conservancy will prepare this plan, in conjunction with local property owners, as soon as funds become available. Applicants for development permits in Paradise Ranch Estates will be informed that they may be required to make roadway and drainage improvements on their property in the future, in accordance with this plan.</p> <p>b) <u>Additional Park Acquisition.</u> Twenty-eight lots in the subdivision have been authorized by the federal government for inclusion in the Point Reyes National Seashore, but funding for acquisition is not presently available. The County and the Coastal Conservancy will continue to seek sources of funds for acquisition. The County will process applications for development on these lots in accordance with policies of the Local Coastal Program, and will notify the Coastal Conservancy when applications have been received.</p> <p>It should be noted that the federal government would not allow leasebacks on new development on these parcels if acquired.</p>	<p>C-INV-3 Paradise Ranch Estates Design Guidelines. Future development in Paradise Ranch Estates should be consistent with maintaining the existing exclusively residential nature of the community, and should consider the community’s unique factors such as substandard roads and the need to protect viewsheds from adjacent parklands. Apply the following guidelines for development within Paradise Ranch Estates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Protection of Visual Resources.</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. In areas where structures may be seen from the adjacent parklands (primarily the north, south and west sides of the subdivision) structures shall be screened by the existing vegetation to the maximum extent possible. Structures on or near ridgelines shall not be higher than the tree canopy, even if the Zoning Ordinance would otherwise permit taller buildings. The purpose of this measure is to prevent the presently tree-covered silhouette of the ridgeline from being broken up. In addition, the structures will be better-screened. It is noted that the west side is adjacent to the Park Wilderness areas. b. In areas where structures may be visible, dark earth tones shall be used to ensure the least amount of visual intrusion into the landscape. c. To minimize grading and visual impacts from the adjacent parkland, new structures along Pine Crest Road shall be located within 150 feet of the front property line. d. To minimize visual impacts on the adjacent parkland, structures visible from the park on the northwest (Pine Crest and Upper Roberts) and southwest (Elizabeth Place, ends of Sunnyside and Dover) sides of the subdivision shall be oriented such that the narrower end of the structure faces the park, in order to ensure the maximum opportunity to take advantage of the existing tree cover. e. An analysis of the visual impacts from structures that might result from the siting and construction of the septic system shall be included with development applications. The septic system shall be designed and sited to minimize tree removal which could have a visual impact.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

Refer to Marin County Local Coastal Program Unit II, page 60.

- c) Design Review Guidelines. In addition to all other standards for development review in the Coastal Program, the following special Design Review Guidelines shall apply to the processing of all development applications in Paradise Ranch Estates:
1. Predevelopment Geotechnical-Engineering Studies. Individual engineering studies will be required for building lots within the Class 3 and Class 4 slope stability zones as mapped in Wagner and Smith, Slope Stability of the Tomales Bay Study Area, 1977, to evaluate slope stability and to engineer foundations and structures to provide for proper grading, siting, structural stability and seismic design. These provisions are required by the LCP and Inverness Ridge Communities Plan, as well.
 2. Protection of Visual Resources.
 - a. In areas where structures may be seen from the adjacent parklands (primarily the north, south and west sides of the subdivision) structures shall be screened by the existing vegetation to the maximum extent possible. Structures shall not be higher than the tree canopy, even if Section 22.47.024(2)(e) of the Zoning Ordinance would otherwise permit taller buildings. The purpose of this measure is to prevent the presently tree-covered silhouette of the ridgeline from being broken up. In addition, the structures will be better-screened. It is noted that the west side is adjacent to the Park Wilderness area.
 - b. In areas where structures may be visible, dark earth-tones shall be used to ensure the least amount of visual intrusion into the landscape.
 - c. To minimize grading and visual impacts from the adjacent parkland, future structures along Pine Crest Road shall be located within 150 feet of the front property line.
 - d. To minimize visual impacts on the adjacent parkland, structures visible from the park on the northwest (Pine Crest and Upper Roberts) and southwest (Elizabeth Place, ends of Sunnyside and Dover) sides of the subdivision shall be oriented such that the shorter end of the structure faces the park, in order to ensure the maximum opportunity to take advantage of the existing tree cover.
 - e. Design Review of structures shall include an analysis of the visual impacts that might result from the siting and construction of the septic

- f. Use of colors and materials consistent with the woodland character of the subdivision and the vernacular building style of the area should be observed to avoid obtrusive visual impact.
2. Public Service Guidelines. Paving and drainage improvements along the road frontage of a property may be required for all-new structures. Off-site improvements may also be required in areas where roadways presently do not meet County standards. These areas include the following:
 - a. Certain segments of Upper Roberts Road.
 - b. Douglas Drive adjacent to Assessor Parcel Numbers 114-130-34 and 114-130-24.
 - c. Dover Drive adjacent to Assessor Parcel Number 114-130-25. If parcels that presently are not part of the Paradise Ranch Estates Permanent Road Division acquire access over the roadways in the subdivision in the future, joining the assessment district shall be made a condition of approval.
3. Protection of Trees. Structures and roads should be sited to avoid tree removal. However, where it is necessary to clear existing vegetation, ecological principles of natural plant success should be observed. In some circumstances, removal of dead or older diseased trees may be desirable for siting purposes, thus promoting the success of the younger, vigorous vegetation. However, dead trees also serve as valuable habitat for some species, so a complete removal should be avoided, where feasible.

(PC app. 07/29/10)

[Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 8.c (4) (c)(2) p. 212-214]

Unit II Policy 8(c)(2) p. 210

Paradise Ranch Estates.

~~e) Design Review Guidelines.~~ **C-INV-3 Paradise Ranch Estates Design Guidelines.** Future development in Paradise Ranch Estates should be consistent with maintaining the existing exclusively residential nature of the community, and should consider the community's unique factors such as substandard roads and the need to protect viewsheds from adjacent parklands. In addition to all other standards for development review in the Coastal Program, Apply the following special Design Review Guidelines shall apply to the processing of all for development applications in within Paradise Ranch Estates:

~~2.~~ 1. Protection of Visual Resources.

- a. In areas where structures may be seen from the adjacent parklands (primarily the north, south and west sides of the subdivision) structures shall be screened by the existing

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use

system. The septic system shall be designed and sited to minimize tree removal which could have a visual impact.

- f. Use of colors and materials consistent with the woodland character of the subdivision and the vernacular building style of the area should be observed to avoid obtrusive visual impact.

- 3. Public Service Guidelines. On-site-paving and drainage improvements may be required for all-new structures. Off-site improvements may also be required in areas where roadways presently-do not meet County standards. These areas include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- a. Certain segments of Upper Roberts Road.
- b. Douglas Drive adjacent to AP 114-130-34 and 114-130-24.
- c. Dover Drive adjacent to AP 114-130-25.

If parcels that presently are not part of the Paradise Ranch Estates Permanent Road Division acquire access over the roadways in the subdivision in the future, joining the assessment district shall be made a condition of approval.

- 4. Watershed Protection.

- a. All policies in the LCP regarding blue line streams and adjacent lands shall be applicable in Paradise Ranch Estates. Streams affected by this policy include Tomlinson Creek, Fish Hatchery Creek, and the Central Drainage Channel.
- b. Silt traps or other necessary erosion control measures shall be required for all new grading and construction. (This measure has been suggested by the Department of Fish and Game). (Also see below).
- c. The policy of no waivers from requirements of the septic tank ordinance will apply in areas proposed for a septic system that exceed 40 percent slope or that are closer than 100 feet to a major drainage channel. This approach will probably prohibit development on some parcels, unless approval for a septic system were obtained from Regional Water Quality Control or a public agency accepted responsibility for monitoring and maintaining the system.

- 5. Grading and Erosion Control Guidelines. It is essential that grading be minimized in any new building area so that soils which are exposed during the construction process can be adequately revegetated and cuts avoided to minimize erosion. Erosion control practices should address management

vegetation to the maximum extent possible. Structures shall not be higher than the tree canopy, even if Section 22.47.024(2)(e) of the Zoning Ordinance would otherwise permit taller buildings. The purpose of this measure is to prevent the presently tree-covered silhouette of the ridgeline from being broken up. In addition, the structures will be better-screened. It is noted that the west side is adjacent to the Park Wilderness area.

- b. In areas where structures may be visible, dark earth-tones shall be used to ensure the least amount of visual intrusion into the landscape.
- c. To minimize grading and visual impacts from the adjacent parkland, future structures along Pine Crest Road shall be located within 150 feet of the front property line.
- d. To minimize visual impacts on the adjacent parkland, structures visible from the park on the northwest (Pine Crest and Upper Roberts) and southwest (Elizabeth Place, ends of Sunnyside and Dover) sides of the subdivision shall be oriented such that the shorter end of the structure faces the park, in order to ensure the maximum opportunity to take advantage of the existing tree cover.
- e. ~~Design Review of structures shall include a~~ An analysis of the visual impacts ~~from structures~~ that might result from the siting and construction of the septic system shall be included with development applications. The septic system shall be designed and sited to minimize tree removal which could have a visual impact.
- f. Use of colors and materials consistent with the woodland character of the subdivision and the vernacular building style of the area should be observed to avoid obtrusive visual impact.

- ~~3.~~ 2. Public Service Guidelines. On-site-paving and drainage improvements may be required for all-new structures. Off-site improvements may also be required in areas where roadways presently-do not meet County standards. These areas include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- a. Certain segments of Upper Roberts Road.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use

<p>of surface water run-off to prevent gulying through improper discharge of storm water. from downspouts and paved areas and down-stream transport of eroded sediments. Revegetation practices for erosion control should specify use of indigenous ground covers and seed mixes.</p> <p>6. <u>Protection of Trees.</u></p> <p>a. Structures and roads should be sited to avoid tree removal. However, where it is necessary to clear existing vegetation, ecological principles of natural plant success should be observed. For instance, in some situations, the oak and fir woodland communities have taken over older stands of Bishop Pine, and in some-cases, Madrone. The latter may be diseased and dying, naturally giving way to successful change. In these circumstances, removal of the older diseased trees is desirable for siting purposes, thus promoting the succession of the younger, vigorous vegetation. However, dead trees also serve as valuable habitat for some species, so a complete removal should be avoided.</p> <p>b. Landscaping should make use of indigenous, drought resistant species to the maximum extent possible.</p> <p>Policy Status This policy has been carried forward to C-INV-3 Paradise Ranch Estates Design Guidelines</p>	<p>b. Douglas Drive adjacent to AP 114-130-34 and 114-130-24. c. Dover Drive adjacent to AP 114-130-25.</p> <p>If parcels that presently are not part of the Paradise Ranch Estates Permanent Road Division acquire access over the roadways in the subdivision in the future, joining the assessment district shall be made a condition of approval.</p> <p>6. <u>3. Protection of Trees.</u></p> <p>a. Structures and roads should be sited to avoid tree removal. However, where it is necessary to clear existing vegetation, ecological principles of natural plant success should be observed. For instance, in some situations, the oak and fir woodland communities have taken over older stands of Bishop Pine, and in some cases, Madrone. The latter may be diseased and dying, naturally giving way to successful change. In some these circumstances, removal of the dead or older diseased trees is may be desirable for siting purposes, thus promoting the succession of the younger, vigorous vegetation. However, dead trees also serve as valuable habitat for some species, so a complete removal should be avoided, <u>where feasible.</u></p> <p>b. Landscaping should make use of indigenous, drought resistant species to the maximum extent possible.</p>
<p>Policy 8(c)(5) p. 214 <u>Community Participation.</u> The community should play a lead role in the establishment and operation of a local land trust. In addition, the community, through one of its organizations, should serve an advisory role to the Planning Department in reviewing development applications. The local community will be responsible for implementation of the roadway and drainage plan, once it is developed, most logically through continuation of the Permanent Road Division.</p> <p>Policy Status This policy is not carried forward as it is no longer relevant. The Paradise Ranch Estates Road Advisory Board, consisting of residents of Paradise Ranch Estates, advises the Board of Supervisors on road maintenance within the Paradise Ranch Estates subdivision. Further, the Inverness Ridge Association is a non-profit group which is open to all of the owners and residents of Paradise Ranch Estates.</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>Policy 8(c)(6) p. 214</p>	<p>n/a</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use

<p><u>County's Regulatory Authority.</u> Strict application of the County's regulatory authority would include the design review guidelines recommended. In the Design Review section. This approach also assumes strict implementation of LCP policies, including the policy of not allowing waivers from the septic ordinance.</p> <p>Information on development constraints affecting lots in the subdivision is contained in Appendix C of the Paradise Ranch Estates Restoration Plan report, dated April 1981.</p> <p><u>Policy Status</u> This policy has not been carried forward.</p>	
<p><u>Policy 8(d) p. 215</u> <u>West side of Tomales Bay.</u></p> <p>(1) The Golden Hinde Boatel, Inverness Yacht Club, and Inverness Motel shall be rezoned to. RCR, in accordance with Policy 3(d) on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities, page 47. Also in. accordance with this policy, commercial parcels in Inverness and Inverness Park shall be modified-to coincide with parcel boundaries and changed-to-planned commercial zones in order to provide for master plan review. <i>(Staff note: These have been rezoned per ordinance 2704 and 2905)</i></p> <p>(2) County parklands and private nature preserves and beaches shall be rezoned to 0-A (open area). This policy includes Chicken Ranch Beach, owned by the County, the William Page Shields Salt Marsh and other nature-preserve lands, owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch, and Children's Beach, owned by the Inverness Foundation. <i>(Staff note: These have been rezoned per ordinance 2704)</i></p> <p>(3) All remaining lots not otherwise mentioned above, both developed and undeveloped, south of-Chicken Ranch Beach up to and including AP #114-012-08 at Willow Point shall be rezoned to RSP-1.0. Lots south of AP #114-012-08 which are currently zoned A-2 shall be rezoned to RSP-0.33. Residential lots in Inverness Park, currently zoned R-10-2 and A-20-2, shall be rezoned to RSP-1. 0. <i>(Staff note: Land south of Chicken Ranch Beach up to and including 114-012-08 have all been rezoned per ordinance #2704. Lands south of 114-012-08 have been rezoned to RSP-0.33 per ordinance 2425 & 2704. Residential lots in Inverness park have also been rezoned to RSP-1.0 per ordinance #2704.)</i></p> <p><u>Policy Status</u></p>	n/a

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>This policy has been implemented and has not been carried forward.</p>	
<p>Policy 8(e) p. 215 <u>East Side of Tomales Bay.</u></p> <p>(1) The community expansion boundary for the town of Marshall shall be defined to include the area from the Post Office Building on the north through and including the Marshall Boat Works to the south. On the east side of Highway 1, the expansion boundary shall include the small existing subdivided parcels abutting Highway 1 between Marshall-Petaluma Road and the Marshall Boat Works.</p> <p>(2) Changes in commercial land use and zoning as specified in LCP Policy 3(e) on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities, page 48, shall be adopted. In addition, North Shore Boats shall be rezoned from A-2 to RCR. <i>(Staff note: This has been done as per ordinance number 2704 & 2957. North shore boats was rezoned to C-ARP-2 and Marshall Boat Works was rezoned to C-VCR.)</i></p> <p><i>[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 87-278 [8/4/87], CCC approved as submitted 9/8/87, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 87-360 [10/13/87] passed to implement changes shown, no CCC ED Checkoff required]</i></p> <p>(3) Lands on the shoreline which have been acquired by the state government for public parkland or preserve shall be rezoned to 0-A (open area). This policy includes Tomasini and Millerton Points and the Cypress Grove project. Other proper ties owned by the State in the area of Walker Creek and town of Marshall, as well as lands owned by Audubon Canyon Ranch, shall also be rezoned to 0-A. <i>(Staff note: these have been rezoned per ordinance 2704).</i></p> <p>(4) Agricultural lands in the vicinity of Cypress Grove and the Walker Creek delta, currently zoned A-2, shall be rezoned to APZ-60, with the exception of AP #106-210-57, 60 & 64. Any proposal for the use of this property should be considered in light of the goals of this Plan and the agricultural uses in the vicinity. The small upland lots between the Marshall-Petaluma Road and Marconi Cove Marina which abut Highway 1 and which are currently zoned A-2 shall be rezoned to ARP-2. <i>(Staff note: these have been rezoned per ordinance 2704).</i></p> <p>(5) All remaining lots bayward of Highway 1, not otherwise mentioned above, both developed and undeveloped, south of Nick's Cove up to the Marshall Boat Works, shall be rezoned RSP-0.5. Lots south of Marshall Boat Works to the state parklands on Tomasini Point, not previously mentioned above, shall be</p>	<p>C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries. The village limit boundaries are described as follows and shown on the accompanying maps for the following communities: (previous portion of policy not shown)</p> <p>7. <u>Marshall/East Side of Tomales Bay.</u> The village limit boundaries shall be defined to include the area from the Hog Island Oyster Company to the north and the Marshall Boat Works to the south. On the east of Highway One, the limit boundary shall include the small existing subdivided parcels abutting Highway One between Marshall-Petaluma Road and the Marshall Boat Works. (rest of policy not shown)</p> <p>Policy 8(e) p. 215 <u>C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.</u> The village limit boundaries are described as follows and shown on the accompanying maps for the following communities:</p> <p>e- <u>7. East Side of Tomales Bay.</u> (1) The community expansion boundary village limit boundaries for the town of Marshall shall be defined to include the area from the Post Office Building on Hog Island Oyster Company to the north through and including the Marshall Boat Works to the south. On the east side of Highway One, the expansion boundary limit boundary shall include the small existing subdivided parcels abutting Highway One between Marshall-Petaluma Road and the Marshall Boat Works.</p>

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

<p>rezoned to RSP-0.33. <i>(Staff note: these have been rezoned per ordinance 2704. Nick's Cove has been rezoned to C-RMPC).</i></p> <p>Policy Status This policy has been implemented and has not been carried forward, except for 8(e)(1), which has been carried forward to C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.</p>	
<p>Policy 8(f) p. 216 <u>Standards for development in all zoning districts on the shoreline of Tomales Bay.</u></p> <p>(1) Existing dwellings shall be permitted to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, provided that the floor area, height and bulk of the new structure shall not exceed that of the destroyed structure by more than 10%. Any proposed improvement to an existing house which results in an increase of internal floor area of more than 10% shall require a coastal permit in order to ensure that such improvement is sited and designed to minimize impacts on Tomales Bay.</p> <p>(2) New residential construction shall be limited in height to 15 feet, as measured from natural grade on the highest side of the improvement to the highest point of the roof or any projection therefrom. Exceptions to this height limit may be permitted where the topography, vegetation, or character of existing development is such that a higher structure would not create additional interference with coastal views either to, along, or from the water.</p> <p>(3) A finding shall be made that all new development shall meet all other UP policies, including those on Public Access, Natural Resources and wetland protection, Shoreline Structures, Diking/ Filling/Dredging, Public Services, Hazards, Visual Resources, and New Development, prior to issuance of a coastal permit.</p> <p>Policy Status This policy has been modified and carried forward to C-CD-6 Standards for Development on the Shoreline of Tomales Bay.</p>	<p>C-CD-6 Standards for Development on the Shoreline of Tomales Bay. New construction shall be limited in height to 15 feet above grade. Exceptions to this height limit may be permitted where the topography, vegetation, or character of existing development is such that a higher structure would not create additional interference with coastal views either to, along, or from the water. (PC app. 07/29/10) <i>[Adapted from Unit II New Development and Land Use Policy 8,f, p. 216; Marin County Code 22.20.060(a) p. III-8]</i></p> <p>Policy 8(f) p. 216 <u>Standards for development in all zoning districts on the shoreline of Tomales Bay.</u> C-CD-6 Standards for Development on the Shoreline of Tomales Bay.</p> <p>(1) Existing dwellings shall be permitted to be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed by natural disaster, provided that the floor area, height and bulk of the new structure shall not exceed that of the destroyed structure by more than 10%. Any proposed improvement to an existing house which results in an increase of internal floor area of more than 10% shall require a coastal permit in order to ensure that such improvement is sited and designed to minimize impacts on Tomales Bay.</p> <p>(2) New residential construction along the shoreline of Tomales Bay shall be limited in height to 15 feet, as measured from natural above grade on the highest side of the improvement to the highest point of the roof or any projection therefrom. Exceptions to this height limit may be permitted where the topography, vegetation, or character of existing development is such that a higher structure would not create additional interference with coastal views either to, along, or from the water.</p> <p>(3) A finding shall be made that all new development shall meet all other UP policies, including those on Public Access, Natural Resources and wetland protection, Shoreline Structures, Diking/ Filling/Dredging, Public Services, Hazards, Visual Resources, and New Development, prior to issuance of a coastal permit.</p>

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use

Policy 8(g) p. 216

Tomales.

- (1) The community expansion boundary for Tomales shall be as defined in the community plan of 1996.
- (2) With exception to the old high school site, no changes in commercial land use and zoning are recommended. The LCP supports policies of the community plan to rezone the old high school site, as follows:

A.P. Number	Existing Zoning	Proposed Zoning
102-080-04, -06	C-VCR:B-4	C-RSP-1.6
102-080-05, -07	C-VCR:B-3.5	C-VCR:B-4

- (3) The LCP supports policies of the community plan to rezone all land within Tomales that is zoned C-R-A:B-1 to C-RSP-7.26
- (4) The LCP supports policies of the community plan to rezone two large agricultural properties adjacent to the community expansion boundary, as follows:

A.P. Number	Existing Zoning	Proposed Zoning
102-100-06	C-APZ-60/C-ARP-2	C-APZ-60
100-090-17, -18	C-APZ-60/C-ARP-2	C-APZ-60

- (5) In order to promote the concentration of development and encourage greater flexibility in the design of future development in the community, no changes to C-ARP zoning within the community expansion boundary are recommended.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 96-140 (Attachment 3, pp. 12-13) [10/1/96], approved by CCC as submitted 2/5/97, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 97-22 [3/11/97], CCC ED Checkoff 5/16/97]

Policy Status

This policy has been implemented and has not been carried forward, with the exception of 8(g)(1), which has been carried forward to **C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries**.

Policy 8(h) p. 216

Dillon Beach.

- (1) The community expansion boundary for Dillon Beach shall be drawn from the northern boundary of the Oceana Marin subdivision on the north to the southern end of Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort on the south, and from the shoreline on the west to the eastern side of Oceana Marin, the Village, and Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort. Lawson's Dillon Beach Resort parcel AP

C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries. The village limit boundaries are described as follows and shown on the accompanying maps for the following communities:

(previous portion of policy not shown)

8. Tomales. The village limit boundaries shall be defined in the community plan of 1977.

(previous portion of policy not shown)

Policy 8(g) p. 216

~~8.~~ **C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.** 8 Tomales.

- ~~(1)~~ ~~The community expansion boundary~~ village limit boundaries for Tomales shall be as defined in the community plan of 1996.

C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries. The village limit boundaries are described as follows and shown on the accompanying maps for the following communities:

(previous portion of policy not shown)

9. Dillon Beach/Oceana Marin. The village limit boundaries shall be drawn at the existing boundary of the Oceana Marin subdivision to the north and east at the southerly end of the former Pacific Marine Station to the south.

**Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use**

Number 100-100-47 is included within this area.

- (2) Current C-RCR and C-RMPC zoning designations shall be retained, as described in Policy 3g on Recreation and Visitor-Serving Facilities on pages 51 and 52.
- (3) Current C-APZ-60 zoning shall be retained on coastal agricultural lands in the planning area.
- (4) The four multi-family unit parcels known as Parcels J, K, L, and M in Oceana Marin shall be rezoned to a density in keeping with the characteristics of each site, surrounding development, and public service constraints. The densities are as follows:

A.P. Number	Existing Zoning	New Zoning
Parcel J 100-331-19	C-RMP-4	C-RMP-1.5
Parcel K 100-300-02,07	C-RMP-4	C-RMP-0.85
Parcel L 100-300-03	C-RMP-4	C-RMP-0.8
Parcel M 100-311-27	C-RMP-4	C-RMP-0.4

Before any development or division of these parcels can proceed, adequate water supply and sewage disposal shall be demonstrated.

- (5) Densities for C-RMPC parcels in Lawson’s Dillon Beach Resort shall be established as follows:

A.P. Number	Existing Zoning	New Zoning
100-141-11	C-RMPC	C-RCR
100-141-13: SW corner only	C-RMPC	C-RCR
100-100-47	C-APZ-60	C-RMPC-1.2
100-141-07,08,10	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-174-03	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-183-02,03	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-184-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-185-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-186-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2

Policy 8(h) p. 216

Dillon Beach.

- (1) **C-CD-12 Describe Village Limit Boundaries.** 9. Dillon Beach/Oceana Marin. The community expansion village limit boundaries for Dillon Beach shall be drawn from at the northern existing boundary of the Oceana Marin subdivision on to the north and east at to the southern southerly end of Lawson’s Dillon Beach Resort on the south, and from the shoreline on the west to the eastern side of Oceana Marin, the Village, and Lawson’s Dillon Beach Resort. the former Pacific Marine Station to the south. Lawson’s Dillon Beach Resort parcel AP Number 100-100-47 is included within this area.

Unit II
Existing and Proposed Policies
New Development and Land Use

100-187-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-188-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-192-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-194-01	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-205-02	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-207-02	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-220-05	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-1.2
100-191-03	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-0.7
100-193-01,02,03	C-RMPC	C-RMPC-0.7

- (6) Current land use policy and zoning designations shall be retained for Lawson’s Landing.
- (7) The zoning designations for the C-RMP parcels in Oceana Marin and C-RMPC parcels in Lawson’s Dillon Beach Resort represent the low end of the residential density ranges specified in the Dillon Beach Community Plan for the respective parcels. Development at higher density ranges may be approved if subsequent studies demonstrate that additional development can be accommodated in accordance with Policies CD-4.6 and CD-10.6 through CD-10.16 of the Community Plan.

[Amended pursuant to BOS Resolution No. 88-333 (Attachment 1: pp. 27-28) [12/20/88], CCC approved w/ suggested modifications 4/12/89, 2nd BOS Resolution No. 89-216 [8/8/89], CCC ED Checkoff 4/13/90]

Policy Status

This policy has been implemented and has not been carried forward, with the exception of 8(g)(1), which has been carried forward to **C-CD-12** Describe Village Limit Boundaries and 8(g)(7), which is proposed to be carried forward.